

Please Note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.
I N D E X

<u>AGENDA ITEMS: JUNE 23, 1999:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. CALL TO ORDER	218
II. ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM	218
III. OPENING REMARKS	218
IV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS	
None	
V. CONTINUED BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS	
None	
VI. CONSENT AGENDA	
Agenda Items 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28 (with the exclusion of "F" in Item 28)	221
VII. NEW BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS	
Local Assistance and Planning Compliance:	
Agenda Item 29: Consideration of Staff Recommendation on the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element for the Following Jurisdictions (second of two items: [lists jurisdictions]	225
Agenda Item 30: Consideration of Staff Recommendation to Change the Base-Year to 1997 for the Previously Approved Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element for the City of Carson, Los Angeles County	251
Agenda Item 31: Consideration of Staff Recommendation to Change the Base-Year to 1995 for the Previously Approved Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element for the City of El Monte, Los	

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

	217
Angeles County	277
Agenda Item 32: Consideration of Staff Recommendation on the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of Adoption of a Compliance Order Relative to the Biennial Review Findings for the Yuba/Sutter Regional Waste Management Authority	286
Agenda Item 33: Consideration of Staff Recommendation on the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of Adoption of a Compliance Order Relative to the Biennial Review Findings for the City of Avenal, Kings County	295
Agenda Item 34: Consideration of Staff Recommendation on the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of Adoption of a Compliance Order Relative to the Biennial Review Findings for the Kings Waste and Recycling Authority, Kings County ..	299
Agenda Item 35: Consideration of Adoption of a Compliance Order Relative to the Biennial Review Findings for the City of Ontario, San Bernardino ...	316
Agenda Item 36: Consideration of Staff Recommendation on the Biennial Review Findings for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element; and Consideration of Adoption of a Compliance Order Relative to the Biennial Review Findings for the City of La Habra Heights, Los Angeles County	324
Agenda Item 37: Status Update on the Quarterly Compliance Order Reports for the Cities of Hawthorne, Hawaiian Gardens, and Coachella (Oral Presentation)	329
Agenda Item 38: Consideration of a Process for Resolving the Issue of Completeness for the Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan for Ventura County	333
Agenda Item 39: Consideration and Approval of Scoring Criteria and Evaluation Process for the 1999/00 Used Oil Opportunity Grants	338

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

	218
Agenda Item 40: Consideration and Approval of the Scope of Work for an Agreement with California State University Sacramento Foundation to Support Marketing and Distribution of Earth Resources Curriculum	356
VIII. PUBLIC COMMENT	
None	
IX. ADJOURNMENT	364

1 WEDNESDAY, JUNE 23, 1999

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

2 ---oOo---

3 I. CALL TO ORDER

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: GOOD MORNING
5 EVERYONE, AND WELCOME BACK, OR WELCOME TO, DEPENDING IF YOU
6 WERE HERE YESTERDAY OR NOT, THE CONTINUATION OF THE JUNE
7 22ND AND 23RD CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
8 MEETING.

9 II. ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: I NOTICE THAT THERE'S A QUORUM
11 HERE CONTINUED FROM YESTERDAY. BUT, MADAM SECRETARY, JUST
12 TO ENSURE THAT WE'VE CROSSED ALL THE "T'S AND DOTTED THE
13 "I'S, IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND CALLING THE ROLL JUST ONE MORE
14 TIME.

15 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

16 MEMBER JONES: HERE.

17 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

18 MEMBER PENNINGTON: HERE.

19 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

20 MEMBER ROBERTI: HERE.

21 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: HERE.

23 III. OPENING REMARKS

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: MEMBERS, ANY EX PARTES TO

1 DISCLOSE FROM WHEN WE LAST MET?

2 MEMBER PENNINGTON: NOT REALLY. I DID JUST SPEAK
3 TO GEORGE EWAN (PHON), BUT THAT WAS ON A PERSONAL MATTER.

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MR. JONES?

5 MEMBER JONES: A COUPLE YESTERDAY AFTER THE BOARD
6 MEETING. EUGENE SING (PHON) AND STEVEN TUCKER ON CARSON.
7 AND PAUL RYAN THIS MORNING FOR A COUPLE OF MINUTES ON BASE-
8 YEAR. AND, I THINK I SAID HI TO GEORGE LARSON AND SOME
9 OTHER FOLKS FROM KINGS COUNTY. AND THAT'S ABOUT IT.

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. ALL RIGHTY. SENATOR,
11 ANYTHING?

12 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH, THERE WAS ONE FROM THE 11TH
13 OF JUNE REGARDING THE PRODUCTS OF THAT COMPANY, AGRICULTURAL
14 WASTE, MUNICIPAL SEWAGE, INDUSTRIAL SLUDGE, HOUSEHOLD
15 GARBAGE, TIRES, HAZARDOUS AND TOXIC WASTE, ETC.

16 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

17 MEMBER ROBERTI: NOTHING THAT WAS, TO MY
18 KNOWLEDGE, BEFORE US, HOWEVER.

19 CHAIRMAN EATON: I JUST HAVE THREE. A LETTER FROM
20 YVONNE HUNTER. A LETTER FROM CLIFF ALLENBEE (PHON), WHICH I
21 BELIEVE EACH OF THE OTHER MEMBERS MAY HAVE RECEIVED
22 REGARDING OUR SABRAC (PHON) LETTER TO THEM. AND KATHLEEN
23 GILDRED (PHON) REGARDING THE 21ST CENTURY PROJECT. AND I
24 HAD A SHORT, A BRIEF CONVERSATION WITH JOHN CUPPS, SORT OF A

1 MEET-AND GREET AND HOW-YOU-DOING THIS MORNING.

2 FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO MAY HAVE JUST BEEN NEW
3 TO OUR BOARD, IN THE BACK OF THE AUDIENCE THERE ARE SPEAKER
4 REQUEST FORMS. IF YOU'D KINDLY FILL THEM OUT WITH THE AGENDA
5 ITEM NUMBER AND BRING THEM UP HERE TO MS. DOMINGUEZ, ON MY
6 LEFT AND TO YOUR RIGHT, MOSTLY, SHE'LL MAKE SURE THAT SHE
7 GETS THEM TO ME AND THAT WE'LL GET YOU TO THE AGENDA SO YOU
8 CAN COMMENT ON THE APPROPRIATE AGENDA ITEM THAT YOU WOULD
9 LIKE.

10 IN THE FUTURE, I'D LIKE TO ASK OUR
11 COLLEAGUES, AND PERHAPS THE STAFF, IF THEY COULD DEVELOP A
12 VIDEO, JUST LIKE WHEN YOU'RE IN AN AIRPLANE, THEY NOW, WHEN
13 YOU BUCKLED IN OR SOMETHING -- WE CAN KIND OF DO THE
14 INTRODUCTORY KIND OF -- YOU KNOW, ON THE TV AND WE'LL GO
15 HIGH-TECH OF HOW THE BOARD OPERATES AND THEN, YOU KNOW,
16 WE'LL BELT YOU IN AND YOU CAN GET YOUR REFRESHMENTS AS SOON
17 AS WE'RE ABLE TO STABILIZE HERE.

18 IV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS

19 CHAIRMAN EATON: YESTERDAY WE WENT OVER BOARD
20 MEMBER REPORTS, BUT I WOULD JUST ASK, IN CASE THERE ARE ANY
21 BOARD MEMBERS WHO WISH TO SPEAK ON ANY ITEM OR OFFER ANY
22 COMMENTS?

23 V. CONTINUED BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. HEARING NONE, WE'LL MOVE -

1 - THERE ARE NO CONTINUED ITEMS FROM YESTERDAY OR -- THAT
2 WERE CALENDARED.

3 VI. CONSENT CALENDAR

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: THE NEXT CONSIDERATION, THE
5 APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. YESTERDAY WE APPROVED A
6 NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT WERE SCHEDULED FOR YESTERDAY'S
7 CALENDAR. TODAY WE HAVE SEVERAL ITEMS THAT ARE PROPOSED FOR
8 CONSENT, THOSE ARE ITEMS 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, AND 28.

9 WITH REGARD TO ITEM NO. 28 THE STAFF HAS
10 REQUESTED THAT SUBSECTION "F," 28 "F" WHICH REGARDS MURRIETA
11 BE PULLED. SO THEY WILL NOT BE PART OF TODAY'S AGENDA
12 EITHER AS A DISCUSSION POINT IN THE REGULAR AGENDA OR AS
13 PART OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. SO --

14 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON?

16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: IF YOU'RE COMPLETE WITH THAT
17 LIST I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

19 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND MR.
21 JONES SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 21,
22 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, AND 28, MINUS 28 "F."

23 MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE
24 ROLL?

1 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

2 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

3 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.

5 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

6 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.

7 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE.

9 AND IN KEEPING WITH THE ADMONITION OF MY
10 COLLEAGUE, MR. JONES, I'LL TAKE TWO SECONDS JUST TO READ
11 INTO THE RECORD THOSE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS ON ITEM NO.
12 28, JUST FOR THE RECORD: HUMBOLDT COUNTY, BLUE LAKE; LOS
13 ANGELES COUNTY, CALABASAS, LOS ANGELES, SIERRA MADRE,
14 WESTLAKE VILLAGE; MADERA COUNTY, MADERA; MENDOCINO COUNTY,
15 POINT ARENA; NEVADA COUNTY, NEVADA CITY; SAN DIEGO COUNTY,
16 NATIONAL CITY; TRINITY COUNTY, TRINITY COUNTY
17 UNINCORPORATED; TULARE COUNTY, TULARE COUNTY UNINCORPORATED.
18 OKAY?

19 MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

20 MR. CHAIRMAN?

21 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

22 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE QUICK POINT ON THAT LIST
23 WE READ DOWN, FOR THOSE WITH A MEMORY, AND FOR THOSE THAT
24 WEREN'T HERE WHEN WE HAD TO DEAL WITH THE SRRES, POINT ARENA

1 WAS ONE OF THE CITIES THAT NEEDED TO GET THEIR SRRE IN, WAS
2 PART OF THE FOUR CITIES -- OR, CITIES AND COUNTIES THAT WE
3 HAD TO DEAL WITH -- AND THEY DID IT WITH LOCAL VOLUNTEERS TO
4 PUT THIS TOGETHER, AND NOW THEY'RE AT 45 OR 46%, AND I THINK
5 IT'S A TRIBUTE TO LITTLE POINT ARENA. WITH A PUBLIC WORKS
6 BUDGET OF \$1800 FOR THE ENTIRE YEAR IT'S QUITE AN
7 ACCOMPLISHMENT THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO DO THAT, AND I JUST
8 WANTED TO SHARE THAT WITH THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT WEREN'T
9 HERE.

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: I APPRECIATE THAT.

11 VII. NEW BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS

12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMPLIANCE:

13
14 AGENDA ITEM 29: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
15 ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
16 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE FOLLOWING JURISDICTIONS (SECOND OF
17 TWO ITEMS): [LISTS JURISDICTIONS]

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WE CAN GO INTO OUR REGULAR
19 AGENDA, BEGINNING WITH ITEM NO. 29.

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
21 BOARD MEMBERS.

22 ITEM 29 IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
23 RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
24 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR SEVERAL

1 JURISDICTIONS. THESE ARE JURISDICTIONS THAT DID NOT MAKE
2 25% BY 1995, BUT APPEAR TO STAFF TO HAVE MADE A GOOD-FAITH
3 EFFORT TO DO SO.

4 AND, WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE PRESENTATION
5 OVER TO STEVE SORELLE WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

6 MR. SORELLE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
7 BOARD MEMBERS. I'M STEVE SORELLE, AGAIN, WITH THE BOARD'S
8 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, NORTH SECTION.

9 TODAY I'M PRESENTING ITEM NO. 29, BIENNIAL
10 REVIEW RESULTS FOR THE CITIES OF AMERICAN CANYON IN NAPA
11 COUNTY, NEEDLES IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, VALLEJO IN SOLONO
12 COUNTY, AND YOLO COUNTY UNINCORPORATED, AND SISKIYOU COUNTY
13 INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT REGIONAL AGENCY.

14 STAFF REVIEW INDICATES THAT, ALTHOUGH THESE
15 JURISDICTIONS WERE BELOW THE 25% GOAL FOR EITHER '95 OR '96,
16 OR BOTH YEARS, THEY ARE MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO
17 IMPLEMENT DIVERSION PROGRAMS.

18 THE CITY OF AMERICAN CANYON WAS A SINGLE
19 PERCENTAGE POINT BELOW THE '95 GOAL AT 24%, AND THROUGH
20 INCREASED GREEN WASTE PROGRAMS, THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
21 CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DEBRIS COLLECTION, AND OTHER
22 PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS, ROSE TO 42% IN '96.

23 THE CITY OF NEEDLES IS A RURAL JURISDICTION
24 IN THE MOJAVE DESERT AREA OF SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. THEY

1 ALSO MISSED THE '95 GOAL BY A SINGLE PERCENTAGE POINT AND
2 MAINTAIN A 24% DIVERSION RATE FOR 1996. THEY HAVE
3 IMPLEMENTED THE MAJORITY OF THE PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED IN THEIR
4 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT. STAFF VISITED THE
5 CITY RECENTLY AND FELT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION WAS
6 APPROPRIATE FOR THE SIZE AND LOCATION OF THIS COMMUNITY.

7 THE CITY OF VALLEJO WAS AT 15% FOR 1995, BUT
8 INCREASED TO 24% BY 1996, AND ROSE TO 28% BY 1997. ALTHOUGH
9 MOST OF VALLEJO'S SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
10 PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED, WE FEEL THEY NEED TO EXPAND
11 IN SOME AREAS.

12 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TARGETED
13 IMPLEMENTED ASSISTANCE PROGRAM HAS BEEN WORKING
14 PRELIMINARILY WITH VALLEJO ON PROGRAM REVIEW AND
15 ENHANCEMENT. HOWEVER, IF VALLEJO DOES NOT FINALIZE THE
16 AGREEMENT WITH TARGETED ASSISTANCE WE WILL HAVE CONCERNS
17 ABOUT THIS JURISDICTION'S GOOD-FAITH EFFORT.

18 SISKIYOU COUNTY INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE
19 MANAGEMENT REGIONAL AGENCY CONSISTS OF 10 SMALL COMMUNITIES
20 WHO HAVE REGIONALIZED TO SHARE PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND
21 COORDINATION. THEY WERE GRANTED AN EXTENSION TO 1997 TO
22 REACH THE 25% GOAL, AND CAME IN AT 24.4, TENTHS OF A PERCENT
23 BELOW THE GOAL.

24 THEY ALSO HAVE A GOAL REDUCTION OF 30% BY

1 2000, AND HAVE INFORMED STAFF THEY ARE CONFIDENT OF REACHING
2 THAT GOAL.

3 YOLO COUNTY UNINCORPORATED WAS 21% IN 1995,
4 16% IN 1996, BY 1997 THEY HAVE RISEN TO 37%. THEY HAVE
5 IMPLEMENTED ALMOST ALL THEIR SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
6 ELEMENT, IDENTIFIED PROGRAMS, AND APPEAR TO BE WELL ON THEIR
7 WAY TO THE 50% GOAL IN 2000.

8 STAFF BELIEVE THESE JURISDICTIONS ARE MAKING
9 GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT DIVERSION PROGRAMS, AND
10 RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEWS.

11 REPRESENTATIVES OF THESE JURISDICTIONS, OR
12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE STAFF, ARE HERE TODAY TO ANSWER ANY
13 QUESTIONS.

14 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. DO YOU HAVE
15 ANY QUESTIONS?

16 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS?

17 I HAVE ONE SPEAKER SLIP FROM MR. PAUL RYAN,
18 REPRESENTING NEEDLES, CALIFORNIA.

19 MR. RYAN: HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS,
20 MY NAME IS PAUL RYAN. I AM REPRESENTING THE CITY OF NEEDLES
21 AS P.F. RYAN AND ASSOCIATES TODAY.

22 THIS IS ONE OF THE CITIES THAT I BROUGHT
23 FORWARD TO YOU FOLKS AT THE DIAMOND BAR WORKSHOP, WE QUIPPED
24 ABOUT PLEASANTVILLE. UNFORTUNATELY, NEEDLES WILL NOT BE

1 ABLE TO BE HERE TODAY, I TALKED TO THEIR PUBLIC WORKS
2 DIRECTOR AND HE DOESN'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY IN HIS BUDGET
3 RIGHT NOW TO EVEN AFFORD AN AIRLINE TICKET, SO I'M HERE
4 REPRESENTING THEM *PRO BONO* THIS MORNING.

5 SO, IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR
6 PROGRAMS I'D BE DELIGHTED TO RESPOND. THANK YOU.

7 CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS?

8 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

10 MEMBER JONES: I WANTED TO ASK STAFF A QUESTION
11 ABOUT VALLEJO. I DON'T KNOW IF VALLEJO'S -- I DON'T HAVE A
12 PROBLEM WITH THE OTHERS.

13 BUT I WONDER IF STAFF WOULD.... YOU'RE GOING
14 TO TRY TO HELP THEM WITH TARGET IMPLEMENTATION. BUT, I
15 MEAN, THAT'S A CITY THAT HAD INCREDIBLE GROWTH THROUGH THE
16 '80S AND EARLY '90S, AND I THINK THEY PUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE
17 IN PLACE TO DEAL WITH AN AWFUL LOT OF THAT.

18 BUT WITH THE STATUS OF MARE ISLAND, AND SOME
19 OF THE DECONSTRUCTION THAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO GO ON AND
20 OTHER THINGS, ARE PART OF YOUR TARGETED HELP IN THAT AREA
21 GOING TO ADDRESS WHAT COULD BE A TREMENDOUS WASTE STREAM
22 THAT'S GENERATED IN THAT JURISDICTION?

23 AND, IF THEY'RE NOT GOING TO PUT PROGRAMS
24 TOGETHER, THEY'RE GOING TO GO OFF THE MAP. I MEAN, THEY

1 START TEARING THAT DOWN AND NOT RECYCLE MARE ISLAND THEY'RE
2 GOING TO BE AT -- THEY'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE VERY GOOD
3 DIVERSION RATES IN 2000.

4 MR. SCHIAVO: PAT SCHIAVO OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
5 ASSISTANCE.

6 YEAH, OUR PRELIMINARY TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION
7 ASSISTANCE PLAN WILL INCLUDE A PROCUREMENT POLICY WITH
8 CONCRETE AND ASPHALT; EXPLORE IMPLEMENTATION OF ORDINANCES
9 AND PLANNING GUIDELINES, ESPECIALLY FOCUSING ON MARE ISLAND
10 AND THE FAIR GROUNDS, MARINE WORLD, SOME OF THOSE AREAS;
11 EXPAND THE COMMERCIAL WASTE AUDIT PROGRAM TO INCLUDE MARE
12 ISLAND, MARINE WORLD, FAIRGROUNDS, AND SOME OF THE HOSPITALS
13 THEY HAVE IN THE AREA.

14 WE'RE ALSO LOOKING AT INCREASING THE
15 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE RECYCLING OPPORTUNITIES FOR THEIR GREEN
16 WASTE AND OTHER MATERIALS AT THE DEVELAND (PHON) TRANSFER
17 STATION.

18 SO, THERE ARE QUITE A FEW ITEMS TO ADDRESS
19 THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE WASTE STREAM. BUT IT'S A
20 PRELIMINARY PLAN, IT'S OUR PROPOSAL TO THEM AND WE NEED TO
21 FIND OUT IF THEY'RE GOING TO AGREE WITH THAT AND SIGN OFF ON
22 THE AGREEMENT WITH US.

23 MEMBER JONES: WELL, THEN THAT BEGS THE QUESTION
24 THAT -- I MEAN, THESE ARE GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS THAT WE'RE

1 LOOKING AT, THEY DIDN'T HIT
2 THE NUMERIC NUMBER. IF THIS PARTICULAR JURISDICTION -- IF
3 WE DIDN'T FIND A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT HERE, DOES THAT -- THEN
4 THEY GO INTO A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE WHERE THE TARGETED
5 ASSISTANCE WOULD HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH THAT
6 JURISDICTION.

7 AND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THEY HAVEN'T AGREED
8 YET TO -- OR, WHAT'S THE -- OR IS THERE SOMEBODY FROM
9 VALLEJO HERE THAT -- FROM THE CITY?

10 MR. SCHIAVO: NO. HEIDI SANBORN OF OUR STAFF'S
11 BEEN WORKING WITH THEM IN PUTTING THE AGREEMENT TOGETHER.
12 IT'S PRETTY CLOSE. AND I DON'T KNOW IF SHE HAS ANYTHING TO
13 ADD. BUT WE'RE WORKING WITH THEM TO TRY TO GET A MUTUAL
14 AGREED-UPON PLAN IN ORDER. WHETHER OR NOT THAT HAPPENS,
15 WHETHER THEY SIGN IT WE DON'T KNOW AT THIS POINT.

16 MR. SORELLE: STEVE SORELLE AGAIN. RICK SCHNEIDER
17 WAS INVITED AND PLANS ON ATTENDING, HE'S NOT HERE YET. HE
18 MAY BE HERE LATER TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

19 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN? I DON'T KNOW IF
20 THERE'S MORE QUESTIONS, BUT I'D BE PREPARED TO -- I DON'T
21 KNOW IF I HAVE A RESOLUTION TO -- OH, YEAH, OKAY. I'D BE
22 WILLING TO MOVE A RESOLUTION THAT INCLUDES ALL THESE
23 JURISDICTIONS AS GOOD-FAITH EFFORT EXCEPT VALLEJO, TILL
24 WE'VE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF EXPLANATION. IF THE OTHER BOARD

1 MEMBERS -- I WANT TO -- WE'LL FIND OUT, I'LL PUT THAT MOTION
2 OUT --

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

4 MEMBER JONES: -- AND LET ME....

5 MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION
6 1999-257, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION
7 ON THE BIENNIAL FINDINGS FOR THE FOLLOWING JURISDICTIONS:
8 AMERICAN CANYON IN NAPA, NEEDLES IN SAN BERNARDINO, SISKIYOU
9 COUNTY INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT REGIONAL AGENCY,
10 YOLO COUNTY, YOLO COUNTY UNINCORPORATED. THAT WOULD BE LESS
11 VALLEJO AT THIS POINT.

12 CHAIRMAN EATON: AND THAT WHEN THE INDIVIDUAL FROM
13 THAT JURISDICTION SHOWS UP WE WILL THEN TAKE UP THAT MATTER.
14 OKAY.

15 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WE COULD DO THAT, OR WOULD YOU
16 RATHER JUST HOLD THE WHOLE ITEM OVER UNTIL HE GETS HERE?

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: I THINK WE CAN CONTINUE THE
18 MATTER, JUST DELAY IT UNTIL HE'S HERE. ARE WE SURE HE'S
19 EVEN COMING, I GUESS THAT'S PROBABLY --

20 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YEAH, RIGHT.

21 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- I THINK THE FIRST --

22 MS. FRIEDMAN: I THINK HE'S BEEN INVITED AND HE
23 INDICATED HE WOULD, BUT WE CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT HE WILL.

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

1 MEMBER PENNINGTON: COULD SOMEBODY CALL?

2 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE COULD PUT IN A CALL, YES.

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: SENATOR ROBERTI?

4 MS. FRIEDMAN: HEIDI'S GOING TO CALL.

5 MEMBER ROBERTI: AGAIN, AS I UNDERSTAND, VALLEJO'S
6 A 37 FOR '97?

7 MR. SCHIAVO: I BELIEVE IT'S 28% FOR '97. BUT WE
8 HAVE A CONCERN THAT THEY MAY BE STALLING OUT IN PROGRAM
9 IMPLEMENTATION, AND SO WE'RE TRYING TO WORK WITH THEM TO GET
10 ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED.

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: SO GIVE ME THE THREE YEARS AGAIN.
12 IT WAS...?

13 MR. SORELLE: FIFTEEN PERCENT FOR '95, 24% FOR
14 '96, AND 28% FOR '97.

15 MR. SCHIAVO: OUR CONCERN IS THAT TO GET TO THE
16 50% GOAL THEY NEED TO TARGET THOSE MAJOR WASTE STREAM
17 COMPONENTS, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE WORKING ON TRYING TO GET A
18 PLANNED AGREEMENT WITH.

19 MEMBER ROBERTI: BUT HAVEN'T WE IN OTHER CASES
20 TAKEN COGNIZANCE OF THE '97 YEAR IN AMELIORATING OUR
21 POSITION AS FAR AS THE '95 YEAR IS CONCERNED?

22 MALE VOICE: HERE'S THE MAN RIGHT HERE, SO.

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: TIMING IS EVERYTHING IN THIS
24 BUSINESS. WE'RE NOT EVEN ASKING YOU TO FILL OUT A SLIP, WE

1 KNOW WHO ARE, BUT YOU CAN STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
2 WE'RE ALL STRAPPED IN AND READY TO GO, YOU MISSED
3 REFRESHMENTS AND THE BUSINESSMAN'S LUNCH, THE PEANUTS, BUT
4 YOU'RE OKAY.

5 MR. SCHNEIDER: OKAY. I'M RICK SCHNEIDER FROM THE
6 CITY OF VALLEJO. AND I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT
7 YOU MIGHT HAVE.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: I ASSUME MR. JONES....

9 MEMBER JONES: MR. SCHNEIDER, I JUST MADE A MOTION
10 ON BIENNIAL REVIEWS FOR EVERY JURISDICTION EXCEPT THE CITY
11 OF VALLEJO, BECAUSE I WANTED SOME ANSWERS. AND IT WASN'T
12 SECONDED, SO....

13 YOU WERE 15 IN '95, OR 16,
14 AND 20-SOMETHING IN -- OR, 24 IN '96, AND NOW YOU'RE UP TO
15 28. WHAT'S THE CITY DOING -- OR, WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS TO
16 DEAL WITH HOW YOU'RE GOING TO GET TO 50% IN YOUR
17 JURISDICTION BETWEEN NOW AND THE YEAR 2000?

18 MR. SCHNEIDER: PROBABLY, ONE, I DON'T THINK THAT
19 WE WILL REACH 50%, BUT WE ARE MAKING INCREASED EFFORTS TO
20 SUPPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAD IN PLACE, PUT A LITTLE
21 MORE EMPHASIS ON MEETING WITH THE BUSINESSES AND TRYING TO
22 GET MORE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION FROM THE BUSINESSES AND THE
23 APARTMENT COMMUNITY. AND WE'RE GOING TO GET HIGHER BUT I
24 DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S A CHANCE OF US REACHING 50%,

1 HOWEVER, WE WILL CONTINUE TO MAKE PROGRESS TOWARDS THAT
2 GOAL.

3 MEMBER JONES: SOME OF THE TALK THAT WE HAD WAS
4 ABOUT MARE ISLAND AND SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT ARE GOING ON
5 THERE, WHICH ARE WITHIN THAT CITY. WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS TO
6 DEAL WITH THE DECONSTRUCTION OR RETROFITTING OF MARE ISLAND,
7 OR THOSE PORTIONS THAT'RE GOING TO BE -- NEED TO BE
8 REDEVELOPED?

9 MR. SCHNEIDER: WELL, IN THE SHORT-TERM WE DO NOT
10 EXPECT MAJOR REDEVELOPMENT OF MARE ISLAND. OBVIOUSLY THE
11 NAVY IS DOING A LOT OF CLEANUP, AND THEY'VE BEEN SOMEWHAT
12 COOPERATIVE
13 BUT THEY'VE GOT THEIR OWN AGENDA IN TERMS OF CLEANING UP
14 PRIMARILY HAZARDOUS WASTE. PAINTS THAT WERE SCRAPED OFF THE
15 BOTTOM OF SHIPS, NUCLEAR-CONTAMINATED SOILS, THINGS OF THIS
16 OF THAT NATURE. BUT, SO THEY'VE GOT -- THAT'S A WHOLE
17 SEPARATE PROGRAM.

18 MANY OF THE BUILDINGS ON MARE ISLAND HAVE
19 BEEN DESIGNATED BY THE STATE OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
20 AS LANDMARKS AND, AS SUCH, HAVE LITTLE OR NO ABILITY TO BE
21 MODIFIED, SO THERE'S NO CONSTRUCTION -- NO SIGNIFICANT
22 CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION ASSOCIATED WITH THAT.

23 WE HAVE JUST SIGNED THE PAPERS
24 WITH THE NAVY SO THAT THE NAVY CAN GIVE US THE LAND AND --

1 PORTIONS OF THE LAND, AND GET IT CLEANED UP AND WE CAN GET
2 INTO LONG-TERM LEASES.

3 BUT UP TO THIS POINT IT'S BEEN PRETTY MUCH A
4 -- BUSINESS IS MOVING IN AND DOING VERY LITTLE MODIFICATIONS
5 TO THE STRUCTURE, AND THAT PROBABLY WILL CONTINUE IN THE
6 LONG-TERM. BUT AS WE START GETTING MORE FULLY INVOLVED WITH
7 THE REOCCUPATION OF MARE ISLAND WE'LL BE WORKING WITH THEM
8 IN TERMS OF MONITORING THEIR WASTE AND TRYING TO DEAL WITH
9 ANY CONSTRUCTION WASTE OR DEMOLITION WASTE THAT THEY
10 PRODUCE. BUT SO FAR THAT HAS NOT REALLY BEEN AN ISSUE FOR
11 CIVILIANS, IF YOU WILL.

12 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. SO AS A CITY YOU DON'T SEE
13 THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO TOO MUCH MORE OVER 28%
14 BASED ON EVERYTHING YOU KNOW RIGHT NOW.

15 MR. SCHNEIDER: I THINK 35 TO 40% IS PROBABLY AS
16 HIGH AS WE ARE LIKELY TO GET IN THE NEXT YEAR, YEAR AND A
17 HALF.

18 MEMBER JONES: IS THAT BECAUSE OF THE WASTE
19 STREAM, OR BECAUSE OF THE OPERATIONAL ISSUES, TO TRY TO GET
20 TO THAT HIGHER NUMBER?

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: I THINK IT'S BOTH. BUT --

22 MEMBER JONES: OR BECAUSE OF MONEY?

23 MR. SCHNEIDER: -- I THINK WE'VE HAD A LOT OF
24 RESISTANCE FROM VARIOUS SEGMENTS OF THE POPULATION TO

1 GETTING INVOLVED WITH RECYCLING INITIALLY, AND I THINK THAT
2 THAT IS CHANGING, SO WE'RE GETTING MORE AND MORE SUPPORT.

3 WE JUST HAVE SOME TECHNICAL ISSUES THAT WE
4 NEED TO RESOLVE. FOR INSTANCE TEACHING THE PUBLIC NOT TO
5 PUT THEIR NEWSPAPERS OUT WHEN IT'S RAINING BECAUSE THAT THEN
6 BECOMES WASTE FOR US, AND THAT IS A SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM IN
7 THE PAST. AND WE'RE WORKING ON TRYING TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC
8 ON HOW BETTER TO TREAT THEIR RECYCLABLES.

9 MEMBER JONES: AND THE BUSINESSES, AUDITS, THINGS
10 LIKE THAT, IS THAT PART OF THE PLAN?

11 MR. SCHNEIDER: WE'RE GOING TO BE GETTING INTO
12 SOME OF THAT. BUT PART OF THE PROBLEM IS THAT NEARLY ALL OF
13 OUR BUSINESSES ARE EXTREMELY SMALL, ONE- OR TWO-PERSON,
14 EMPLOYEE TYPE STOREFRONTS, WE HAVE VERY FEW LARGE
15 BUSINESSES. OBVIOUSLY, MARINE WORLD. AND BEHIND THAT
16 PROBABLY THE HOSPITALS AND THE SCHOOL DISTRICT. EVERYTHING
17 ELSE IS VERY SMALL EMPLOYMENT GENERATORS.

18 MEMBER JONES: MARINE WORLD, THEY DON'T -- WHEN IT
19 WAS -- I GUESS THEY DON'T HAVE THE ANIMALS THEY USED TO
20 HAVE. RIGHT?

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: THEY'VE GOTTEN RID OF A LOT OF THE
22 ANIMALS. BUT EVEN THE ANIMAL WASTE IS BEING COMPOSTED.

23 MEMBER JONES: IS IT?

24 MR. SCHNEIDER: THE REAL PROBLEM IS PRIMARILY WITH

1 FOOD CONTAINERS THAT COME OUT OF THE DIFFERENT RESTAURANTS
2 AND DEALING WITH THAT.

3 MEMBER JONES: IS THERE -- HOW DOES THE CITY FEEL
4 ABOUT WORKING WITH OUR TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION DIVISION TO
5 TRY TO EXPAND THE PROGRAMS --

6 MR. SCHNEIDER: STAFF IS CERTAINLY SUPPORTIVE --

7 MEMBER JONES: -- OR HELP DEFINE --

8 MR. SCHNEIDER: -- OF THAT, WE JUST HAVE NOT YET
9 GONE TO THE MAYOR AND THE COUNCIL ON IT. WE'VE STARTED
10 TALKING WITH YOUR STAFF, AND WE'RE KIND OF ANXIOUS ABOUT
11 WORKING WITH THEM AND -- TO INCREASE OUR EFFECTIVENESS.

12 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. SCHNEIDER, IS MARE ISLAND
14 STILL A GOOD SOURCE FOR THE TELEVISION AND MOVIE INDUSTRY?
15 ARE YOU TRYING TO BUILD THAT UP?

16 MR. SCHNEIDER: CERTAINLY WE ARE ANXIOUS TO MEET
17 WITH ANYONE THAT'S WILLING TO USE THOSE FACILITIES.
18 BECAUSE, AS YOU KNOW, THERE ARE A LOT OF CLOSED MILITARY
19 BASES --

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: RIGHT.

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: -- IN ARE AREA AND THERE'S A LOT
22 OF COMPETITION FOR THAT. BUT WE HAVE HAD FOUR OR FIVE
23 MOVIES I THINK THAT HAVE BEEN FILMED IN PART ON MARE ISLAND,
24 AND THAT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO PROMOTE.

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: WELL, ONE OF THE THINGS, AND IT'S
2 JUST REALLY A SUGGESTION AND IT'S -- AND YOU PROBABLY HAVE
3 ALREADY DONE THIS, SO I DON'T MEAN TO IMPLY THAT YOU MAYBE
4 HAVE NOT THOUGHT OF THIS. BUT, WE HAVE JUST RECENTLY GIVEN
5 ONE ORGANIZATION AN AWARD CALLED LOONEY BINS, IF I'M NOT
6 MISTAKEN, THAT GO IN AND DO THE DECONSTRUCTION OF MOVIE SETS
7 DOWN IN THE L.A. AREA. AND IT MAY BE SOMETHING, AS YOU
8 NEGOTIATE OR TALK WITH THE STUDIOS, NOT AS A LEVERAGE POINT
9 --

10 MR. SCHNEIDER: RIGHT.

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- BUT AS A GOOD PARTNER IN IT,
12 THAT TO TRY AND SEE IF THEY HAVE THESE ORGANIZATIONS THAT
13 WOULD HELP YOU IN TERMS OF YOUR WASTE STREAM, TOO, IF IT'S
14 GOING TO BE COUNTED AGAINST YOU --

15 MR. SCHNEIDER: THAT'S A GOOD SUGGESTION, I THANK
16 YOU.

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- IT'S REALLY JUST SOMETHING
18 THAT AS PART OF WHAT -- AS YOU THINK ABOUT IT, THERE ARE
19 THOSE KINDS OF THINGS THAT OUR STAFF CAN HELP YOU, PUT IN
20 TOUCH WITH THOSE KINDS OF PEOPLE. IT WILL HELP YOU IN YOUR
21 PARTICULAR PROBLEM.

22 AND I THINK IT IS ALSO A GOOD THING, THESE --
23 I'M NOT RECOMMENDING ONE PARTICULAR COMPANY, BUT THEY'VE
24 DONE A, I THINK, PRETTY GOOD, SUCCESSFUL JOB AT

1 ACCOMPLISHING THAT. AND ALL OF US AT THE BOARD, AND SENATOR
2 ROBERTI, IT'S CLOSE TO HIS HEART AS WELL -- IT WOULD BE A
3 GOOD ORGANIZATION AT SOME POINT -- IF IT EVER HAPPENS --
4 IT'S A GOOD WAY TO KIND OF TAKE CARE OF A PROBLEM AND HELP
5 GET YOUR OTHER NUMBERS UP THAT YOU WOULDN'T EVEN THINK
6 ABOUT.

7 MR. SCHNEIDER: OKAY, I APPRECIATE THE SUGGESTION.
8 THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY. WELL, WE HAD A
10 MOTION INITIALLY WITHOUT VALLEJO. DO WE HAVE A SECOND ON
11 THAT MOTION? OR, DO YOU WANT TO WITHDRAW THAT MOTION?

12 MEMBER JONES: YEAH, I'LL WITHDRAW IT.

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WELL, THEN --

14 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'M SORRY.

16 MEMBER JONES: I DO HAVE A QUESTION THOUGH. I
17 MEAN, I'M STILL CONCERNED THAT -- STILL CONCERNED AS TO, YOU
18 KNOW, HOW THE CITY OF VALLEJO'S GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

19 I WOULD ASK THAT TIA STAFF WORK
20 WITH IT BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO BE IMPORTANT. IF THEY'RE --
21 IF THEY DON'T THINK THEY CAN DO MUCH BETTER THAN 28%, OR
22 30%, I DON'T AGREE WITH THAT. AND WHEN THIS THING COMES IN
23 THE YEAR 2000 AT 50%, AND WE LOOK AT GOOD-FAITH EFFORT THEN
24 IT'S GOING TO BE A DIFFERENT SET OF ISSUES.

1 MY PROBLEM WITH IT IS, IS I THINK STAFF FOR
2 VALLEJO IS WORKING HARD. AND ALWAYS TRYING TO CONVINCE THE
3 ELECTED OFFICIALS IN A JURISDICTION THAT THEY NEED TO --
4 THAT THIS NEEDS TO RISE TO THE LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE -- THAT
5 IT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY TAKEN SERIOUSLY SOMETIMES IS
6 REINFORCED BY ACTIONS OF THIS BOARD.

7 AND I AM IN A QUANDARY AS TO WHETHER OR NOT
8 WE NEED TO -- I MEAN, QUITE FRANKLY, I DON'T HAVE ANY
9 PROBLEM LOOKING AT 15% AND SAYING IT AIN'T ENOUGH. I MEAN,
10 THAT DOESN'T -- I DON'T BLINK AN EYE AT THAT. AND I'M JUST
11 WORRIED ON THIS JURISDICTION HOW THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO
12 MEET THE MANDATE AND WHAT KIND OF MESSAGE WE SEND OUT.

13 BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE IS
14 THE -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RIGHT ANSWER IS, AND I DON'T
15 KNOW WHAT THE REST OF MY COLLEAGUES, HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT IT,
16 BUT I -- IF WE GET THIS THROUGH I SURE HOPE THEY WORK WITH
17 YOU BECAUSE IF I'M HERE IN 2000, WHICH THERE'S NO GUARANTEE,
18 AND WE DO THIS, THEIR GOOD-FAITH IS GOING TO MEAN A WHOLE
19 LOT MORE THAN, GEES, YOU KNOW, I SURE WISH WE COULD HAVE
20 DONE IT.

21 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

22 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE
23 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-257.

24 I AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUE, MR. JONES, THAT

1 CERTAINLY THEY NEED TO MAKE A FAITH EFFORT. HOWEVER, THEY
2 DID SAY THEY FELT THEY WOULD GET TO 38 OR 40% IN THE NEXT
3 YEAR, WHICH IS CERTAINLY BETTER THAN THE 28% THAT THEY'RE AT
4 RIGHT NOW. BUT THEY'VE GOT TO MAKE AN EFFORT.

5 SO, I'M HAPPY TO MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-257.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SENATOR ROBERTI?

7 MEMBER ROBERTI: I'LL SECOND THE MOTION. THAT
8 DOESN'T MEAN I'LL VOTE FOR IT, THOUGH.

9 BUT, I MEAN, HAS STAFF MADE A QUALITATIVE --

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU'RE PICKING UP MY TRICK FROM
11 YESTERDAY.

12 MEMBER ROBERTI: RIGHT. HAVE STAFF MADE A
13 QUALITATIVE JUDGMENT AS TO WHETHER THEY CAN GET TO THE 38%?
14 I MEAN, IS THIS REAL OR ARE WE DREAMING, OR...?

15 MR. SCHIAVO: MY UNDERSTANDING -- IT'S JUST AN
16 INTUITIVE, GUT FEEL, IT'S HARD TO -- YOU KNOW, YOU CAN'T
17 TELL DEFINITELY. WE'RE JUST CONCERNED THAT THERE ARE
18 MAJOR PORTIONS OF THE WASTE STREAM THAT NEEDED TO BE FOCUSED
19 ON. WHETHER THAT GETS THEM TO 38 OR 40, OR 20 -- CONTINUES
20 TO KEEP THEM AT 28 OR GETS THEM TO 45, WE CAN'T REALLY TELL.

21 BUT IF THEY FOCUSED ON THOSE MAJOR WASTE STREAMS,
22 IMPLEMENTED SOME OF THE PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS, SUPPORT MARKET
23 DEVELOPMENT, IMPLEMENTED THE EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO SUSTAIN
24 SOME OF THE OTHER ACTIVITIES, WE THINK IT WOULD ENHANCE THE

1 PROGRAMS GREATLY. WHAT PERCENTAGE? DON'T KNOW.

2 MEMBER ROBERTI: OKAY. THEN LET ME ASK IT
3 DIFFERENTLY. DOES STAFF FEEL THAT THEY'RE IN THE PROCESS OF
4 IMPLEMENTING THESE NEWER PROGRAMS THAT THEY HAVEN'T -- OR,
5 IS IT -- OR IS THIS JUST A DISCUSSION FOR OUR SAKE AS TO,
6 YOU KNOW, WHAT WOULD BE A GREAT THING TO DO AND THEY DISCUSS
7 IT IN COUNCIL?

8 OR, I MEAN, IS THERE SOME TANGIBLE MOVEMENT
9 TOWARD THIS IMPLEMENTATION?

10 MR. SCHIAVO: THAT'S -- HEIDI, DO YOU WANT TO --
11 HEIDI'S BEEN DEALING WITH THEM ON A ONE-TO-ONE BASIS.

12 MS. SANBORN: MY TAKE IS THAT IT REALLY IS UP TO
13 THE CITY AT THIS POINT, IT HAS TO BE ELEVATED BEYOND THE
14 STAFF. AND SO WE'RE KIND OF WAITING TO SEE HOW THE CITY
15 COUNCIL AND THE CITY MANAGER LOOKS AT THE AGREEMENT, AND IF
16 THEY TAKE IT SERIOUSLY AND DECIDE TO GO AHEAD AND IMPLEMENT
17 THE MAJOR PROGRAMS WE'VE SUGGESTED.

18 BUT, I DON'T THINK THERE'S BEEN A SIGNIFICANT
19 DECISION AT THIS POINT. I MEAN, WE'VE TALKED TO STAFF QUITE
20 A BIT, BUT I'LL LET RICK ADDRESS THAT TOO.

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: IN TALKING -- THE VARIOUS STAFFS
22 HAVE BEEN TALKING. BUT HEIDI'S CORRECT, WE NEED TO GET THIS
23 ELEVATED UP TO OUR COUNCIL AND BEYOND.

24 ONE THING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SLIGHTLY

1 DIGRESS ON AND ASK FOR THE BOARD'S HELP. ONE OF THE MAJOR
2 PROBLEMS THAT THE CITY OF VALLEJO HAS IS A LACK OF
3 COOPERATION FROM THE SCHOOL DISTRICT. WE NEED THE STATE TO
4 TELL THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT THEY HAVE TO ACTIVELY GET
5 INVOLVED IN RECYCLING. BECAUSE, SO FAR THEY WON'T EVEN TALK
6 TO US. AND THAT'S GOING TO BE AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE CITY
7 OF VALLEJO'S ABILITY TO INCREASE OUR DIVERSION RATE.

8 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE TO ME THAT
9 YOU'RE SUGGESTING THAT WE DO WHAT
10 MR. JONES HAD SUGGESTED, THEN. THAT WE NEED TO TAKE SOME
11 ACTION THAT'S GOING TO GET THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE SCHOOL
12 BOARD TO RECOGNIZE THAT THEY NEED TO FOCUS ON THIS ISSUE.

13 MR. SCHNEIDER: WELL, I THINK THAT WOULD BE VERY
14 HELPFUL TO STAFF.

15 MEMBER JONES: NO PROBLEM.

16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, THEN I'LL --

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT THEY
18 SHOULD BE LEVIED FINES AT THIS POINT, BUT I THINK THAT A
19 STRONG LETTER FROM THE BOARD ENCOURAGING THE COUNCIL TO GET
20 REFOCUSED WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO ME AND MY COLLEAGUES.

21 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT BRINGS ME
22 TO THE POINT WHERE I'M GOING TO WITHDRAW MY MOTION.

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: I AGREE, I WITHDRAW MY SECOND.

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

2 MEMBER ROBERTI: I DON'T SENSE TOO MUCH HAPPENING
3 IN VALLEJO.

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: RIGHT. I'D BE HAPPY TO SECOND
5 MR. JONES' RESOLUTION -- MOTION IF HE'D LIKE TO RECONSIDER
6 IT.

7 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

9 MEMBER JONES: I AM PLEASED TO OFFER FOR ADOPTION
10 RESOLUTION 1999-257, WHICH, FOR THE RECORD, IS CONSIDERATION
11 OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SRRES FOR THE
12 FOLLOWING JURISDICTIONS, AMERICAN CANYON IN NAPA, NEEDLES IN
13 SAN BERNARDINO, SISKIYOU COUNTY'S INTEGRATED WASTE
14 MANAGEMENT REGIONAL AGENCY IN SISKIYOU COUNTY, AND YOLO
15 COUNTY UNINCORPORATED IN YOLO COUNTY, FOR ADOPTION.

16 AND TO DIRECT STAFF TO WORK WITH THE CITY OF
17 VALLEJO AND PUT TOGETHER A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE.

18 JUST SO YOU UNDERSTAND, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING
19 TO BE DOING A LOT -- WELL, THAT'S MY MOTION, AND THEN I WANT
20 TO SAY SOMETHING.

21 MEMBER PENNINGTON: CAN WE ADD TO THAT THAT WE
22 HAVE A REPORT BACK, SAY, IN 90 DAYS AS TO WHO IT'S
23 PROGRESSING AND --

24 MEMBER JONES: WELL, WE HAVE TO AGREE TO THE

1 COMPLIANCE ORDER. RIGHT? DO WE AGREE WITH THE COMPLIANCE
2 SCHEDULE THAT COMES FORWARD?

3 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE WOULD HAVE TO PREPARE ONE AND
4 PUT IT FORWARD FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

5 MEMBER JONES: SO WE'D GET IT --

6 MS. FRIEDMAN: OR --

7 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

8 MEMBER JONES: -- IN 90 DAYS.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: OR -- RIGHT, WE COULD COME BACK
10 WITH A REPORT ON WHAT THE PROGRESS IS IN 90 DAYS, AND THEN
11 YOU COULD DECIDE WHAT YOU WANTED TO DO, WHETHER YOU WANTED
12 TO GO WITH A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE OR A DIFFERENT BIENNIAL
13 DECISION.

14 CHAIRMAN EATON: WOULD THE SEPTEMBER MEETING BE
15 OKAY WITH YOU, MR. PENNINGTON? THAT WOULD BE --

16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YES.

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- ABOUT 90 DAYS. I THINK THAT
18 IS 90 DAYS --

19 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YEAH, THAT'S FINE.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN. AND
21 THAT'S ONE OF THE TIMES THAT WE'RE HEARING A LOT OF THE
22 LOCAL JURISDICTIONS --

23 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'D JUST LIKE --

24 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- SO I THINK THAT FITS WITHIN
2 THE TIME FRAME. SO --

3 MEMBER JONES: SO MY MOTION IS --

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: THAT'S FINE.

5 MEMBER JONES: -- TO GO WITH THE BIENNIAL REVIEWS
6 FOR EVERYBODY BUT THE CITY OF VALLEJO. FOR STAFF TO COME
7 BACK IN 90 DAYS -- IN THE SEPTEMBER BOARD MEETING, I'M
8 SORRY, THE SEPTEMBER BOARD MEETING TO GIVE US A STATUS
9 REPORT OF YOUR ACTIVITY WITH THE CITY OF VALLEJO, AND TO
10 HAVE PREPARED A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE AT THAT TIME IF THAT IS
11 WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

12 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AND I'LL SECOND THAT.

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: MS. FRIEDMAN, YOU HAD A
14 CLARIFICATION?

15 MS. FRIEDMAN: YEAH, ONE POINT OF CLARIFICATION.
16 THE GENTLEMAN FROM VALLEJO SUGGESTED A LETTER TO GO TO THEM.
17 WOULD YOU LIKE TO INCLUDE THAT, DIRECTING STAFF TO PREPARE
18 A LETTER FOR BOARD SIGNATURE TO GO TO VALLEJO? OR --

19 MEMBER JONES: NOT AS PART OF THE MOTION.

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: OKAY.

21 MEMBER JONES: I THINK WE'LL WORK ON THAT
22 INTERNALLY. OR --

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: YEAH, I MEAN, THE FACT THAT THEY
24 DIDN'T GET THEIR APPROVAL --

1 MEMBER ROBERTI: ACTUALLY (INAUDIBLE) A LETTER
2 ALWAYS PUTS AN EXCLAMATION POINT ON IT. SO --

3 MEMBER JONES: TO INCLUDE THE LETTER.

4 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH, INCLUDE THE LETTER.

5 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE WILL DO IT.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU'LL BE GETTING A LOT OF PHONE
7 CALLS.

8 MEMBER ROBERTI: RIGHT, AND I DON'T --

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT, SURE, OKAY, WE CAN INCLUDE
10 MORE THAN ONE TELEPHONE NUMBER ON THERE -- JUST KIDDING,
11 JUST KIDDING, JUST KIDDING.

12 BUT I THINK YOU'RE -- IN ALL SERIOUSNESS,
13 YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, THERE SHOULD BE SOME NOTIFICATION
14 PROCESS --

15 MEMBER ROBERTI: EXPLAINING WHY.

16 CHAIRMAN EATON: RIGHT. AND, SO I DON'T -- THAT
17 WOULD BE HELPFUL.

18 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE WILL WORK WITH YOUR OFFICES.

19 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MADAM SECRETARY, PLEASE
20 CALL THE ROLL.

21 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

22 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

23 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

24 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

1 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

2 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.

3 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE.

5 ONE OTHER NOTE, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT
6 YOLO, WHICH WAS PART OF THAT MOTION, ALSO DIPPED PRETTY HARD
7 DURING '96 BECAUSE -- DUE TO THE FLOODS. CORRECT? AND THEN
8 THEY'VE GONE BACK UP THEREAFTER?

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: OUR PRELIMINARY INFORMATION
10 INDICATED THAT IT MIGHT BE -- THEIR DIP MIGHT HAVE BEEN AS A
11 RESULT OF FLOODS. SUBSEQUENTLY WE HAVE FOUND OUT THAT THAT
12 PROBABLY ISN'T THE CASE. BUT, YES, THEY HAVE GONE BACK UP,
13 AND WE ARE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF DETERMINING WHY THEY
14 DIPPED.

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: IT JUST MIGHT BE HELPFUL
16 SOMETIMES WHERE YOU HAVE GOOD PROGRAMS THAT YOU CAN ENDURE
17 THE LOW POINTS AND THEN GET BACK ON, EVEN WHEN YOU HAVE A
18 CATASTROPHIC EVENT. THAT'S ALL I WAS TRYING TO....

19 MS. FRIEDMAN: RIGHT.

20 AGENDA ITEM 30: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
21 TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1997 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED
22 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF
23 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
24 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CARSON, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 30.

2 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
3 BOARD MEMBERS.

4 THE NEXT TWO ITEMS, ITEMS 30 AND 31, BOTH ARE
5 CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CHANGE THE BASE YEAR, AS
6 WELL AS THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS, FOR TWO CITIES IN LOS
7 ANGELES COUNTY. THE FIRST ONE IS FOR THE CITY OF CARSON,
8 ITEM 30, AND GARY COLLORD WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION
9 FOR STAFF.

10 MR. COLLORD: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
11 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'M GARY COLLORD WITH THE OFFICE OF
12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

13 THE CITY OF CARSON CONDUCTED A NEW WASTE
14 GENERATION ANALYSIS IN 1997, BASED LARGELY UPON A SERIES OF
15 WASTE AUDITS FOR CARSON BUSINESSES. THE CITY DEVELOPED A
16 SPECIAL AUDIT TEAM AND CONDUCTED RANDOM AUDITS OF 193
17 COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL BUSINESSES IN CARSON.

18 THE AUDIT TEAM EXAMINED AND MEASURED A
19 VARIETY OF RECYCLING AND SOURCE REDUCTION ACTIVITIES AT
20 THESE AUDITED BUSINESSES. EXAMPLES OF THE MEASURED
21 RECYCLING ACTIVITIES INCLUDED THE RECYCLING OF CARDBOARD,
22 OFFICE PAPER, WOOD, GLASS BOTTLES AND FOOD WASTES. EXAMPLES
23 OF MEASURED SOURCE REDUCTION ACTIVITIES INCLUDED REUSABLE
24 PLASTIC PALLETS, WOOD PALLET REPAIR, TONER CARTRIDGE

1 REFILLING AND CORPORATE-WIDE PAPER REDUCTION EFFORTS.

2 THE CITY THEN USED AN EXTRAPOLATION METHOD TO
3 ESTIMATE THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF DIVERSION FOR THE MAJORITY OF
4 BUSINESSES IN CARSON. BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF DIVERSION
5 MEASURED DURING THE BUSINESS WASTE AUDITS AND ESTIMATED
6 DIVERSION FROM OTHER CITY PROGRAMS, THE CITY REPORTS A
7 DIVERSION RATE OF 49% FOR 1997.

8 BOARD STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT THE METHOD
9 USED TO CALCULATE THE DIVERSION RATE FOR '97 IS ADEQUATELY
10 DOCUMENTED AND IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PREVIOUS BOARD
11 STANDARDS FOR ACCURACY.

12 STAFF, THEREFORE, RECOMMENDS THAT THE REQUEST
13 TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1997 BE APPROVED.

14 STAFF ALSO CONDUCTED A BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE
15 CITY'S SRRE. THE CITY HAS MADE GOOD PROGRESS IN
16 IMPLEMENTING A VARIETY OF DIVERSION PROGRAMS FOR ITS
17 RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, AND INDUSTRIAL SECTORS AND
18 CONTINUES TO IDENTIFY AND IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS.

19 FOR THESE REASONS, STAFF ALSO RECOMMENDS
20 APPROVAL OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS.

21 AND THIS COMPLETES MY PRESENTATIONS. ARE
22 THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF?

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS OF STAFF?

24 I HAVE FOUR SPEAKER SLIPS. MR. JAIME LOZANO

1 FROM THE CITY OF CARSON, MR. KEN BOYCE FROM THE CITY OF
2 CARSON, MR. EUGENE TSENG, CLA, AND
3 MR. STEVE TUCKER OF WASTE MANAGEMENT ALL ON THIS ITEM. I
4 KNOW THAT YOU'RE ALL PRETTY MUCH TOGETHER SO I DON'T KNOW
5 WHO YOU WANT TO GO FIRST, OR WHATEVER, BUT I'LL LET YOU
6 DETERMINE THE SEQUENCE OR THE ORDER.

7 MR. BOYCE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

8 MR. CHAIR AND BOARD MEMBERS, MY NAME IS KEN BOYCE AND I
9 REPRESENT THE FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT FOR THE
10 CITY OF CARSON TODAY. ALONG WITH ME, AS YOU'VE ALREADY
11 MENTIONED, ARE JAIME LOZANO, WHO'S A STAFF MEMBER IN OUR
12 WASTE OPERATION, DR. TSENG, WHO IS ONE OF OUR PARTNERS IN
13 THIS PROJECT, AND STEVE TUCKER FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT.

14 THE CITY OF CARSON IS COMMITTED IN
15 IMPLEMENTING THE SRRE PROGRAMS TO REACH 50% GOAL AND BEYOND.

16 WE HAVE AN OUTSTANDING PRIVATE/PUBLIC PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
17 CITY OF CARSON, U.S. EPA, WASTE MANAGEMENT, UCLA, AND OUR
18 LOCAL REGIONAL BUSINESSES, AND WITH THE BOARD ITSELF. WE
19 WORK CLOSELY WITH THE STAFF TO HELP US THROUGH THESE
20 PROCESSES.

21 WE ARE PLEASED FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO REPORT
22 ON OUR EFFORTS. AND I WOULD LIKE TO NOW INTRODUCE MR.
23 LOZANO.

24 MR. LOZANO: THANK YOU.

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: I KNEW YOU WERE BRINGING YOUR
2 SLIDES WITH YOU AGAIN.

3 FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO MAY NOT FAMILIAR, MR.
4 LOZANO AND MYSELF WERE ON A PANEL RECENTLY IN SAN FRANCISCO,
5 AND HE WENT BEFORE ME, AND HE HAD THE SLIDES AND ALL OF US
6 WERE SITTING UP THERE GOING WHY DIDN'T WE BRING SLIDES.

7 GO AHEAD, MR. LOZANO. I'M SORRY.

8 MR. LOZANO: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
9 BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS JAIME LOZANO, AND I'M THE
10 RECYCLING COORDINATOR FOR THE CITY OF CARSON. I'D LIKE TO
11 THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS YOU ABOUT OUR
12 AGENDA ITEM.

13 THE CITY OF CARSON CHOSE TO APPLY FOR A NEW
14 BASE-YEAR BECAUSE LARGE ERRORS WERE DISCOVERED IN THE
15 ORIGINAL 1990 WASTE GENERATION DATA. FOR EXAMPLE, THE
16 ORIGINAL STUDY MISSED ABOUT HALF OF THE BUSINESSES,
17 INCLUDING THE ENTIRE COMMERCIAL SELF-HAUL TONNAGE AMOUNT.
18 THE 1995 ANNUAL REPORT CALCULATIONS SHOWED THE CITY AT A
19 NEGATIVE DIVERSION RATE. IT WAS NOT POSSIBLE TO FIND AND
20 CORRECT THE EIGHT-YEAR OLD RECORDS, SO THE CITY UNDERTOOK A
21 MASSIVE EFFORT TO CONDUCT A WHOLE NEW BASE-YEAR GENERATION
22 STUDY FOR 1997.

23 WE FEEL THAT THE CITY'S NEW GENERATION STUDY
24 NOT ONLY EXCEEDS THE MINIMUM BOARD STANDARDS LISTED IN THE

1 STATUTES, BUT ALSO CONTAINS A LEADING-EDGE METHOD OF DOING
2 ACCURATE CORPORATE WASTE AUDITS. DR. EUGENE TSENG IS HERE
3 TO TELL YOU ABOUT THE DETAILS AND NUMBERS OF THE STUDY, BUT
4 I'D LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO TALK ABOUT OUR DIVERSION
5 PROGRAMS.

6 THE CITY OF CARSON TAKES GREAT PRIDE IN THE
7 NUMBER AND TYPE OF SUCCESSFUL DIVERSION PROGRAMS IT HAS
8 IMPLEMENTED. THE CITY HAS A FULL RANGE OF SERVICES AND
9 PROGRAMS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS
10 SUCH AS CURBSIDE RECYCLING, SECOND-CHANCE (PHONETIC) WEEK,
11 REGIONAL BACKYARD COMPOSTING WORKSHOPS AND DEMONSTRATION
12 SITES, AND RECYCLED-CONTENT PURCHASING POLICY, CITY HALL
13 RECYCLING PROGRAM, STREET-SWEEPING COMPOSTING PROGRAM, AND
14 YOUTH EDUCATION PROGRAMS SUCH AS SCHOOL WASTE REDUCTION
15 CURRICULUM, SCHOOL RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING PROGRAMS, HOSTED
16 AN ANNUAL REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE FAIR, THIS YEAR SAW
17 18 SCHOOLS AND APPROXIMATELY 300 YOUTH, AND AN ENVIRONMENTAL
18 EXPLORER SCOUT PROGRAM.

19 BUT OF ALL OF OUR PROGRAMS I THINK THE MOST
20 IMPORTANT IS OUR BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION PROGRAM. AS YOU
21 KNOW, 92% OF OUR CITY'S WASTE COMES FROM THE COMMERCIAL AND
22 INDUSTRIAL SECTOR. IN 1997 THE CITY IMPLEMENTED A BUSINESS
23 WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING AUDIT PROGRAM AS PART OF OUR
24 EFFORT TO DEVELOP DATA FOR A NEW BASE-YEAR GENERATION STUDY.

1 HOWEVER, WE WANTED THE AUDIT
2 PROJECT TO BE MUCH MORE THAN JUST A WASTE DIVERSION BEAN-
3 COUNTING EXERCISE. WHILE WE HAD THE BUSINESSES' ATTENTION
4 WE WANTED TO EDUCATE THE MANAGERS ABOUT THE FINANCIAL
5 ADVANTAGES OF EXPANDED DIVERSION EFFORTS. AND WE WANTED TO
6 IDENTIFY NEW SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING OPPORTUNITIES
7 THAT HAULERS, RECYCLERS, AND THE CITY COULD USE TO BUILD
8 ADDITIONAL DIVERSION PROGRAMS AT EACH SITE.

9 LET ME GIVE YOU ONE EXAMPLE OF THE SUCCESS
10 AND IMPACT OF THE AUDIT PROGRAM. AN AUDIT TEAM COMPOSED OF
11 MYSELF, THE WASTE HAULER, A RECYCLER, AND A STUDENT INTERN
12 VISITED ONE LARGE BUSINESS THAT HAD A \$30,000-A-MONTH TRASH
13 DISPOSAL BILL AND NO RECYCLING. WE SET UP A RECYCLING
14 PROGRAM THAT REDUCED THEIR BILL TO LESS THAN \$1,000 A MONTH.

15 THIS REPRESENTS AN 83% DIVERSION RATE INCREASE FOR THAT
16 BUSINESS, AND A \$350,000 ANNUAL DISPOSAL COST SAVINGS.

17 THIS WAS AN EXCEPTIONAL CASE BECAUSE OF THE
18 AMOUNT OF MONEY INVOLVED. THE AVERAGE BUSINESS AUDITED
19 REALIZED A DIVERSION INCREASE OF FIVE TO 20%.

20 AFTER IDENTIFYING MONEY-SAVING POTENTIAL
21 WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING PROGRAMS HAULERS AND RECYCLERS
22 ARE NOTIFIED OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE DIVERSION
23 SERVICES. BUSINESSES ARE GIVEN A RESOURCE LIST OF LICENSED
24 RECYCLERS AND HAULERS IN THE CITY TO CONTACT AND COMPARE

1 SERVICES AND COSTS.

2 SINCE 1997 THE CITY AND ITS HAULERS HAVE
3 SPONSORED OVER 200 ON-SITE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE VISITS TO
4 BUSINESSES LOCATED IN THE CITY OF CARSON. THE PROGRAM WAS
5 SO SUCCESSFUL WE NOW HAVE BUSINESSES CALLING AND WRITING TO
6 REQUEST WASTE AUDITS.

7 THERE ARE ALSO MANY NEW PROGRAMS CREATED BY
8 THE AUDIT PROGRAM, SUCH AS A BUSINESS RECYCLING AWARDS
9 PROGRAMS TO SHOWCASE THE BEST PRACTICES.

10 FREE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO NEW BUSINESSES
11 WISHING TO LOCATE WITHIN CARSON. WE WORK WITH THE COMPANY
12 TO DEVELOP A FULL RECYCLING AND SOURCE REDUCTION PLAN BEFORE
13 THE FACILITIES ARE EVEN OPERATIONAL.

14 REGIONAL WASTE DIVERSION WORKSHOPS, TRAINING
15 FOR OTHER JURISDICTIONS AND BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS. OUR
16 UNITED STATES EPA WASTE WISE (PHONETIC) SATELLITE DOWNLINK
17 WORKSHOP WAS THE BEST-ATTENDED PROGRAM IN THE COUNTRY.

18 A NEW SOUTH BAY REGIONAL BUSINESS COALITION
19 OF JURISDICTIONS TO BUILD BUSINESS AND JURISDICTION
20 PARTNERSHIPS THROUGHOUT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.

21 I HOPE THAT YOU CAN SEE THAT THE CITY HAS NOT
22 JUST DOCUMENTED EXISTING DIVERSION, BUT USING THE BUSINESS
23 AUDIT PROGRAM AS A SPRINGBOARD TO INCREASE THE NUMBER AND
24 VARIETY OF PROGRAMS BEYOND THOSE LISTED IN OUR ORIGINAL

1 SRRE.

2 THE BOARD HAS RECOGNIZED THE CITY OF CARSON
3 LAST YEAR FOR A STATEWIDE TRASH CUTTER AWARD IN THE CATEGORY
4 OF MOST INNOVATIVE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.

5 THE BOARD ALSO GRANTED 50 BUSINESS RECYCLING
6 WRAP (PHON) AWARDS IN OUR CITY, THE MOST AWARDS GIVEN TO ANY
7 SINGLE COMMUNITY IN ITS JURISDICTION.

8 (SOME TEXT LOST)

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: THAT WAS HELPFUL, THANK YOU.

10 MR. LOZANO: YEAH. IF YOU NEED ANY ADDITIONAL
11 NUMBERS, AS FAR AS THE ACTUAL COSTS, THE BEST PEOPLE WOULD
12 TALK TO WOULD BE OUR -- DEFINITELY A HAULER.

13 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IT'S TRUE
14 THAT THE CITY PROVIDED STAFF.

15 MR. LOZANO: YES.

16 MEMBER JONES: BUT THERE WAS A COST INVOLVED IN
17 DOING THESE AUDITS.

18 MR. LOZANO: OH, YES.

19 MEMBER JONES: SO WE NEED TO FINISH THAT STORY,
20 MAYBE DR. TSENG OR STEVEN TAYLOR (SIC), BECAUSE I THINK THAT
21 THE WASTE BOARD HELPED FUND SOME OF THIS. RIGHT?

22 MR. LOZANO: YES.

23 MEMBER JONES: I MEAN, WE HELPED FUND IT, WASTE
24 MANAGEMENT FUNDED IT, AND I DON'T KNOW WHO ELSE FUNDED IT.

1 BUT I THINK WE NEED TO -- WE NEED TO TAKE THAT UP AGAIN,
2 WHOEVER THE FUTURE SPEAKERS ARE, BECAUSE THERE'S MORE THAN
3 JUST --

4 MR. LOZANO: YEAH, OH, NO, DEFINITELY. THROUGH
5 THE AUDIT PROGRAM WE ALSO USED A LOT OF MATERIAL THAT WAS
6 SUPPLIED BY BOARD STAFF, ETC. SO, YEAH, IT WOULD PROBABLY
7 BE A VERY GOOD IDEA TO IDENTIFY THE EXACT COSTS OF ALL THE
8 PLAYERS.

9 MR. SCHIAVO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO MENTION,
10 WE -- STAFF DID A TEST PILOT USING THE SAME METHODOLOGY IN
11 THE CITY OF MONTEREY, WHICH IS PRETTY MUCH AN AVERAGE-SIZE
12 JURISDICTION IN CALIFORNIA. AND FOR OUR FIRST EFFORT, NEVER
13 HAVING UNDERTAKEN THIS, IT TOOK US BETWEEN 400
14 AND 500 DOCUMENTED HOURS TO DO AN AUDIT OF DIVERSION
15 PROGRAMS EXISTED AND TRY TO DETERMINE THE DIVERSION VOLUMES.
16 WE DIDN'T TAKE IT SO FAR AS TO DO ACTUAL PROGRAM AUDITS,
17 WHICH IS THE NEXT STEP IN THE PROCESS. BUT, AGAIN, IT WAS
18 ABOUT 400 TO 500 HOURS, DOCUMENTED.

19 CHAIRMAN EATON: SEE, THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS
20 WHEN WE TALK ABOUT CASE STUDIES, AND THIS IS A PERFECT
21 EXAMPLE WHERE WE -- WHERE I'VE BEEN TRYING TO WORK WITH YOU,
22 AND I THINK I HAVEN'T BEEN COMMUNICATING.

23 HERE WE HAVE A JURISDICTION WHOSE -- WHERE
24 VALLEJO HAD NO INDUSTRIAL BASE, OR WHAT WE HEARD OF, AND

1 THIS IS ALMOST JUST THE OPPOSITE, WHERE WE HAVE A LARGE
2 INDUSTRIAL BASE. SO JUST TO ALLOW AN OUTSIDE CONTRACTOR TO
3 COME IN AND SAY WE'RE GOING TO DO CASE STUDIES I DON'T THINK
4 IS REALLY THE APPROPRIATE THING.

5 WHAT WE HAVE NOW IS WHERE WE LOOK AT SOME OF
6 THE THINGS AND SAY HERE WE HAVE A LARGE INDUSTRIAL BASE-TYPE
7 JURISDICTION, MONTEREY PROBABLY IS NEITHER, IT IS IN -- IT
8 HAS A GOOD BUSINESS ECONOMIC BASE, BUT IT'S NOT BASED UPON
9 INDUSTRIAL AND/OR MANUFACTURING, OR SOME OF THE OTHER THINGS
10 THAT CARSON HAS AND OTHERS.

11 BUT THAT'S WHEN I GET INTO THE CASE STUDY
12 DISCUSSION, IS TRYING TO ZERO IN ON THOSE SIMILAR FACETS
13 WHERE YOU HAVE A LARGE RESIDENTIAL AND NO INDUSTRIAL,
14 BECAUSE THAT'S REALLY THE KEY IS TO THOSE SUCCESS STORIES,
15 AND IT MIGHT BE MOST HELPFUL. BUT THAT'S FOR ANOTHER DAY.

16 MR. TSENG, IF YOU COULD KIND OF QUICKLY
17 SUMMARIZE? WE HAVE A FEW MORE JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE
18 TRAVELED, AS WELL.

19 DR. TSENG: DR. EUGENE TSENG FROM UCLA.

20 I CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION ON THE COSTS. FOR
21 A AVERAGE JURISDICTION, WE'RE AVERAGING BETWEEN \$40,000 TO
22 \$50,000 A JURISDICTION FOR APPROXIMATELY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN
23 150 TO MAYBE 180 DIFFERENT AUDITS.

24 AND CARSON IS PROBABLY NOT A GOOD EXAMPLE,

1 BECAUSE WE HAD COST -- IT'S THE FIRST ONE IN THE COUNTRY,
2 AND WE ACTUALLY HAD FUNDING FROM THE U.S. EPA, FROM THE
3 BOARD, FROM THE UNIVERSITY, AND FROM LOCAL CITIES AND THE
4 HAULER. SO -- AND I CAN ACTUALLY BREAK THOSE DOWN FOR YOU A
5 LITTLE BIT LATER IF YOU WANT.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL. IF, AT
7 YOUR CONVENIENCE, YOU'D SEND THEM FORWARD THAT'D BE GREAT.

8 DR. TSENG: THANK YOU.

9 I HAVE BEEN ASKED TO SPEAK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
10 THE TECHNICAL STANDARDS OF WHAT THE AUDIT CONSISTS OF AND
11 WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENS IN ONE OF THESE AUDITS. SO -- AND I
12 THINK YOU HAVE THE PACKET IN FRONT OF YOU.

13 THE FIRST THING I'D LIKE TO ADDRESS IS WHY
14 WAS THE BASE-YEAR SO INACCURATE. CARSON WAS VERY -- IS NOT
15 UNLIKE MANY OTHER JURISDICTIONS, IT ACTUALLY HAS -- A GOOD
16 PART OF THE CITY HAS A DIFFERENT ADDRESS, LIKE A LONG BEACH
17 ADDRESS, AND MANY OF THE BUSINESSES THAT ARE ACTUALLY CARSON
18 BUSINESSES PHYSICALLY HAVE DIFFERENT MAILING ADDRESSES
19 THAT'S NOT CARSON. AND THIS WAS ONE OF THE MAJOR PROBLEMS.

20 SECOND OF ALL, DURING THE BASE-YEAR WHEN THIS
21 ORIGINAL STUDY WAS DONE THERE WAS NO ACCOUNTING FOR ANY OF
22 THE SELF-HAUL BUSINESSES. AND WHEN WE CHECKED TO SEE THE
23 DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM AND WHAT
24 THE FRANCHISE HAULER ACTUALLY HAULED WE FOUND COMPANIES THAT

1 WERE SELF-HAULING 45,000 TONS A YEAR BY THEMSELVES, AND THIS
2 WAS JUST ONE COMPANY, AND THEY WEREN'T EVEN THE LARGEST ONES
3 THAT ARE THERE.

4 THE THIRD REASON WHY THERE WAS SUCH A
5 DISCREPANCY IN THE BASE-YEAR AND THE NEW BASE-YEAR IS THAT
6 THE FRANCHISE HAULER DOES -- THERE'S MORE THAN 2200
7 BUSINESSES IN THE CITY, THE FRANCHISE HAULER ONLY HAULS FROM
8 ABOUT 1400 CUSTOMERS, SO THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF
9 SELF-HAUL.

10 AND, AGAIN, DURING THE BASE-YEAR, WHEN THE
11 DIVERSION -- WHEN THEY TRIED TO ESTIMATE THE AMOUNT OF
12 DIVERSION THAT WAS GOING ON IN THE CITY, THE ORIGINAL STUDY
13 WAS ACTUALLY PRETTY POORLY DONE, BECAUSE WE FOUND THAT ONLY
14 ONE SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED, AND THAT WAS OF A MALL.

15 AND MY LAST POINT IS, DURING THE EARLY '90S,
16 WHEN THIS WORK WAS BEING DONE, THERE WAS REALLY NO
17 MEASUREMENT STANDARDS FOR WASTE REDUCTION.

18 SO, BECAUSE THE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL SECTOR
19 IS SUCH A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THE WASTE STREAM WE HAD -- WE
20 DECIDED TO FOCUS, REALLY, OUR EFFORT ON THE
21 COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WASTE STREAM.

22 WE DID NEED TO QUANTIFY -- OR, TO TRY TO
23 IDENTIFY WHAT WAS GOING ON IN TERMS OF RECYCLING AND SOURCE
24 REDUCTION, AND WE ALSO WANTED TO VERIFY THE DISPOSAL

1 REPORTING SYSTEM. AND ACTUALLY, WE FOUND THAT THE DISPOSAL
2 REPORTING SYSTEM WAS ACTUALLY QUITE ACCURATE FOR CARSON,
3 IT'S JUST THAT WE DID NOT ACCOUNT IN THE BASE-YEAR FOR A LOT
4 OF THE SELF-HAUL.

5 IN ADDITION, TRYING TO DO THE NEW BASE-YEAR,
6 WE WANTED TO IMPLEMENT A SIGNIFICANT PROGRAM IN THE
7 COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL SECTOR.

8 SO, THAT LED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF WHAT WE
9 CALL THE WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
10 AUDITS. WE TRY TO ACCOMPLISH SEVEN DIFFERENT THINGS WHEN WE
11 DO THESE AUDITS.

12 WE TRY TO IDENTIFY THE CURRENT SOURCE
13 REDUCTION PRACTICES, AND THIS IS PRETTY UNIQUE BECAUSE THIS
14 IS THE AMOUNT OF WASTE THAT THEY REDUCE, AVOID GENERATION.

15 WE LOOK AT THE CURRENT RECYCLING PRACTICES.
16 WAS THE MATERIAL TAKEN BY A SCAVENGER, IS THIS DONE
17 INTERNALLY.

18 WHAT'S MORE IMPORTANT IS, WE TRY TO IDENTIFY
19 FOR EACH BUSINESS HOW MUCH MORE RECYCLING AND HOW MUCH MORE
20 SOURCE REDUCTION CAN BE DONE. AND BY IDENTIFYING THESE
21 POTENTIAL -- WHAT WE CALL POTENTIAL DIVERSION, WE ACTUALLY
22 PROVIDED THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO HELP THESE BUSINESSES
23 IMPLEMENT THESE PROGRAMS, AND WE ACTUALLY SET THESE PROGRAMS
24 UP FOR THE BUSINESSES.

1 IN ADDITION, WE DISTRIBUTED BOARD LITERATURE
2 TO EVERY ONE OF THE BUSINESSES THAT WE VISITED.

3 AND THEN, AFTER A YEAR TO A YEAR AND A HALF,
4 WE ACTUALLY GO BACK TO SEE IF THE -- AS A FOLLOW-UP TO SEE
5 IF IT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED, AND IF IT HASN'T WHAT WAS THE
6 REASON IT WASN'T, AND TRY TO GET THEM TO IMPLEMENT THE
7 PROGRAM.

8 IT'S A VERY, VERY EXTENSIVE AUDIT PROCESS.
9 FOR AN AVERAGE BUSINESS IT AVERAGES ANYWHERE -- IT CAN GO UP
10 TO ABOUT THREE HOURS, BUT WE'VE HAD SOME BUSINESSES THAT
11 WE'VE SPENT FIVE MONTHS WITH BECAUSE THEY WERE MULTI-
12 NATIONAL COMPANIES.

13 WHAT IS THE TECHNICAL BASIS? WELL, WE
14 FOLLOWED BASICALLY BOARD-DEVELOPED PROTOCOLS. THE TRAINING
15 PROGRAM AND THE QUANTIFICATION METHODOLOGIES ARE ACTUALLY
16 DEVELOPED BY BOARD STAFF WITH UCLA AND EPA. WE USED TWO
17 DOCUMENTS, "A GUIDE FOR CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES" AND
18 "ESTABLISHING A WASTE REDUCTION PROGRAM AT WORK." THESE ARE
19 BOARD DOCUMENTS THAT FORMED THE BASIS OF HOW WE MEASURED.

20 IN ADDITION, THE BOARD ALSO JOINTLY
21 DEVELOPED, WITH THE U.S. EPA, A BUSINESS USERS GUIDE FOR
22 MEASURING SOURCE REDUCTION. THIS IS THE FIRST GUIDE IN THE
23 COUNTRY, AND THIS IS JOINTLY DEVELOPED. AND STAFF SERVED AS
24 TECHNICAL EDITOR FOR THIS DOCUMENT. AND THE METHODOLOGY HAS

1 BEEN EXTENSIVELY TESTED OVER THE LAST THREE TO FOUR YEARS IN
2 OVER 20 JURISDICTIONS, AND IT HAS BEEN EXTENSIVELY PEER-
3 REVIEWED ALSO.

4 THE SELECTION OF THE BUSINESSES, HOW DID --
5 WHAT KIND OF SAMPLING METHODOLOGY DID WE USE? WE FOLLOWED
6 THE BOARD GUIDELINES PRETTY STRICTLY.

7 AND WE -- YOU ACTUALLY HAVE TWO SETS OF
8 GUIDELINES, ONE THAT'S EXISTING AND ONE IN DRAFT, WHICH IS
9 THE UNIFORM WASTE CHARACTERIZATION METHOD, WHICH RECOMMENDS
10 AN 80/20 RULE, WHICH MEANS TRY TO LOOK AT THE LARGER
11 BUSINESSES BECAUSE THEY PRODUCE THE MOST WASTE, AND PROBABLY
12 HAS THE BEST CHANCE TO RECYCLE -- OR, DIVERT THE MOST WASTE.
13 AND SO WE FOLLOWED THAT METHODOLOGY.

14 BUT, THE KEY BEHIND IT IS, IT WAS RANDOM
15 SELECTION SO IT COULD BE STATISTICALLY REPRESENTATIVE. AND
16 WE GOT THE BUSINESS LIST FROM BUSINESS LICENSES AND ALSO
17 WHAT WE CALL THE HAULER ROUTE LIST. AND EVERYTHING THAT WE
18 DID, IN TERMS OF SAMPLING AND SAMPLE SELECTION WAS
19 INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWED BY STATISTICIANS.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. TSENG, WE HAVE A LONG AGENDA
21 --

22 DR. TSENG: YES.

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- IN TERMS OF -- AND I DON'T
24 MEAN TO RUSH YOU, BECAUSE THIS IS IMPORTANT. BUT, WE HAVE

1 SOME PEOPLE WHO HAVE TRAVELED THAT DIDN'T HAVE THE LUXURY OF
2 COMING BY AIRPLANE, BUT HAD TO DRIVE BY CAR UP FROM OTHER
3 PLACES. SO --

4 DR. TSENG: OKAY.

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- IF YOU COULD JUST KIND OF....

6 DR. TSENG: OKAY. I WAS ASKED WHAT THE DEFINITION
7 OF SOURCE REDUCTION WAS, SO I ACTUALLY INCLUDED IT IN THE
8 PACKET FOR YOU TO REVIEW, SO I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER THAT.

9 TWO SLIDES LEFT, THAT'S IT.

10 AGAIN, THE STATISTICAL STANDARDS THAT WE USED
11 TO CALCULATE. THIS DEVELOPED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE BOARD,
12 CONSISTENT WITH THE REGULATIONS, AND VERIFIED BY INDEPENDENT
13 SOURCES.

14 BY APPROVING THIS METHODOLOGY THAT WE'VE
15 CREATED YOU'VE BASICALLY -- YOU WILL PROVIDE A PEER-REVIEWED
16 AND FIELD-TESTED TOOL FOR CALCULATING GENERATION STUDIES.

17 ALSO, THE STANDARDS THAT WE'VE ADOPTED HERE
18 ARE BASICALLY THE HIGHEST AND THE STRICTEST IN THE COUNTRY.

19 AND THEN YOU'LL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE THE NATIONAL LEADERSHIP
20 THAT YOU'VE HAD IN DEVELOPING MEASUREMENT METHODS CONSISTENT
21 WITH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT. A LOT OF STATES SAY WASTE
22 REDUCTION IS THE HIGHEST TIER IN MANAGING WASTE. WELL,
23 WE'RE REALLY THE ONLY STATE THAT ACTUALLY HAVE ADOPTED A
24 METHOD OF MEASURING THIS, AND THAT'S WHAT YOU'LL BE DOING

1 HERE.

2 THANK YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU FOR
4 BEING SO UNDERSTANDING.

5 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

7 MEMBER JONES: I'D LIKE TO AS DR. TSENG JUST A
8 COUPLE CLARIFYING QUESTIONS.

9 DR. TSENG: YES.

10 MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE, I WISH THAT WE VIDEOTAPED
11 THIS PRESENTATION. WE'RE GOING TO NEED COPIES OF YOUR
12 SLIDES, BECAUSE THERE WERE ITEMS THAT YOU TOOK INTO ACCOUNT
13 THAT TAKE AWAY THE GUESSWORK. OKAY?

14 DR. TSENG: UM-HUM.

15 MEMBER JONES: YOU'VE GOT 275,000 TONS OF
16 DIVERSION --

17 DR. TSENG: YES.

18 MEMBER JONES: -- THAT CAN BE VERIFIED.

19 AND MY QUESTION TO STAFF, TO FIND OUT FROM
20 YOU FOLKS, WAS WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THAT WAS SOURCE REDUCTION.
21 AND THAT TURNED OUT TO BE 103,000 TONS.

22 DR. TSENG: YES.

23 MEMBER JONES: AND WHEN YOU BROUGHT A CHART TO MY
24 OFFICE YESTERDAY THAT WAS ABOUT, I DON'T KNOW, FIVE-BY-12-BY

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

1 -- AN IMPRESSIVE

2 CHART -- ONE CUSTOMER THAT HAD -- AND IT MAY BE THE SAME ONE
3 THAT SAVED 29,000 BUCKS A MONTH ON HIS GARBAGE BILL -- BUT -
4 -

5 DR. TSENG: IT'S NOT.

6 MEMBER JONES: -- 2,161,000 POUNDS OF --

7 DR. TSENG: FOOD WASTE.

8 MEMBER JONES: -- FOOD WASTE THAT NOW IS BEING
9 DIVERTED AND NOT USED, THAT USED TO GO TO A LANDFILL --

10 DR. TSENG: YEAH.

11 MEMBER JONES: -- IS HUGE, AND IT'S REAL.

12 DR. TSENG: YES.

13 MEMBER JONES: AND I ASKED A QUESTION THAT -- THAT
14 YOU HADN'T DONE, AND I DON'T EXPECT AN ACCURATE -- I MEAN, I
15 DON'T EXPECT -- A BALLPARK ANSWER WILL WORK FOR ME.

16 EIGHT PERCENT OF THE CITY OF CARSON IS
17 RESIDENTIAL -- IT'S ABOUT, WHAT, 87,000 PEOPLE OR SOMETHING?
18 -- HOW MUCH WASTE PER PERSON PER DAY IS GENERATED BY THAT
19 RESIDENTIAL WASTE STREAM?

20 DR. TSENG: THE RESIDENTIAL WASTE STREAM, THAT ONE
21 WOULD PROBABLY COME OUT AROUND THREE AND A HALF POUNDS.

22 MEMBER JONES: THREE AND A HALF POUNDS PER PERSON
23 --

24 DR. TSENG: PER PERSON PER DAY.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

1 MEMBER JONES: -- PER DAY GENERATION IN THAT
2 RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY.

3 AND THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR CONTEXT, BECAUSE
4 WHEN WE DEALT WITH SOME OTHER ISSUES THAT NUMBER CAME OUT TO
5 12 POUNDS PER DAY, 17 POUNDS PER DAY, 23 POUNDS PER DAY, 19
6 POUNDS PER DAY IN RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITIES. YOUR COMMUNITY,
7 I DID THE MATH REAL QUICK WITH THIS WASTE STREAM AND THAT --
8 THOSE PEOPLE, YOUR WASTE STREAM IS 38 POUNDS PER PERSON PER
9 DAY IN A COMMUNITY THAT GENERATES ALMOST 600,000 TONS.
10 THIRTY-EIGHT POUNDS. THAT'S ONLY 10 POUNDS A DAY MORE THAN
11 SOME OF THE CITIES -- THAN SIX OF THE CITIES THAT CAME
12 FORWARD IN A BIENNIAL REVIEW, DOING BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS --
13 TRYING TO DO BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS WITHOUT THIS METHODOLOGY.

14 THAT'S AN IMPORTANT POINT, I THINK, FOR
15 PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE TO UNDERSTAND, THAT THESE NUMBERS ARE
16 REAL. I THINK THE WORK THAT YOU DID HERE, WE NEED TO MAKE
17 THAT MAYBE NOT -- ABSOLUTELY THE GUIDELINES, YOU KNOW, OR
18 LEARN FROM IT TO PUT THE GUIDELINES TOGETHER FOR ACCURATE
19 NUMBERS. AND I APPRECIATE IT.

20 DR. TSENG: THANK YOU.

21 AND I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO STEVE TUCKER,
22 WHICH HAS A -- FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT, WHO HAS A FEW WORDS TO
23 SAY ABOUT THE IMPACT ON HIS COMPANY, WHO IS THE FRANCHISE
24 HAULER.

1 MR. TUCKER: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIR, I PROMISE I
2 WILL BE BRIEF. I WILL TAKE THESE TWO PAGES AND CONDENSE IT
3 DOWN TO ABOUT TWO SENTENCES HERE. MY NAME IS STEVE TUCKER,
4 VICE PRESIDENT OF --

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: WELCOME, AND THANK YOU. OH, I'M
6 SORRY, I WAS JUST BEING FUNNY. I'M JUST KIDDING. GO AHEAD,
7 MR. TUCKER, I APOLOGIZE --

8 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

9 MR. TUCKER: STEVE TUCKER, VICE PRESIDENT
10 (INAUDIBLE) LOS ANGELES, WITH WASTE MANAGEMENT. THANKS FOR
11 THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK JUST FOR A FEW SECONDS ABOUT THE
12 PROGRAM THAT WE HAVE.

13 IN SUMMARY, THE WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
14 AUDIT PROGRAM IS A NEW SERVICE THAT WE'RE PROVIDING TO OUR
15 CUSTOMERS IN L.A. THE CITY OF CARSON IS THE VERY FIRST CITY
16 WHERE WE'VE IMPLEMENTED THIS SERVICE. WE CURRENTLY HAVE
17 ABOUT 30 CITIES ON THE PROGRAM.

18 IT'S VERY UNIQUE, BECAUSE OF THE FACT TWO
19 THINGS HAPPEN WHEN THE AUDITS ARE DONE PROPERLY. FIRST, OUR
20 DISPOSAL VOLUME DECREASES. AND THEN, SECONDLY, GROSS INCOME
21 DECREASES. YOU WOULD SAY, WELL, GEES, WHY WOULD YOU WANT TO
22 DO THAT. THE BOTTOM LINE IS, WE NEED TO DO THIS IF WE'RE
23 GOING TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY IN THE MARKET, ESPECIALLY IN --
24 DOWN IN LOS ANGELES, WITH THE VAST NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL

1 FRANCHISES, INTO THE NEXT MILLENNIUM. SO, WE ARE COMMITTED
2 TO IT.

3 WE'VE INCORPORATED WASTE REDUCTION AND
4 RECYCLING AUDIT SERVICES AS PART OF OUR BUSINESS. WE NEED
5 TO, WE HAVE TO. BOTTOM LINE IS, OUR CUSTOMERS DEMAND IT.

6 AS AN EXAMPLE, SOME OF OUR LARGE CUSTOMERS
7 DOWN IN LOS ANGELES -- JUST TO NAME A FEW NON-FRANCHISED
8 CUSTOMERS, THE LOS ANGELES SCHOOL DISTRICT, XEROX, HUGHES-
9 RAYTHEON -- THEY HAVE CORPORATE GOALS OF UP TO 70%
10 DIVERSION. AND AS THEIR ENVIRONMENTAL PARTNER, IT'S OUR
11 JOB, IF WE'RE GOING TO KEEP THAT BUSINESS, TO DO OUR BEST TO
12 HELP IMPLEMENT THOSE PROGRAMS, COME UP WITH ALL TYPES OF
13 WAYS TO ASSIST THEM IN DIVERTING WASTE FROM THE LANDFILLS
14 AND RECYCLING AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. IF WE CAN'T DO IT
15 SOMEONE ELSE WILL, NO QUESTION ABOUT IT. WE NEED TO BE IN
16 THIS BUSINESS TO SURVIVE INTO THE NEXT MILLENNIUM.

17 THANKS A LOT, APPRECIATE YOUR TIME.

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR
19 ASSISTANCE.

20 MR. TUCKER: NO PROBLEM.

21 MR. BOYCE: MR. CHAIR AND BOARD MEMBERS, I WOULD
22 LIKE TO SAY IN CLOSING, THE CITY OF CARSON IS COMMITTED TO
23 THE TUNE OF ABOUT \$150,000 ADDITIONAL MONEY BUDGETED THIS
24 YEAR, BECAUSE WE REALIZE OUR PARTNERS HAVE TAKEN THE

1 MONETARY HIT ON THIS UP TO THIS POINT. WE'VE UTILIZED
2 STAFF, BUT WE'VE ALSO ADDED STAFF, SO THE CITY OF CARSON IS
3 COMMITTED TO THIS LONG-TERM.

4 AND WE HOPE TO CONTINUE WORKING WITH THE
5 BOARD AND BE A LEADER IN THIS FIELD. THANK YOU.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

7 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE
8 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-248, WHICH IS THE BIENNIAL
9 REVIEW FINDINGS -- DO I HAVE TO DO THE BASE-YEAR CHANGE
10 FIRST?

11 MS. FRIEDMAN: YOU DON'T HAVE TO, BUT YOU CAN.

12 MEMBER JONES: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION
13 1999-249, WHICH IS THE ADOPTION OF A NEW BASE-YEAR FOR THE
14 CITY OF CARSON.

15 MEMBER ROBERTI: I'LL SECOND --

16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: SECOND.

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES MOVES, AND
18 MR. PENNINGTON, I BELIEVE I HEARD, SECONDS RESOLUTION 1999-
19 249, TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1997 FOR THE CITY OF CARSON.

20 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
21 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
22 ORDER.

23 MR. JONES, I ASSUME YOU WANT TO CONTINUE?

24 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO MOVE

1 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-248, WHICH IS THE ADOPTION OF
2 THEIR BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS ON THE SRRE FOR THE CITY OF
3 CARSON.

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: SECOND.

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MR. JONES MOVES AND MR.
6 PENNINGTON SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-248,
7 REGARDING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE
8 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CARSON.

9 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
10 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE
11 ORDERED.

12 THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND THANK YOU FOR THE
13 CITY OF CARSON AS WELL. AND I APOLOGIZE FOR TAKING UP SOME
14 TIME, BUT I THINK IT WAS A DISCUSSION AND A PRESENTATION
15 THAT WAS NEEDED FOR ALL OF US, AT LEAST BOTH INFORMATIONAL,
16 AS WELL AS AN EXAMPLE.

17 AGENDA ITEM 31: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
18 TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1995 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED
19 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF
20 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
21 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL MONTE, LOS ANGELES
22 COUNTY

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT. ITEM No. 31, I
24 BELIEVE.

1 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD
2 MEMBERS, ITEM 31, AGAIN, IS A CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
3 RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1995 FOR THE
4 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT,
5 AND CONSIDERATION OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
6 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL
7 MONTE, AT LOS ANGELES COUNTY. NATALIE MARCANIO WILL MAKE
8 THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

9 MS. MARCANIO: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND BOARD
10 MEMBERS, I'M NATALIE MARCANIO, WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
11 ASSISTANCE.

12 AND AGENDA ITEM 31 IS THE CONSIDERATION OF
13 THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR FOR THE
14 CITY OF EL MONTE TO 1995, FOR THE PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED SOURCE
15 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND ALSO CONSIDERATION OF
16 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
17 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL MONTE, IN LOS ANGELES
18 COUNTY.

19 THE JURISDICTION HAS REQUESTED TO CHANGE THE
20 BASE-YEAR FROM 1990 TO '95 BASED ON DATA COLLECTED FROM
21 RECYCLERS, HAULERS, AND FROM COMMERCIAL WASTE AUDITS
22 CONDUCTED BY THE CITY'S CONTRACTOR, POLIS ASSOCIATES.

23 MR. DAVE POLIS IS HERE TO REPRESENT THE CITY
24 AND ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

1 BRIEFLY, THE PROCEDURE FOLLOWED TO ESTIMATE
2 THE WASTE GENERATION IN 1995. THE CITY USED DISPOSAL DATA
3 FROM THE DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM, AND ALSO COLLECTED
4 INFORMATION FROM HAULERS, RECYCLERS, AND LOCAL BUSINESS
5 DIVERSION ACTIVITIES TO DOCUMENT THE DIVERSION TONNAGE,
6 WHICH THE CITY CONSIDERS TO BE MORE ACCURATE THAN THE
7 ORIGINAL DATA.

8 THE 1995 DATA IS THE BEST AVAILABLE DATA, BUT
9 SOME DIVERSION DATA WAS NOT AVAILABLE TO THE CITY.

10 BOARD STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT THE REQUEST
11 HAS BEEN ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED AND IS CONSISTENT WITH
12 PREVIOUS BOARD STANDARDS FOR ACCURACY. THEREFORE, STAFF
13 RECOMMENDS THAT THE REQUEST FOR THE CHANGING OF THE BASE-
14 YEAR TO 1995 BE APPROVED.

15 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW CONSIDERATION. STAFF HAS
16 CONDUCTED THE BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE CITY'S SOURCE REDUCTION
17 AND RECYCLING ELEMENT. ALTHOUGH NOT MEETING THE GOAL IN
18 1995, THE CITY HAS MADE GOOD PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING A
19 VARIETY OF PROGRAMS FOR ITS RESIDENTIAL, INDUSTRIAL, AND
20 COMMERCIAL SECTORS. ACCORDING TO THE 1995 DATA, THE CITY'S
21 WASTE STREAM IS APPROXIMATELY 80% NONRESIDENTIAL.

22 THE CITY HAS ADDRESSED THIS WASTE STREAM, AND
23 SOME OF THE PROGRAMS THE CITY HAS PROVIDED, BEGINNING IN
24 FISCAL YEAR '94 AND '95 AND CONTINUING, ARE ON-SITE

1 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO BUSINESSES, INCLUDING RECYCLING PLAN
2 PREPARATION, NUMEROUS PRESENTATIONS TO BUSINESSES AND
3 ORGANIZATIONS, A TOLL-FREE RECYCLING HOT LINE, AND RESOURCE
4 GUIDE FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, RATE STRUCTURE FEES, AND
5 MANY OTHERS THAT MR. POLIS CAN DESCRIBE IN DETAIL.

6 FOR THIS REASON, STAFF ALSO RECOMMENDS
7 APPROVAL OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS.

8 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF, OR FOR MR.
9 POLIS FROM THE CITY?

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS OF STAFF?

11 MR. JONES.

12 MEMBER JONES: JUST A QUICK ONE FOR

13 MR. POLIS.

14 I LOVE THESE ONES THAT GO FROM 86 TO 200,
15 IT'S LIKE 100 TO 500.

16 CHAIRMAN EATON: WOULD YOU MIND STATING YOUR NAME,
17 JUST FOR THE RECORD, MR. POLIS?

18 MR. POLIS: YES. MY NAME IS DAVE POLIS, FROM
19 POLIS ASSOCIATES, REPRESENTING THE CITY OF EL MONTE TODAY.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

21 MEMBER JONES: WHEN I READ THE ITEM IT SAID THERE
22 WAS MULTIPLE HAULERS IN THE CITY -- WHAT, 20 OR 30 OPERATORS
23 OR SOMETHING? IS THAT STILL THE WAY IT OPERATES IN EL
24 MONTE? ARE THERE 20 OR 30 HAULERS OPERATING IN --

1 MR. POLIS: NO. LAST YEAR THE CITY ADOPTED A
2 FRANCHISE SYSTEM, SO THERE'S CURRENTLY 18 FRANCHISE HAULERS
3 WHO ARE NOW MEETING SOME STRINGENT REPORTING REGULATIONS.

4 I DID WORK FOR MCON (PHON) AT THE TIME THAT
5 THEY DID THESE MASS NUMBERS OF PLANS, AND THIS IS PROBABLY
6 ONE OF THE WORST OF ALL IN NUMBERS I'VE SEEN, IN TERMS OF
7 THE ORIGINAL REPORTING BY HAULERS.

8 MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE I SEE IN '95 YOU'RE AT 14,
9 BUT I GUESS IN '96 YOU'RE UP TO 28%. I THINK THAT WAS IT,
10 RIGHT? GOOD. SO, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

11 WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS, THESE 18 HAULERS THAT
12 ARE OPERATING IN EL MONTE, ARE THEY -- HAS THE CITY DONE
13 ANYTHING TO ENSURE THAT THAT MATERIAL IS GOING THROUGH YOUR
14 INFRASTRUCTURE? I MEAN --

15 MR. POLIS: YES.

16 MEMBER JONES: -- DO THEY HAVE REQUIREMENTS ON
17 THEM, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE PART OF THE SOLUTION AND
18 NOT JUST GOING FROM -- OFFERING CHEAP RATES AND GOING FROM A
19 BUSINESS DIRECTLY TO A LANDFILL? HOW ARE YOU WORKING WITH
20 THAT?

21 MR. POLIS: YES. I APPRECIATE YOUR QUESTION.

22 WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS, WE HAVE ESTABLISHED A
23 VERY UNIQUE DATABASE THAT HAS EVERY ADDRESS IN THE CITY.
24 AND WE KNOW WHO THE PROPERTY MANAGER IS, HOW MANY

1 CONTAINERS, HOW OFTEN THEY GET PULLED, WHO THE HAULER IS,
2 WHAT THEY RECYCLE. WE HAVE DONE WASTE AUDITS AT EVERY
3 BUSINESS IN THE CITY, AT LEAST ONE, PROBABLY TWO OF MOST OF
4 THEM.

5 SO, WE KNOW FROM THE INDIVIDUAL BUSINESSES
6 WHAT SIZE CONTAINERS THEY HAVE, WHAT'S BEING THROWN OUT, AND
7 WE CAN COMPARE IT WITH THE FEES THAT ARE BEING PAID BY THE
8 HAULERS. THEY'RE REQUIRED TO DO RECYCLING AT EVERY LOCATION
9 THEY'RE AT. SO, WE HAVE A PRETTY GOOD CHECK, IN TERMS OF
10 WHAT THEY'RE REPORTING AND WHAT WE'RE FINDING AT THE SITES.

11 I'VE BEEN DOING THIS SINCE 1984. I'VE HAD
12 FULL-TIME WASTE AUDITORS DOING THIS IN OREGON AND
13 WASHINGTON, AND I COULD FORESEE THE NIGHTMARE THIS WAS GOING
14 TO BE. SO, WE ESTABLISHED A VERY DETAILED, A VERY EXPENSIVE
15 WASTE AUDITING SYSTEM AND DATABASE. BUT, I'M CONFIDENT THAT
16 THE HAULERS ARE PROVIDING SERVICES, BECAUSE I KNOW THEY ARE,
17 AND WE WORK WITH THEM ON A DAILY BASIS.

18 SO THE NUMBERS THAT WE'RE SEEING HERE I THINK
19 ARE LOW, I HONESTLY THINK, IF YOU LOOK AT FOR FIVE YEARS,
20 THE PAST FIVE YEARS OF REPORTING, THAT THEY'VE HAD AN
21 AVERAGE OF ABOUT 190,000 TONS BEING DISPOSED AT THE
22 LANDFILLS, WHEN THE ORIGINAL SRRE CAME UP WITH ABOUT 80,000
23 TONS.

24 AND WE'VE BEEN AT AN ENORMOUS DISADVANTAGE,

1 BECAUSE WE'VE DONE AN AWFUL LOT OF OUTREACH, BUT WE'VE NEVER
2 HAD THAT BANDWAGON SAYING, HEY, WE'RE AT 30%, OR JUMP ON, DO
3 SOME MORE. IT'S ALWAYS BEEN SUCH A DETRIMENT TO SAY WE'RE
4 AT ZERO RECYCLING, OR --

5 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

6 MR. POLIS: -- WE'RE AT MINUS-ZERO.

7 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT. OKAY. THAT WAS MY
8 QUESTION.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR MR. POLIS
10 OR STAFF? OKAY.

11 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

12 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON.

13 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
14 RESOLUTION 1999-251, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
15 OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
16 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL MONTE.

17 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND MR.
19 JONES SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-251.

20 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
21 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE
22 ORDERED.

23 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: OH, WE'RE GETTING....

1 MR. PENNINGTON?

2 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION --
3 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-250, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
4 RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1995 FOR THE
5 PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
6 FOR THE CITY OF EL MONTE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

7 MEMBER JONES: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

9 MR. PENNINGTON MOVES, MR. JONES SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT
10 RESOLUTION 1999-250.

11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
12 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE
13 ORDERED.

14 RIGHT NOW WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS TAKE ABOUT A
15 FIVE-MINUTE BREAK.

16 I WANT TO LET THOSE INDIVIDUALS -- BECAUSE
17 PEOPLE HAVE TRAVELED FROM THE CENTRAL VALLEY HERE, WHICH I
18 KNOW OF, AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA THAT I KNOW OF -- ONCE WE
19 COME BACK FROM THE BREAK WE HAVE ABOUT NINE ITEMS LEFT. WE
20 ARE GOING TO PUNCH THROUGH THOSE BREAKS (SIC) SO THAT --
21 THEREFORE, HOPEFULLY, SOMETIME IN THE NOON HOUR WE'LL HAVE
22 COMPLETED OUR BUSINESS, AND THEN EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU
23 WILL HAVE SUFFICIENT TIME IN WHICH TO GET BACK TO YOUR HOMES
24 AND YOUR RESIDENCES AND YOUR BUSINESSES.

1 SO, WITH THAT, WE'LL JUST TAKE A SHORT 10-
2 MINUTE BREAK. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3 (OFF THE RECORD; BRIEF RECESS.)

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

5 WELCOME BACK EVERYONE, AND THANK YOU.

6 //

7 //

8 AGENDA ITEM 32: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
9 ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
10 RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
11 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
12 FOR THE YUBA/SUTTER REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: WE'LL PROCEED WITH ITEM No. 32.

14 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD
15 MEMBERS.

16 BEFORE I TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO STAFF
17 I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT THE NEXT FIVE ITEMS, 32 THROUGH
18 36, ARE ALL BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS WHERE STAFF HAVE MADE
19 RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT A COMPLIANCE ORDER FOR THOSE
20 JURISDICTIONS.

21 ITEM 32 IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
22 RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
23 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND CONSIDERATION OF
24 ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL

1 REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE YUBA/SUTTER REGIONAL WASTE
2 MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY.

3 AGAIN, STEVE SORELLE WILL BE MAKING THE
4 PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

5 MR. SORELLE: GOOD MORNING AGAIN, I'M STEVE
6 SORELLE WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, NORTH SECTION.

7 STAFF CONDUCTED A BIENNIAL REVIEW OF
8 YUBA/SUTTER REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY'S SOURCE
9 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT. THE PURPOSE OF THIS
10 BIENNIAL REVIEW IS TO DETERMINE IF THE REGIONAL AGENCY
11 ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTED ITS SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
12 ELEMENT AND ACHIEVED THE 1995 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT, AND, IF
13 NOT, WHETHER THE ISSUANCE OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER IS
14 WARRANTED.

15 STAFF CONSIDERED A NUMBER OF ISSUES IN ITS
16 REVIEW, INCLUDING THE NATURE AND NUMBER OF DIVERSION
17 PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED, THE DIVERSION RATES FOR 1995 AND '96,
18 AND WHETHER THE REGIONAL AGENCY DEMONSTRATED A GOOD-FAITH
19 EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT ITS SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
20 ELEMENT.

21 THE REGIONAL AGENCY HAS IMPLEMENTED A
22 SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF ITS SELECTED PROGRAMS. ADDITIONALLY,
23 THEY HAVE IMPLEMENTED SEVERAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS TARGETING
24 GREEN WASTE, AFTER THE 1995-96 BIENNIAL REVIEW YEARS.

1 ACCORDING TO THE DATA IN THE ANNUAL REPORTS,
2 THE REGIONAL AGENCY IS BELOW THE 25% GOAL FOR BOTH 1995 AND
3 1996. BOARD STAFF ANALYSIS OF THE DIVERSION RATES FOR 1995
4 AND 1996 YIELDED 24% AND 17%, RESPECTIVELY.

5 CONSIDERING THAT A MAJORITY OF THEIR SOURCE
6 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT SELECTED PROGRAMS ARE BEING
7 IMPLEMENTED, THE 25% DIVERSION GOAL WAS NOT MET IN 1995, AND
8 SUBSEQUENTLY DROPPED FOR '96, QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CHOICE AND
9 LEVEL OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION HAVE BEEN RAISED.

10 WITH THE CURRENT AVAILABLE INFORMATION, BOARD
11 STAFF IS UNABLE TO DETERMINE THAT THE REGIONAL AGENCY HAS
12 MADE A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT ITS SOURCE REDUCTION
13 AND RECYCLING ELEMENT IN 1995-96.

14 BOARD STAFF DETERMINED THAT A COMPLIANCE
15 ORDER CONTAINING CONDITIONS TO HELP THE REGIONAL AGENCY
16 REACH ITS DIVERSION GOALS IS RECOMMENDED. THIS COMPLIANCE
17 ORDER CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS:

18 IMPLEMENT ALL THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN THE
19 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR SUITABLE
20 ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS, BY AUGUST 1ST, 2000;

21 DOCUMENT AND QUARTERLY REPORTS ITS PROGRESS
22 IN IMPLEMENTING SELECTED PROGRAMS IN MEETING THE DIVERSION
23 REQUIREMENTS OF 25%, AS WELL AS DEMONSTRATING PROGRESS IN
24 MEETING THE 25 -- EXCUSE ME, THE 50% REQUIREMENT IN 2000;

1 WORK WITHIN THE TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION
2 ASSISTANCE SECTION OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TO
3 DETERMINE GAPS IN PROGRAM AREAS, AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON
4 IMPROVING, EXPANDING, OR IMPLEMENTING NEW DIVERSION
5 PROGRAMS.

6 THE COMPLIANCE ORDER REQUIRES THE BOARD TO
7 HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING FOLLOWING THE TERM OF THE COMPLIANCE
8 SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE CITY HAS COMPLIED
9 WITH THE CONDITIONS OF THE ORDER. LIKEWISE, A PUBLIC
10 HEARING COULD BE SCHEDULED EARLIER IF THE CITY COMPLIES WITH
11 THE ORDER AHEAD OF SCHEDULE.

12 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE PROPOSED COMPLIANCE
13 ORDER AND CONDITIONS BE ADOPTED.

14 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, AND I AM
15 AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. ADDITIONALLY, KEITH
16 MARTIN, ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE YUBA/SUTTER REGIONAL WASTE
17 MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY, AND ALLISON BURLEY (PHON) WITH AURORA
18 ASSOCIATES, ARE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

19 THANK YOU.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF? OKAY.

21
22 MR. MARTIN, MS. BURLEY, DO YOU CARE TO SAY A FEW
23 WORDS?

24 MR. MARTIN: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN AND

1 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS KEITH MARTIN, I'M THE
2 ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY.
3 WE REPRESENT THE SEVEN JURISDICTIONS THAT -- ALL THE
4 JURISDICTIONS IN YUBA AND SUTTER COUNTIES, AND THE CITY OF
5 GRIDLEY, WHICH IS LOCATED IN SOUTHERN BUTTE COUNTY.

6 YOUR STAFF REPORT INDICATED THEY WERE UNABLE
7 TO DETERMINE IF WE MADE GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS. WE'D CERTAINLY
8 LIKE TO HELP YOU OUT BY SAYING THAT WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE.
9 BUT, APPARENTLY THEY FEEL THAT WE NEED TO DO A LITTLE MORE
10 WORK ON THAT, IN TERMS OF THE COMPLIANCE ORDER.

11 OUR AREA, IF YOU'RE NOT WHOLLY FAMILIAR, IS A
12 -- GENERALLY AN AGRICULTURAL AREA. WE HAVE VERY FEW LARGE
13 EMPLOYERS, MAINLY DOMINATED BY AGRICULTURAL PROCESSING AND
14 OTHER ACTIVITIES RELATED TO THAT, ALONG WITH SOME
15 INSTITUTIONAL USES, HOSPITALS AND SCHOOLS AND SUCH.

16 WE'VE TAKEN AN INCREMENTAL APPROACH OUR
17 COMMUNITY. WE HAVE DEVELOPED A FULL-SCALE MRF, WE HAVE A
18 DEDICATED PERMANENT HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY, WE
19 HAVE IMPLEMENTED A NUMBER OF OTHER ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS,
20 INCLUDING A DEMONSTRATION COMPOST PROGRAM, WE HAVE A
21 DEMONSTRATION CURBSIDE YARD WASTE COLLECTION PROGRAM GOING
22 ON AT BEALE AIR FORCE BASE RIGHT NOW, AND OTHER ACTIVITIES
23 INCLUDING COMMERCIAL CARDBOARD COLLECTION AND OTHER THINGS
24 LIKE THAT.

1 OUR AREA IS CHARACTERIZED AS HAVING VERY LOW
2 HOUSEHOLD INCOMES, VERY HIGH UNEMPLOYMENT, AND SOME OF THE
3 HIGHEST PERCENTAGES OF FAMILIES IN THE STATE RECEIVING
4 PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. SO, YOU CAN SEE THAT COST ISSUES ARE
5 IMPORTANT, AND THAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING ON AN INCREMENTAL
6 BASIS TO MAKE THIS SYSTEM WORK.

7 WE HAD THE FIGURES OF 24 DOWN TO 17% IN '96.
8 WE'VE TRIED TO EVALUATE WHAT THE CAUSES OF THAT DROP WERE.
9 WE'RE NOW BACK TO ABOUT 20 TO 21%, AND WE ARE MAKING
10 PROGRESS. EVEN YESTERDAY, OUR BOARD ADOPTED A PROGRAM OF
11 PROJECTS THAT WILL BE INCLUDED FOR EVALUATION, AND INCLUSION
12 IN OUR RATE STRUCTURE IN THE ANALYSIS -- AND MODIFICATIONS
13 WE EXPECT TO MAKE THIS FALL. WE WOULD HOPE THAT THOSE
14 PROGRAMS WILL MOVE US WELL UP TO THE 40% RANGE. AND WE'LL
15 SEE HOW THOSE ARE IMPLEMENTED, AND HOW EFFECTIVE THEY ARE.

16 WE HAVE -- THOSE PROGRAMS THAT WE ARE
17 INCLUDING IN THAT RATE STRUCTURE WOULD BE CURBSIDE YARD
18 WASTE COLLECTION, METERED CAN SERVICE, VARIABLE RATE
19 SERVICE, AND THEN A DEDICATED CONSTRUCTION DEMOLITION LINE,
20 AND THEN, FINALLY, AN EXPANSION OF OUR CORRUGATED CARDBOARD
21 COLLECTION PROGRAM. SO WE HAVE A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON.

22 WE DO BELIEVE THAT OUR AGENCY HAS MADE A
23 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT. WE CONTINUE TO DO SO. AND IF YOU DO
24 ADOPT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION WE'LL BE HAPPY TO MEET

1 IMMEDIATELY WITH YOUR IMPLEMENTATION STAFF AND MOVE FORWARD.

2 THE PROGRAM THAT OUR BOARD ADOPTED YESTERDAY,
3 WE FEEL, WILL MEET THE SEPTEMBER 30 REQUIREMENT. WE WANT TO
4 CONFIRM THAT WITH YOUR STAFF IMMEDIATELY, SO WE WILL BE
5 MAKING THAT CONTACT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

6 I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU
7 MIGHT HAVE. AND ALLISON IS OUR CONSULTING STAFF, BUT SHE
8 WOULD BE AVAILABLE IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS SPECIFICALLY.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU, MR. MARTIN.

10 MR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.

11 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE, ONE QUICK ONE.

12 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

13 MEMBER JONES: THE AG WASTE AND THE CANNERY WASTE
14 THAT'S GENERATED IN YOUR JURISDICTIONS, HOW DO YOU DEAL WITH
15 THAT MATERIAL?

16 MR. MARTIN: MUCH OF THAT MATERIAL IS LANDFILLED.
17 A LOT OF IT HAS A REAL HIGH LIQUID CONTENT, SO IT -- SOME
18 OF IT IS SPREAD ON FIELDS AND OTHER THINGS, SO A LOT OF IT
19 IS BEING DIVERTED AT THIS POINT.

20 AND THEN, OF COURSE, IT'S ALSO BEING INCLUDED
21 IN OUR COMPOST PROGRAM. WE HAVE SOME OF THE FRUITIEST-
22 SMELLING COMPOST YOU'LL EVER SEE.

23 MEMBER JONES: I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE AREA.

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: A TERM MR. EDGAR HASN'T USED YET.

1 MEMBER JONES: THE COMPLIANCE ORDER IS MORE OF A
2 TOOL TO HELP, YOU KNOW, GUIDE THE CITY. THERE'S NO FINES
3 ASSESSED, UNLESS A JURISDICTION JUST BLOWS IT OFF.

4 BUT I THINK OUR CONCERN IS TO, WHEN YOU GO
5 FROM 24 DOWN TO 17, AND NOW YOU'RE AT 21, I THINK THERE'S
6 ASSISTANCE THAT THIS BOARD CAN HELP, ALONG WITH YOU, THAT
7 WE'RE GOING TO LEARN, YOU'RE GOING TO LEARN, AND I THINK IT
8 ALSO KEEPS EVERYBODY'S FEET TO THE FIRE.

9 BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT A BEAUTIFUL MRF THERE, I
10 KNOW, BECAUSE I HELPED DESIGN IT. AND -- BUT, IT WAS
11 ACTUALLY THE FIRST ONE PERMITTED IN THE STATE. BUT, AND I
12 THINK THERE ARE SOME AREAS THERE THAT, HOPEFULLY, IN
13 CONCERT, YOU GUYS CAN BE SUCCESSFUL IN DIVERTING.

14 MR. MARTIN: WE CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND THE INTENT OF
15 THE COMPLIANCE ORDER.

16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: YES, MR. PENNINGTON.

18 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
19 RESOLUTION 1999-239.

20 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.

21 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND MR.
22 JONES SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-239.

23 SINCE IT'S THE FIRST OF THE FEW COMPLIANCE
24 ORDERS, MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

1 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

2 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

3 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.

5 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

6 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.

7 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE.

9 AGENDA ITEM 33: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
10 ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
11 RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
12 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
13 FOR THE CITY OF AVENAL, KINGS COUNTY

14 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 33.

15 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD
16 MEMBERS.

17 ITEM 33 IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
18 RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
19 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND CONSIDERATION OF
20 ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL
21 REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE CITY OF AVENAL, KINGS COUNTY.

22 DIANE SHIMUZU WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION
23 FOR STAFF FOR ITEM 33, AS WELL AS ITEM 34.

24 MS. SHIMUZU: THANK YOU.

1 GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON AND MEMBERS OF
2 THE BOARD. I'M DIANE SHIMUZU WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
3 ASSISTANCE, CENTRAL SECTION.

4 AGENDA ITEM 33 IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
5 RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
6 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND CONSIDERATION OF
7 ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL
8 REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE CITY OF AVENAL, KINGS COUNTY.

9 STAFF HAVE CONDUCTED A BIENNIAL REVIEW OF
10 AVENAL'S SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR SRRE.
11 THE PURPOSE OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER
12 OR NOT THE CITY HAD ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND
13 ACHIEVED THE 1995 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT AND, IF NOT, WHETHER
14 THE ISSUANCE OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER IS WARRANTED.

15 BOARD STAFF CONSIDERED A NUMBER OF ISSUES IN
16 ITS REVIEW, INCLUDING THE NATURE AND NUMBER OF DIVERSION
17 PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED, THE CITY'S REPORTED DIVERSION RATE,
18 AND WHETHER THE CITY HAD DEMONSTRATED A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO
19 IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.

20 IN A SITE VISIT TO THE CITY OF AVENAL IN
21 APRIL OF THIS YEAR, STAFF DISCUSSED THE DEGREE OF
22 IMPLEMENTATION OF PROGRAMS IN 1995 AND 1996 WITH CITY STAFF.

23 IT WAS APPARENT THAT THE CITY'S DIVERSION PROGRAMS WERE
24 INSUFFICIENT AT THAT TIME TO REACH 25% DIVERSION, IN TERMS

1 OF NUMBERS OF PROGRAMS AND THE DEGREE OF IMPLEMENTATION.

2 STAFF FEELS THAT THE CITY HAD NOT ADEQUATELY
3 IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE, HAD NOT ACHIEVED THE 1995 DIVERSION
4 REQUIREMENT, OR DEMONSTRATED A REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE GOOD-
5 FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.

6 STAFF HAVE DRAFTED A COMPLIANCE ORDER WITH
7 SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE FOR THE BOARD'S
8 CONSIDERATION AT TODAY'S MEETING.

9 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT AVENAL IMPLEMENT ALL OF
10 THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN THE SRRE, OR SUITABLE ALTERNATE
11 PROGRAMS, BY
12 AUGUST 1ST, 2000. DEVELOP A REVISED SCHEDULE FOR
13 IMPLEMENTING SRRE-SELECTED PROGRAMS, IN CONSULTATION WITH
14 THE BOARD'S TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE, OR TIA,
15 STAFF, DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING SELECTED
16 PROGRAMS AND MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT OF 25%, PLUS
17 PROGRESS TOWARDS 50% DIVERSION IN QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE
18 BOARD; AND, WORK WITH TIA AND OUTREACH STAFF OF THE OFFICE
19 OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TO DETERMINE GAPS IN PROGRAM AREAS; AND,
20 MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON IMPROVING, EXPANDING OR IMPLEMENTING
21 NEW DIVERSION PROGRAMS; AND, TO OUTLINE THE SCOPE OF A LOCAL
22 ASSISTANCE PLAN BY SEPTEMBER 30TH, 1999.

23 THE COMPLIANCE ORDER REQUIRES THE BOARD TO
24 HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING FOLLOWING THE TERM OF THE COMPLIANCE

1 SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE CITY COMPLIED WITH
2 THE CONDITIONS OF THE ORDER. A PUBLIC HEARING COULD BE
3 SCHEDULED EARLIER IF THE CITY COMPLIES WITH THE ORDER AHEAD
4 OF SCHEDULE.

5 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE PROPOSED COMPLIANCE
6 ORDER AND CONDITIONS BE ADOPTED.

7 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE CITY OF AVENAL ARE
8 HERE TO ADDRESS ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

9 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. ARE THERE
10 ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF?

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS?

12 MEMBER JONES: NO.

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: I HAVE ONE SPEAKER SLIP FOR JERRY
14 WATSON AND MELISSA -- AND I DON'T WANT TO -- IT'S A HARD
15 NAME, IS IT MAHITTEN?

16 MS. WHITTEN: WHITTEN (PHON), I'M SORRY.

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: WHITTEN, I'M SORRY. OKAY.

18 ANY QUESTIONS OF -- ALL RIGHTY.

19 MEMBER ROBERTI: IS A MOTION IN ORDER?

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: A MOTION IS IN ORDER.

21 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL -- MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL
22 MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-202, I'LL MOVE THE ADOPTION OF
23 RESOLUTION 1999-202.

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

1 MEMBER ROBERTI: SECOND.

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

3 MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND SENATOR ROBERTI SECONDS THAT WE
4 ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-202.

5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
6 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
7 ORDER.

8 AGENDA ITEM 34: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
9 ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
10 RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
11 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
12 FOR THE KINGS WASTE AND RECYCLING AUTHORITY, KINGS COUNTY

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 34.

14 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

15 MS. SHIMUZU: AGENDA ITEM 34 IS CONSIDERATION OF
16 STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE
17 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND CONSIDERATION OF
18 ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL
19 REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE KINGS WASTE AND RECYCLING AUTHORITY
20 IN KINGS COUNTY.

21 THE KINGS WASTE AND RECYCLING AUTHORITY IS A
22 REGIONAL AGENCY CONSISTING OF THE INCORPORATED CITIES OF
23 CORCORAN, HANFORD, AND LEMOORE, AND THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS
24 OF KINGS COUNTY.

1 STAFF CONDUCTED A BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE
2 KINGS WASTE AND RECYCLING AUTHORITY'S SOURCE REDUCTION AND
3 RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR SRRE.

4 THE PURPOSE OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW IS TO
5 DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE REGIONAL AGENCY HAD ADEQUATELY
6 IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND ACHIEVED THE 1995 DIVERSION
7 REQUIREMENT AND, IF NOT, WHETHER THE ISSUANCE OF A
8 COMPLIANCE ORDER IS WARRANTED.

9 BOARD STAFF CONSIDERED A NUMBER OF ISSUES IN
10 ITS REVIEW, INCLUDING THE NATURE AND NUMBER OF DIVERSION
11 PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED, THE REGIONAL AGENCY'S REPORTED
12 DIVERSION RATE, AND WHETHER THE REGIONAL AGENCY HAD
13 DEMONSTRATED A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.

14 IN A SITE VISIT TO KINGS COUNTY IN MARCH OF
15 THIS YEAR BOARD STAFF DISCUSSED THE DEGREE OF IMPLEMENTATION
16 OF PROGRAMS IN 1995 AND 1996 WITH REGIONAL AGENCY STAFF. IT
17 WAS APPARENT THAT THE PROGRAMS WERE INSUFFICIENT AT THAT
18 TIME TO REACH 25% DIVERSION REQUIREMENT. STAFF OF THE
19 REGIONAL AGENCY BELIEVE THE FAILURE TO MEET THE 1995 GOAL
20 WAS DUE PRIMARILY TO POOR PROGRAM PARTICIPATION RATES,
21 INEFFICIENT OPERATION OF THE MATERIALS RECOVERY FACILITY BY
22 THE FORMER CONTRACTOR, AND A LACK OF LOCAL ENFORCEMENT.

23 ALTHOUGH THE REGIONAL AGENCY HAS TAKEN SOME
24 ADDITIONAL AND NEW APPROACHES TO DIVERSION PROGRAMS IN AN

1 ATTEMPT TO INCREASE DIVERSION RATES SINCE 1995 AND '96,
2 BOARD STAFF CANNOT RECOMMEND THAT THE REGIONAL AGENCY MADE A
3 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT IT'S SRRE IN '95 AND '96, AND
4 ARE RECOMMENDING THAT A COMPLIANCE ORDER BE ADOPTED.

5 STAFF RECOMMENDS THE REGIONAL
6 AGENCY IMPLEMENT ALL OF THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN THE SRRE,
7 OR SUITABLE ALTERNATE PROGRAMS, BY AUGUST 1ST, 2000.
8 DEVELOP A REVISED SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTING SRRE-SELECTED
9 PROGRAMS, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE BOARD'S TARGETED
10 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE, OR TIA, STAFF, DOCUMENT ITS
11 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING SELECTED PROGRAMS AND MEETING THE
12 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT OF 25%, PLUS PROGRESS TOWARDS 50%
13 DIVERSION IN QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE BOARD; AND, WORK WITH
14 TIA AND OUTREACH STAFF OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TO
15 DETERMINE GAPS IN PROGRAM AREAS; AND, MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS
16 ON IMPROVING, EXPANDING OR IMPLEMENTING NEW DIVERSION
17 PROGRAMS; AND, TO OUTLINE THE SCOPE OF A LOCAL ASSISTANCE
18 PLAN BY SEPTEMBER 30TH, 1999.

19 THE COMPLIANCE ORDER REQUIRES THE BOARD TO
20 HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING FOLLOWING THE TERM OF THE COMPLIANCE
21 SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE REGIONAL AGENCY
22 COMPLIED WITH THE CONDITIONS OF THE ORDER. A PUBLIC HEARING
23 COULD BE SCHEDULED EARLIER IF THE REGIONAL AGENCY COMPLIES
24 WITH THE ORDER AHEAD OF SCHEDULE.

1 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE PROPOSED COMPLIANCE
2 ORDER AND CONDITIONS BE ADOPTED.

3 A REPRESENTATIVE FROM KINGS WASTE AND
4 RECYCLING AUTHORITY IS HERE TO ADDRESS ANY SPECIFIC
5 QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

6 THIS CONCLUDES MY PART OF THE PRESENTATION.
7 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF?

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF?

9 ALL RIGHT, MR. ADAMS?

10 MR. ADAMS: MICHAEL ADAMS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU SHOULD KNOW, COLLEAGUES, THAT
12 MR. ADAMS AND HIS COLLEAGUE HAVE REALLY TRIED TO DO SOME
13 THINGS, AND HAVE COME HERE LOOKING FOR HELP, AND HAVE SORT
14 OF VOLUNTARILY AGREED TO THE COMPLIANCE -- OF WHICH WE THANK
15 YOU. AND THEY'RE LOOKING FOR SOME HELP, AND HOPEFULLY WE
16 WILL BE ABLE TO DO THAT, AND THAT'S MY JOB.

17 MR. ADAMS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF
18 THE BOARD, FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME UP HERE AND
19 SPEAK BEFORE YOU TODAY.

20 I'LL START OFF BY SAYING GUILTY AS CHARGED.
21 WE DON'T REFUSE THAT. HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT I
22 WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION, SOME OF THE
23 THINGS THAT WE ARE DOING.

24 AND WE DON'T WANT THE BOARD TO FEEL THAT WE

1 ARE NOT MAKING GOOD-FAITH EFFORT. WE FEEL VERY STRONGLY
2 THAT WE ARE MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO COMPLY WITH THE
3 MANDATES OF 939.

4 WE REALIZE THAT BUILDING A
5 MULTI-MILLION-DOLLAR FACILITY, SPENDING OVER A MILLION
6 DOLLARS ON A YELLOW-BAG PROGRAM, AND SUPPORTING THAT FOR
7 THREE YEARS, EVEN THOUGH
8 IT DIDN'T WORK -- THAT, IN ITSELF, IS NOT A
9 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT.

10 HOWEVER, WE HAVE FOUND THAT DURING THE YEARS
11 OF 1995, '96, AND '97, THE WASTE DIVERSION THAT WAS REPORTED
12 WAS STRICTLY THAT DIVERSION THAT CAME THROUGH THE MRF. AND
13 WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS, WE HAVE A LOT OF BUY-BACK CENTERS,
14 RETAILERS, HUGE RETAILERS SUCH AS
15 WAL-MART, K-MART, HUGE FOOD CHAINS THAT DO A LOT OF
16 RECYCLING, THAT WAS NEVER USED TO PUT IN THAT FORMULA. SO,
17 THERE IS A LOT OF RECYCLING GOING ON IN KINGS COUNTY.

18 HAD THOSE NUMBERS BEEN PUT IN, IN 1995 AND
19 1996, AND 1997 -- '97, WHERE WE WERE AT 18% AT THE MRF
20 ALONE -- OUR NUMBERS ALL THREE YEARS WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER
21 25%.

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. ADAMS?

23 MR. ADAMS: YES, SIR.

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: YOU MEAN -- THE RECYCLING THAT

1 YOU SAY THAT THEY DO, IS THAT RECYCLING THAT -- OF WASTE
2 THAT HAD -- WAS ACTUALLY IN THE WASTE STREAM AT ONE TIME?

3 MR. ADAMS: IT'S NOT WASTE, PER SAY, IT'S.... FOR
4 EXAMPLE, K-MART, THEY HAVE THE BALERS THAT TAKE ALL THE
5 CARDBOARD, THE CANS, THE BOTTLES AND EVERYTHING THAT THEY
6 KEEP OUT OF THE WASTE STREAM AND BASICALLY SELL.

7 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH. BUT IT WAS NEVER IN THE
8 JURISDICTION'S WASTE STREAM AT ANY GIVEN TIME --

9 MR. ADAMS: ALL THOSE --

10 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

11 MR. ADAMS: ALL THOSE BUSINESSES ARE IN THE
12 COUNTY, AND WE'VE HAD BOARD MEMBERS, STAFF MEMBERS, THAT
13 HAVE COME TO US AND HAVE SAID --

14 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK)

15 (TEXT LOST FROM TAPE)

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: -- WENT TO LANDFILL AND IS NOW
17 DIVERTED IS ELIGIBLE FOR --

18 MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, YES. BUT, NOW -- OKAY,
19 THAT'S WHAT I GUESS I'M ASKING. AS HE INDICATED, IT NEVER
20 WENT INTO THE WASTE STREAM.

21 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF IT'S IN THE LANDFILL AT VERY
22 SMALL AMOUNTS IT QUALIFIES AS BEING A LEGITIMATE DIVERSION
23 PROGRAM, IF THAT PARTICULAR WASTE TYPE IS FOUND.

24 MR. SCHIAVO: WHAT OCCURRED IN THIS INSTANCE, AND

1 IT JUST CAME TO ALL OF OUR COLLECTIVE ATTENTION, WAS AT
2 MEETING THAT WE HAD ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO WHERE THEY WERE
3 TALKING ABOUT DIVERSION RATES AND, YOU KNOW, PROGRAM
4 IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES.

5 AND MR. ADAMS STARTED TALKING ABOUT, GEES,
6 OUR MRF IS GETTING US A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE, I WISH -- YOU
7 KNOW, SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT ABOUT WE'RE NOT EVEN COUNTING
8 ANY OF THE COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES OR THE BUY-BACK CENTERS.
9 AND WE QUESTIONED WHY THAT WASN'T INCLUDED IN THEIR
10 CALCULATION.

11 AND, SO APPARENTLY, YOU'VE GONE BACK -- I
12 DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE DONE ANY QUANTIFICATION OF THAT SINCE
13 THAT TIME, BUT THAT WASN'T -- IN OUR CONVERSATIONS, IT
14 APPEARED THAT IT WASN'T INCLUDED IN THEIR COUNTS.

15 MR. ADAMS: RIGHT. THE NUMBERS THAT
16 WERE --

17 MEMBER ROBERTI: RIGHT, YEAH, SO I'M JUST TRYING
18 TO GET THIS IN MY HEAD EXACTLY -- OKAY.

19 SO IF A -- USING THE WAL-MART,
20 WAL-MART HAS A BUY-BACK CENTER --

21 MR. ADAMS: WAL-MART HAS -- JUST HAS A BALER
22 ITSELF. WE HAVE --

23 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- JUST HAS A BALER --

24 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

1 MR. ADAMS: -- SEVERAL BUY-BACK CENTERS THAT --
2 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

3 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- IF SOMEBODY HAS A BUY-BACK
4 CENTER THEN. AND THAT, IF WE KNOW ABOUT IT, WE WOULD
5 NORMALLY CONSIDER THAT IN THE WASTE STREAM FOR COMPUTATION
6 OF THE BASE-YEAR.

7 MR. SCHIAVO: YES.

8 MEMBER ROBERTI: WHETHER -- WHETHER THAT MATERIAL
9 EVER WENT INTO THE LANDFILL OR NOT.

10 MR. SCHIAVO: WELL, BECAUSE -- TYPICALLY, THOSE
11 MATERIALS THAT WOULD BE AT A BUY-BACK CENTER, THOSE ARE
12 COMMON RECYCLABLE MATERIALS, SO THE ASSUMPTION IS, YEAH,
13 THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE LANDFILL AT SOME POINT IN TIME.

14 THE ISSUE WOULD BE DERIVATION OF THE WASTE.
15 IF IT WAS AT A WAL-MART AND IT'S IN KINGS COUNTY, IN THE
16 MIDDLE OF KINGS COUNTY, THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT, YES, IT'S
17 PART OF THE WASTE STREAM. SO, YOU KNOW, NORMALLY THAT WOULD
18 NOT BE IN QUESTION.

19 MEMBER ROBERTI: OKAY.

20 MR. ADAMS: WHAT YOU FIND RIGHT NOW IS, WHEN THE
21 MARKETS ARE VERY LOW, PEOPLE WILL THROW A LOT OF STUFF AWAY.

22 WHEN THE MARKETS ARE HIGH WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT GO THROUGH
23 THE BINS BEHIND STORES AND STUFF TAKING CARDBOARD,
24 COLLECTING IT AND SELLING IT FOR \$200.00 A TON.

1 WE CAN'T KEEP PEOPLE FROM
2 GOING THROUGH BINS, AND KEEP FROM TAKING OUT ALUMINUM CANS,
3 FOR EXAMPLE, AND TAKING THOSE TO THE BUY-BACK CENTERS.
4 ITEMS THAT WERE THROWN AWAY THAT ARE BEING SCAVENGED, AND
5 BEING TAKEN TO THOSE BUY-BACK CENTERS.

6 MEMBER ROBERTI: LET ME ASK YOU THIS, SINCE THIS -
7 - THEY'RE RELATED JURISDICTIONS. JUST TWO MINUTES AGO WE
8 VOTED A COMPLIANCE ORDER ON AVENAL. DO WE KNOW IF THERE'S A
9 SIMILAR SITUATION, OR THERE'S NOT A SIMILAR SITUATION THERE?

10 MS. FRIEDMAN: PERHAPS THE FOLKS FROM AVENAL, IF
11 THEY'RE STILL HERE, MAY WANT TO COMMENT ON THAT.

12 BUT, OUR -- WE DON'T REALLY KNOW IF THE
13 SITUATION'S EXACTLY THE SAME OR NOT. AVENAL'S A VERY SMALL
14 JURISDICTION.

15 MEMBER ROBERTI: I KNOW, BUT IT'S IN KINGS COUNTY
16 --

17 MS. FRIEDMAN: IT IS IN KINGS COUNTY --

18 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- AND ONE WOULD ASSUME MAYBE THE
19 CULTURE IS THE SAME.

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: IT'S POTENTIALLY POSSIBLE.

21 MS. CARDOZO: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT,
22 SENATOR. I THINK THERE MAY BE A MISUNDERSTANDING OF HOW
23 DIVERSION IS COUNTED.

24 AND IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AT

1 THE MEETING WITH MR. ADAMS THAT IF IT WASN'T COUNTED, IT
2 WASN'T COUNTED IN 1995. AND HOW THE DIVERSION RATE IS
3 CALCULATED IS BASED ON THEIR BASE-YEAR. SO IF THIS AMOUNT
4 WAS BEING DISPOSED IN THE BASE-YEAR, YOU DON'T COUNT
5 DIVERSION, PER SE, IN '95, IT'S WHAT IS NOT BEING DISPOSED.

6 SO IF ALL THIS ACTIVITY AT THE
7 WAL-MART, ETC., WAS HAPPENING --

8 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

9 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- '95 --

10 MS. CARDOZO: -- THEN THAT --

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- IT WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN COUNTED
12 ANYWAY.

13 MS. CARDOZO: WELL, IT WOULD --

14 MEMBER ROBERTI: OR, SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN COUNTED -
15 -

16 MS. CARDOZO: -- IT WENT -- TOWARD THE SOURCE
17 WASTE REDUCTION, THAT'S CORRECT.

18 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND IF THEY WANT RELIEF THEN THEY
19 SHOULD ASK FOR A DIFFERENT BASE-YEAR, IF IT'S -- IF IT IS A
20 --

21 FEMALE VOICE: CORRECT.

22 MEMBER ROBERTI: WAS THIS BEING DIVERTED IN 1995,
23 WITH THESE BUY-BACK CENTERS, ETC.?

24 MR. ADAMS: I WILL BE HONEST WITH YOU, AND I WILL

1 SAY, SURE, SOME OF IT PROBABLY WAS.

2 I TOOK OVER THREE YEARS AGO, WAS NOT HERE
3 WHEN THAT WAS ALL DONE. BUT, WE'VE HAD A LOT OF RETAILERS
4 COME IN, THINGS THAT PROBABLY WERE GOING INTO THE LANDFILLS
5 AT THAT TIME, AND PROBABLY SOME THINGS THAT WEREN'T GOING IN
6 AT THAT TIME.

7 I GUESS MY POINT IS, THAT EACH YEAR, SINCE
8 1995, WE HAVE ACTUALLY INCREASED THE AMOUNT OF DIVERSION.
9 AND I'M NOT TAKING CREDIT FOR ANY OTHER ITEM, ANY OTHER
10 ENTITY, OTHER THAN WHAT HAS COME THROUGH OUR MRF ITSELF.

11 AND I THINK THE NUMBERS THAT YOU SEE THIS
12 YEAR, IF WE TAKE THOSE INTO CONSIDERATION, WILL BE WELL OVER
13 25%.

14 SPEAKING OF THE NUMBERS, I HAVE JUST PULLED
15 OFF THE INTERNET THE BOARD'S CALCULATION FOR DIVERSION FOR
16 KINGS COUNTY FOR 1998, THAT WILL BE IN MY REPORT IN AUGUST.

17 THE BOARD'S NUMBERS SHOW THAT I'M DIVERTING 4% FOR AN
18 ENTIRE COUNTY.

19 WELL, I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT NUMBER IS
20 CALCULATED. I DO KNOW THAT WHEN YOU TAKE A LOOK AT
21 UNEMPLOYMENT, WHEN YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THE CONSUMER PRICE
22 INDEX, AND THROW THOSE NUMBERS ALL INTO THE EQUATION, IT'S
23 BASICALLY SAYING WE'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING. AND, NOTHING
24 FARTHER COULD BE FROM THE TRUTH. IN FACT, EACH YEAR WE HAVE

1 INCREASED OUR DIVERSION AND INCREASED OUR PROGRAMS.

2 AND, I'D LIKE TO GO OVER SOME OF THE THINGS
3 WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW.

4 YOU KNOW, LIKE I SAID, I THINK WE'RE DOING A
5 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT. WHAT WE HAVE DONE LOCALLY AND RECENTLY
6 IS, MY BOARD OF DIRECTORS HAD A MEETING WITH THE CITY OF
7 LEMOORE. THE CITY OF LEMOORE CAME AND ASKED US FOR \$98,000.
8 AFTER ABOUT A TWO-HOUR MEETING THEY WALKED AWAY WITH
9 \$105,000.

10 WHAT THEY HAVE IMPLEMENTED ON THEIR OWN IS A
11 SEGREGATED GREEN WASTE PROGRAM, HAVE PASSED A RESOLUTION TO
12 ENFORCE THAT, AND FINE, MAKE MONETARY FINES UPON THE PEOPLE
13 THAT REFUSE TO COMPLY WITH THE GREEN WASTE RECYCLING
14 PROGRAM.

15 WHEN YOU HAVE AN AGRICULTURAL AREA THAT -- AS
16 WE DO -- WE HAVE A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT WASTE
17 CHARACTERIZATION THAN PROBABLY A LOT OF URBAN AREAS. AND
18 GREEN WASTE MAKES UP A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF OUR WASTE
19 STREAM. THAT WOULD BE A BIG HELP TO US.

20 I RECENTLY BOUGHT 11 CUSTOM RECYCLING BINS.
21 THERE WAS A GENTLEMAN UP HERE EARLIER THAT SAID HE HAD A
22 HARD TIME WORKING WITH SOME OF THE EDUCATORS. I HAVE
23 PERSONALLY MET WITH SUPERINTENDENTS OF THE HIGH SCHOOL AND
24 THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN LEMOORE. WE'RE PUTTING RECYCLING BINS

1 AT EVERY SCHOOL, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED PROBABLY SIX OR SEVEN
2 TONS FROM FOUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS JUST SINCE THE 10TH, WHEN
3 SCHOOL WAS OUT.

4 THE COMMUNITIES, WE DID A MASSIVE EDUCATION
5 PROGRAM. AND WE NOW HAVE PEOPLE TAKING THEIR HOUSEHOLD
6 WASTES, SUCH AS PAPER, CARDBOARD, CANS, BOTTLES, ETC., AND
7 PUTTING THOSE INTO THE BINS AT THE SCHOOL.

8 IT IS OUR INTENTION, AT THE END OF THE YEAR,
9 TO TAKE SOME OF THE REVENUE THAT WE'VE MADE FROM THOSE AND
10 GIVE IT BACK TO THE SCHOOLS. SO, THE SCHOOLS ARE VERY
11 ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT HELPING US.

12 WE SENT LETTERS TO 305 BUSINESSES ASKING FOR
13 THEIR HELP AND SUPPORT, IN AS FAR AS REPORTING OF WHAT THEY
14 HAVE RECYCLED IN KINGS COUNTY. IF WE TAKE THE NUMBERS THAT
15 WE'VE GOTTEN BACK FROM JUST 70 FIRMS OUT OF THE 300, ABOUT A
16 THIRD -- AND, WE'RE ACTUALLY ALLOWED TO USE THAT IN OUR
17 CALCULATION -- WE'D HAVE ABOUT SEVEN AND A HALF PERCENT
18 ABOVE WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY DOING.

19 SO, LIKE I SAID, THERE'S A LOT OF RECYCLING
20 THAT'S GOING ON DOWN THERE.

21 AND ONE LAST THING I'D LIKE TO SAY IS, NOT
22 ONLY ARE WE DOING RECYCLING AS BEST WE CAN.... WE HAD A
23 PROBLEM WITH A -- AND IT WAS SAID EARLIER -- WE HIRED A
24 CONTRACTOR THAT WAS A PRIVATE CONTRACTOR (INDISCERNIBLE)

1 CONTRACT FOUR AND A HALF YEARS EARLY, BECAUSE THE AGENDA OF
2 A PUBLIC AGENCY AND OURS WAS COMPLETELY DIVERSIFIED. WE
3 WANT TO RECYCLE, WE WANT TO MEET THE MANDATE. AND WE HAVE
4 TAKEN THE FACILITY OVER COMPLETELY BY OURSELVES AND ARE
5 RUNNING IT NOW.

6 WITH THAT, I AM BALING NUMBER THREE THROUGH
7 SEVEN PLASTICS. I WILL GIVE THEM TO ANYONE THAT WILL TAKE
8 THEM IN THIS STATE. I HAVE HAD PEOPLE COME TO ME, LOOK AT
9 MY BALED MATERIAL AND SAY, THANK YOU. I WILL PAY SHIPMENT
10 ANYWHERE IN THIS STATE TO GET RID OF THREE THROUGH SEVEN
11 PLASTICS THAT I AM CURRENTLY BALING. WHEN IT GETS TO THE
12 POINT WHERE I CAN NO LONGER PUT IT ANYWHERE ELSE, GUESS
13 WHERE IT'S GOING TO GO -- INTO THE LANDFILLS. I NEED SOME
14 HELP.

15 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ARE THERE ANY
16 QUESTIONS?

17 MEMBER JONES: NO, MR. ADAMS.

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES?

19 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO MOVE THIS
20 RESOLUTION.

21 BUT, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR A COUPLE OF
22 THESE JURISDICTIONS -- AND, WHEN I MET WITH MR. ADAMS AND
23 HIS COLLEAGUES -- AND, I KNOW THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS DID --
24 I THINK A LOT OF WHAT THE PROBLEMS IN KINGS COUNTY AND --

1 CENTERED AROUND, IS YOU HAD WASTE DISTRICTS, YOU HAD PEOPLE
2 THAT WERE GOING AROUND THE INFRASTRUCTURE. THEY WERE GOING
3 DIRECTLY FROM THE GENERATOR TO THE LANDFILL, THEY WEREN'T
4 UTILIZING AN INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WAS GOING TO GET YOU THERE.

5 SO, YOU CAN'T -- AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE
6 SAME IN YUBA/SUTTER -- BUT, I MEAN, BUILDING A FACILITY OR
7 BUILDING AN INFRASTRUCTURE IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF MEETING
8 AB 939. THIS BOARD IS GOING TO HELP REINFORCE JUST HOW
9 IMPORTANT THAT INFRASTRUCTURE IS.

10 SAYING IT'S GOOD-FAITH TO BUILD A BUILDING IS
11 THE -- IT MIGHT WORK FOR A LOT OF -- FOR SOME LOCAL FOLKS.
12 US, MAKING SURE THAT YOUR JURISDICTIONS UNDERSTAND THE
13 MATERIAL HAS TO GO THROUGH THAT INFRASTRUCTURE, AND WORK TO
14 GET THAT DIVERSION -- THIS ACTION IS GOING TO MAKE YOUR JOB
15 EASIER IN MY MIND.

16 AND THAT'S WHAT I TOLD YOU THAT DAY. I THINK
17 IT REINFORCES THE INVESTMENT IN THE MRF. BECAUSE, IF IT
18 DOESN'T GO THROUGH THE INFRASTRUCTURE, THE FINANCIAL BURDEN
19 ON YOUR JURISDICTION IS UNFAIR.

20 MR. ADAMS: RIGHT.

21 MEMBER JONES: AND WE NEED TO BE HERE TO SUPPORT
22 THAT -- THOSE ACTIVITIES.

23 I'M GOING -- AND PART OF THE COMPLIANCE PLAN
24 IS, I THINK, IF WE NEED -- IF YOU NEED TO DO A NEW WASTE

1 GENERATION OR WASTE CHARACTERIZATION, THAT CAN BE PART OF
2 THE PLAN.

3 MR. ADAMS: SURE.

4 MEMBER JONES: WHICH CAN IDENTIFY THE ISSUES THAT
5 SENATOR ROBERTI BROUGHT UP, APPROPRIATELY, IS, YOU KNOW,
6 WHERE WAS THIS STUFF IN '90. SO, THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT
7 I THINK WE CAN DO THAT ARE GOING TO HELP YOU.

8 SO, I'M GOING TO MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION
9 1999-227, MR. CHAIRMAN.

10 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT.

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES -- I'M
12 SORRY, MR. JONES MOVES AND MR. PENNINGTON SECONDS, THAT WE
13 ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-227.

14 MR. ADAMS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU, MR. ADAMS.

16 WITHOUT OBJECTION, IF WE CAN SUBSTITUTE THE
17 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL? HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE
18 ORDERED.

19 AGENDA ITEM 35: CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
20 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
21 FOR THE CITY OF ONTARIO, SAN BERNARDINO

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 35.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD MEMBERS,
24 ITEM 35 IS CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER

1 RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE CITY OF
2 ONTARIO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

3 YOU WILL RECALL THAT IN APRIL WE CAME TO THE
4 BOARD WITH THIS ITEM, AND THE BOARD DIRECTED US, AND
5 ONTARIO, TO COME BACK WITH THE COMPLIANCE ORDER.

6 WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO
7 KEIR FUREY, WHO WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

8 MR. FUREY: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN EATON, BOARD
9 MEMBERS, I'M KEIR FUREY WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE,
10 CENTRAL SECTION.

11 ITEM No. 35 IS CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
12 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
13 FOR THE CITY OF ONTARIO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

14 ON APRIL 28TH, 1999, THE CITY OF ONTARIO CAME
15 FORWARD IN A BIENNIAL REVIEW AS A TIER TWO WITH A DIVERSION
16 RATE OF 17% FOR BOTH 1995 AND 1996. AT THAT TIME THE BOARD
17 DETERMINED THAT THE JURISDICTION HAD NOT ADEQUATELY
18 IMPLEMENTED ITS SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR
19 SRRE, AND COULD NOT RECOMMEND THAT THE JURISDICTION HAD MADE
20 A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT IN 1995 AND 1996.

21 SUBSEQUENTLY, THE BOARD RECOMMENDED THAT THE
22 CITY OF ONTARIO BE PLACED ON A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE.

23 STAFF HAS DRAFTED A COMPLIANCE ORDER WITH
24 SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE FOR THE BOARD'S

1 CONSIDERATION. THIS COMPLIANCE ORDER CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING
2 PROVISIONS:

3 IMPLEMENTATION OF ALL THE PROGRAMS SELECTED
4 IN THE SRRE, OR SUITABLE ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS, BY AUGUST
5 1ST, 2000;

6 DEVELOP A REVISED SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTING
7 SRRE-SELECTED PROGRAMS, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE BOARD'S
8 TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE STAFF BY SEPTEMBER 30TH,
9 1999;

10 DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING
11 SELECTED PROGRAMS AND MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF
12 25%, PLUS PROGRESS TOWARDS 50% DIVERSION IN QUARTERLY
13 REPORTS TO THE BOARD.

14 WORK WITH TARGET IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE
15 AND OUTREACH STAFF OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TO
16 DETERMINE GAPS IN PROGRAM AREAS; AND, MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS
17 ON IMPROVING, EXPANDING OR IMPLEMENTING NEW DIVERSION
18 PROGRAMS;

19 AND, TO OUTLINE THE SCOPE OF A LOCAL
20 ASSISTANCE PLAN BY SEPTEMBER 30TH, 1999.

21 THE COMPLIANCE ORDER REQUIRES THE BOARD TO
22 HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING FOLLOWING THE TERM OF THE COMPLIANCE
23 SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE CITY HAS COMPLIED
24 WITH THE CONDITIONS OF THE ORDER. A PUBLIC HEARING COULD BE

1 SCHEDULED EARLIER IF THE CITY COMPLIES WITH THE ORDER AHEAD
2 OF SCHEDULE.

3 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE PROPOSED COMPLIANCE
4 ORDER AND CONDITIONS BE ADOPTED.

5 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. KEN JESKE
6 AND JOE MOSANO (PHON) OF THE CITY OF ONTARIO ARE PRESENT
7 TODAY. IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS, BOTH STAFF AND THE CITY
8 OF ONTARIO ARE AVAILABLE. THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS OF STAFF?

10 MR. JESKE, DO YOU CARE TO MAKE ANY COMMENTS?

11 MR. JESKE: YES.

12 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

13 MR. JESKE: HONORABLE BOARD MEMBERS, I'M KEN
14 JESKE, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR WITH THE CITY, AND WE'RE NOT
15 HERE TO ARGUE.

16 ACTUALLY, I WANTED TO PUBLICLY COMMENT YOUR
17 STAFF FOR WORKING WITH US, AND TO ASSURE THE BOARD THAT WE
18 ARE MOVING FORWARD RAPIDLY ON SOME OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WE
19 DESCRIBED EARLIER.

20 AFTER THE LAST TIME WE WERE HERE IN APRIL WE,
21 OF COURSE, ANTICIPATED THIS ORDER. AND, WE HAVE GONE AHEAD
22 WORKING WITH YOUR STAFF AND DEVELOPED A SCHEDULE AND FUNDING
23 FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF PROGRAMS TO INCREASE OUR DIVERSION
24 RATES. IT'S CERTAINLY NOT OUR INTENT TO HAVE THAT SCHEDULE

1 REVIEWED NOW, IT'S SORT OF IN A SECOND FINAL DRAFT FORM WITH
2 YOUR STAFF. BUT, WE DID WANT TO COMMEND -- WITH THE TIME
3 AND THE BUSY SCHEDULES OF YOUR STAFF -- TO WORK WITH US.

4 THIS SCHEDULE DOES INCLUDE FOUR MAIN
5 ELEMENTS, INCLUDING A MAIN PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM, A
6 RESIDENTIAL COMMINGLED AND MRF PROGRAM, THE GREEN WASTE
7 PROGRAM, AND A COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL PROGRAM TO HIT ALL THE
8 ELEMENTS OF WASTE. EACH ELEMENT HAS A SEPARATE SCHEDULE TO
9 IT. EACH ELEMENT HAS SPECIFIC PROGRAM ACTIVITIES AND
10 SCHEDULES FOR THOSE ACTIVITIES. WE INCLUDE BOTH INTERNAL
11 PROGRAMS -- INTERNAL IN THE CITY, AND INTERNAL TO THE
12 OPERATIONS OF THE CITY, AS WELL AS JOINT REGIONAL EFFORTS
13 WITH OTHER CITIES IN THE AREA.

14 IN FACT, AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING YOU
15 INQUIRED ABOUT THE GRASS CYCLE PROGRAM, WHICH THIS BOARD HAS
16 HELPED FUND. WE PARTICIPATE THROUGH THAT PROGRAM THROUGH AN
17 EIGHT-CITY CONSORTIUM THAT WE WERE ONE OF THE PRIMARY
18 MEMBERS IN ASSISTING AND SETTING UP IN THE WEST END OF SAN
19 BERNARDINO COUNTY. THAT'S ABOUT THREE-QUARTERS OF A MILLION
20 RESIDENTS REPRESENTED THROUGH THAT EIGHT-PARTY CONSORTIUM.
21 AND OUR CITY WILL BE HOSTING EVENTS WITHIN THE COMING YEAR
22 THROUGH THAT PROGRAM.

23 YOU ALSO INQUIRED ON OTHER REGIONAL EFFORTS,
24 SUCH AS THE ONTARIO AIRPORT. AND AT THAT TIME WE REPORTED

1 TO YOU THAT -- THAT, IN FACT, THAT IS THE CITY OF LOS
2 ANGELES L.A. WORLD AIRPORT'S FACILITY. BUT, SUBSEQUENT TO
3 THAT TIME, WE'VE MET WITH THEM, THEY'VE BROUGHT ON BOARD A
4 CONSULTANT TO DEVELOP PROGRAMS SPECIFIC TO THE AIRPORT, AND
5 THE CITY AND WORLD AIRPORTS WILL BE WORKING TOGETHER TO GET
6 THOSE PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED.

7 AND, WE'RE GOING TO BE HOSTING SEVERAL PUBLIC
8 AWARENESS EVENTS, INCLUDING OPERATION SECOND-CHANCE, WHICH
9 YOU MAY BE AWARE OF.

10 WE ARE ALSO GOING TO HAVE A VERY STRONG
11 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY COMPONENT, A LITTLE OVER HALF OF OUR
12 WASTE IS COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WASTE OUT OF THE CITY. WE
13 HEARD SOME NUMBERS JUST EARLIER TODAY ON WHAT THE POUNDS-
14 PER-CAPITA GENERATION IS, IN THE MAGNITUDE OF 38 POUNDS, AND
15 A GOOD PLACE IS 16 POUNDS, INCLUDING THE
16 COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WASTE WHICH GOES TO LANDFILL. OUR
17 RESIDENTS ARE AT JUST A LITTLE MORE THAN SIX POUNDS PER DAY,
18 PER PERSON, AND THAT'S BEFORE WE IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS THAT
19 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IN THE COMPLIANCE ORDER.

20 THIS LIST, WHICH WILL NOT FIT ON YOUR SCREEN,
21 IS A BRIEF SUMMARY OF SOME OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WE'LL BE
22 IMPLEMENTING WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS.

23 WE DO WISH TO ENSURE YOU THAT WE'RE MOVING
24 FORWARD VERY RAPIDLY, AND THAT'S WHY WE'VE MET, IN

1 ANTICIPATION OF THIS SCHEDULE, WITH YOUR STAFF.

2 WE ALSO WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THIS
3 INVOLVES SOME CHANGES TO OUR COSTS AND, HENCE, WHAT WE PASS
4 ON TO OUR RESIDENTS. AND OUR COST STRUCTURE AND OUR RATES
5 ARE ADOPTED, I'M GLAD THAT CONTROVERSY IS OVER.

6 WE WOULD INVITE YOU, NEXT TIME WE GO THROUGH
7 THAT, TO COME AND ATTEND OUR RATE HEARINGS AND ASSIST US FOR
8 --

9 MEMBER PENNINGTON: NO THANK YOU --

10 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

11 MR. JESKE: FOR OUR ONE CITY YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT
12 A -- PROGRAMS IN EXCESS OF \$2 MILLION A YEAR ADDITIONAL
13 COST, WHICH WILL BE BORNE, STARTING JULY 1, AND THE COUNCIL
14 HAS TAKEN THE STEPS TO PUT ALL THAT IN ORDER.

15 AND SO, AGAIN, WE WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW A
16 LITTLE BIT ON WHAT WE WERE DOING. WE'RE ALSO GOING TO HAVE
17 REDUCED RATES FOR RECYCLING CONTAINERS IN THE BUSINESS
18 COMMUNITY STARTING JULY 1.

19 AND THANK YOU. ALTHOUGH, HAVING DONE ALL OF
20 OUR BUSINESS ON THE INTERNET AND TELEPHONE, WE DIDN'T
21 RECOGNIZE OUR REPRESENTATIVES TODAY, WE'RE SURE GLAD TO SEE
22 'EM HERE, AFTER HAVING SHAVED HIS BEARD.

23 AND WE'D ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE.

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: THE SOCIAL HALL IS IN THE BACK,

1 AND AFTERWARDS WE'LL --

2 MR. JESKE: THANK YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT.

4 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON.

6 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
7 RESOLUTION 1999-266.

8 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND IT,
9 MR. CHAIRMAN.

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND MR.
11 JONES SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-266.

12 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WELL SUBSTITUTE THE
13 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
14 ORDER.

15 AGENDA ITEM 36: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION
16 ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
17 RECYCLING ELEMENT; AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
18 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
19 FOR THE CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 35.

21 MS. FRIEDMAN: THIRTY-SIX, I BELIEVE.

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: THIRTY-SIX, ABSOLUTELY.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE JUST DID 35.

24 CONSIDERATION OF --

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: I WAS JUST CHECKING.

2 MEMBER PENNINGTON: TO SEE IF WE WERE STILL AWAKE.

3 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE'RE STILL AWAKE.

4 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
5 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
6 RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
7 COMPLIANCE ORDER RELATIVE TO THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
8 FOR THE CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THIS
9 IS THE LAST OF THE COMPLIANCE ORDER ITEMS ON TODAY'S AGENDA.

10 GARY COLLORD WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR
11 STAFF.

12 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

13 MR. COLLORD: GOOD MORNING AGAIN, CHAIRMAN EATON
14 AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I AM GARY COLLORD WITH THE OFFICE
15 OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

16 BASED UPON OUR REVIEW OF THE CITY'S 1995 AND
17 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS, STAFF FINDS THAT THE CITY OF LA HABRA
18 HEIGHTS HAS NOT ADEQUATE IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE, OR SOURCE
19 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, AND HAS MADE NO PROGRESS IN
20 INCREASING WASTE DIVERSION SINCE THE BASE-YEAR.

21 ACCORDING TO THE ANNUAL REPORT SUBMITTED BY
22 THE CITY, NONE OF THE SRRE-SELECTED PROGRAMS WERE
23 IMPLEMENTED DURING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW PERIOD AS ORIGINALLY
24 PLANNED. SEVERAL MINOR DIVERSION PROGRAMS, WHICH PRECEDED

1 ADOPTION OF THE SRRE, HOWEVER, CONTINUE TO BE IMPLEMENTED.

2 THE CITY'S REPORTED DIVERSION RATE WAS 35% IN
3 1995, AND 34% IN 1996. HOWEVER, THE ORIGINAL BASE-YEAR
4 DIVERSION RATE REPORTED BY THE CITY, AND APPROVED BY THE
5 BOARD, AS 36%.

6 THE HIGH DIVERSION RATES IN THE
7 BASE-YEAR AND DURING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW PERIOD ARE --
8 APPEAR TO BE LARGELY THE RESULT OF ONGOING GRASS-CYCLING AT
9 A CITY GOLF COURSE.

10 THE CITY IDENTIFIED INSUFFICIENT STAFFING AS
11 THE PRIMARY REASON WHY PROGRAMS WERE NOT IMPLEMENTED AS
12 ORIGINALLY PLANNED. STAFF CONSIDERED THIS EXPLANATION IN
13 EVALUATING WHETHER THE CITY HAD MADE A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO
14 IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.

15 HOWEVER, SINCE THE CITY FAILED TO IMPLEMENT
16 ANY OF THE SRRE-SELECTED PROGRAMS, SEEK TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
17 FROM BOARD STAFF IN DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS, OR
18 RECRUIT A FRANCHISE HAULER TO UNDERTAKE APPROPRIATE
19 DIVERSION PROGRAMS, STAFF CANNOT FIND THAT THE CITY MADE A
20 REASONABLE GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.

21 STAFF, THEREFORE, RECOMMENDS THE BOARD FIND
22 THAT LA HABRA HEIGHTS IS NOT ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTING ITS
23 SRRE, AND ADOPT THE ATTACHED COMPLIANCE ORDER AND
24 CONDITIONS.

1 LESLIE DOOLITTLE, THE CITY MANAGER FOR LA
2 HABRA HEIGHTS, IS ALSO HERE TODAY, AND I THINK HE HAS A FEW
3 WORDS FOR THE BOARD AS WELL.

4 THIS PRETTY MUCH CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
5 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR STAFF?

6 MEMBER JONES: I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE CITY
7 MANAGER.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. DOOLITTLE.

9 MR. DOOLITTLE: GOOD AFTERNOON.

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: GOOD AFTERNOON.

11 MR. DOOLITTLE: I JUST HAD TO SAY THAT.

12 BASICALLY, I AM HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. WE
13 ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE STAFF'S FINDINGS AND
14 RECOMMENDATIONS.

15 THOSE OF YOU WHO KNOW THE L.A. AREA PROBABLY
16 KNOW LA HABRA HEIGHTS IS ONE OF THOSE VERY SMALL RESIDENTIAL
17 COMMUNITIES COMPOSED OF FAIRLY CONSERVATIVE FOLKS WHO REALLY
18 DON'T LIKE TO PRESS ON -- ANYTHING ON OTHERS. AND, IN SPITE
19 OF MY PROTESTATIONS FOR THE LAST THREE OR FOUR YEARS, THE
20 POLITICAL WILL HAS NOT BEEN THERE TO DO THE BASIC THINGS
21 LIKE A FRANCHISE AND SO FORTH.

22 MY CITY COUNCIL ARE FULLY AWARE OF THE
23 SITUATION, HAVE BEEN FOR FOUR YEARS. THEY HAVE DELEGATED ME
24 -- AS A MATTER OF FACT, I'M DOING A LITTLE MOVE INTO

1 RETIREMENT THE FIRST OF THE YEAR AND PART OF THAT PROCESS IS
2 THAT MORE OF MY TIME WILL BE AVAILABLE TO WORK WITH YOUR
3 STAFF AND GET PROGRAMS SET UP. OUR COUNCIL HAS ALREADY
4 APPROVED THAT MOVE AND ENDORSED IT, AND SO WE'RE LOOKING FOR
5 PROGRESS.

6 IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS, I'D BE HAPPY TO
7 ANSWER.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
9 MR. DOOLITTLE?

10 MEMBER JONES: NO. IT'S AN UNUSUAL SET OF
11 SITUATIONS. AND IT -- YOU HAVE AN OPPOSITE SITUATION OF A
12 LOT OF CITIES IN THE L.A. BASIN THAT HAD NUMBERS JUST THE
13 OPPOSITE.

14 SO, I DON'T KNOW WHO DID YOUR SOURCE -- WHO
15 DID THE ORIGINAL ELEMENT, BUT I'M CONFIDENT IT WASN'T DONE
16 BY SOME THAT HAD REALLY UNDERESTIMATED, OR --

17 MR. DOOLITTLE: OUR ACTUAL VOLUMES, OF COURSE, ARE
18 HIGH, YOU KNOW, PER CAPITA, PER LOT. WE'RE A LARGE-LOT
19 COMMUNITY.

20 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

21 MR. DOOLITTLE: A LOT OF BRUSH AND SO FORTH.

22 MEMBER JONES: GOOD.

23 MR. CHAIRMAN -- AND, THANK YOU FOR YOUR
24 COOPERATION --

1 MR. DOOLITTLE: THANK YOU.

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

3 MEMBER JONES: -- I APPRECIATE IT.

4 MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO MOVE ADOPTION OF
5 RESOLUTION 1999-263.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'LL SECOND THE MOTION.

7 MR. JONES MOVES AND MR. EATON SECONDS THAT WE
8 ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-263.

9 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
10 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
11 ORDER.

12 AGENDA ITEM 37: STATUS UPDATE ON THE QUARTERLY
13 COMPLIANCE ORDER REPORTS FOR THE CITIES OF HAWTHORNE,
14 HAWAIIAN GARDENS, AND COACHELLA (ORAL PRESENTATION)

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: THE NEXT ITEM, ITEM 37.

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN EATON AND
17 BOARD MEMBERS.

18 THIS ITEM IS A ORAL REPORT, AND IT'S A STATUS
19 UPDATE ON THE QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE ORDERS FOR THE CITIES OF
20 HAWTHORNE, HAWAIIAN GARDENS, AND COACHELLA.

21 YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT BACK IN JANUARY THE
22 BOARD FIRST ADOPTED COMPLIANCE ORDERS FOR THESE THREE
23 JURISDICTIONS. IN FACT, THEY WERE THE FIRST COMPLIANCE
24 ORDERS THAT THE BOARD ADOPTED. AND WE ARE HERE TODAY TO

1 GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS. CHRIS SCHMIDLE WILL BE
2 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

3 MR. SCHMIDLE: GOOD AFTERNOON,
4 MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS. I'M CHRIS SCHMIDLE, OF THE
5 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, SOUTH SECTION.

6 AT IT'S JANUARY 27TH BOARD MEETING THE BOARD
7 ISSUED COMPLIANCE ORDERS FOR THREE JURISDICTIONS, COACHELLA,
8 HAWAIIAN GARDENS, AND HAWTHORNE.

9 THE COMPLIANCE ORDERS DIRECTED THE
10 JURISDICTIONS TO MEET WITH BOARD STAFF, DEVELOP PERFORMANCE
11 PLANS AND A SCHEDULE, AND TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD ON A
12 QUARTERLY BASIS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THEIR PLANS.

13 THE PERFORMANCE PLANS WERE SIGNED ON TIME BY
14 THE CITIES. THE FIRST QUARTERLY REPORT WAS DUE ON APRIL
15 27TH, 1999, AND ALL THREE JURISDICTIONS SUBMITTED THEIR
16 DOCUMENTS ON TIME.

17 AND STAFF OPINION IS THAT ALL THREE ARE
18 TAKING THE ACTIONS AGREED TO AS SCHEDULED.

19 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE STAFF?

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
21 MR. SCHMIDLE?

22 WE DO HAVE ONE SPEAKER, MR. LOPEZ FROM THE
23 CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS. DO YOU CARE TO COMMENT? YOU'RE
24 NOT REQUIRED TO, BUT IF YOU'D LIKE TO WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE

1 YOU --

2 MR. LOPEZ: THANK YOU --

3 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

4 MR. LOPEZ: -- CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS. I DIDN'T
5 REALIZE IT, BUT I MADE IT RIGHT ON TIME.

6 BUT, I PERSONALLY WANT TO THANK THE STAFF OF
7 THE AGENCY, BECAUSE WITH THEIR HELP, AND CONSOLIDATED'S,
8 WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO FILL THE REQUIREMENTS. AND WE HOPE THAT
9 WE'RE IN THE RIGHT SET-UP (PHONETIC) IN ORDER TO FULFILL
10 WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS ARE, YOU KNOW, IN TOTAL, IN ORDER TO
11 ASSURE THAT WE DON'T GET OURSELVES IN THIS TYPE OF SITUATION
12 AGAIN.

13 SO, ONCE AGAIN, YOU KNOW, I WANT TO THANK THE
14 AGENCY'S STAFF AND CONSOLIDATED'S PERSONNEL.

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO
16 COME UP AND JUST MAKE THOSE FEW COMMENTS, IT'S GREATLY
17 APPRECIATED.

18 MR. LOPEZ: THANK YOU.

19 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

21 MEMBER JONES: JUST A BRIEF COMMENT TO MR. LOPEZ,
22 WHO WAS I THINK -- YOU CAN SIT DOWN, IT'S GOOD STUFF, DON'T
23 WORRY.

24 I THINK HE WAS -- YOU WERE THE EIGHTH CITY

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

1 MANAGER IN HAWAIIAN GARDENS IN NINE YEARS, IF MY MEMORY --

2 MR. LOPEZ: QUESTION FROM AUDIENCE.

3 MEMBER JONES: OH, LESS THAN NINE YEARS. BUT, THE
4 EIGHTH ONE IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. AND I REMEMBER THAT
5 DAY, AND I REMEMBER THE FACT THAT YOU WERE GOING TO START
6 IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS. AND, EVERYTHING WE HEARD FROM STAFF
7 IS THAT YOU GUYS ARE WELL ON YOUR WAY.

8 AND, YOU KNOW, THE IDEA OF THESE COMPLIANCE
9 ORDERS IS, ONCE YOU GET THERE -- YOU KNOW, THEY DON'T HAVE
10 TO LAST FOREVER, THEY HAVE TO LAST UNTIL THERE'S
11 VERIFICATION THAT YOU MET THE MANDATE AS I UNDERSTAND IT.
12 SO, I WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU FOR TAKING THIS ON AND
13 ADMINISTERING FOR YOUR CITY.

14 MR. LOPEZ: THANK YOU.

15 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. ITEM No. 38, THERE WAS NO
16 ACTION REQUIRED -- OR, ON ITEM No. 37.

17 AGENDA ITEM 38: CONSIDERATION OF A PROCESS FOR
18 RESOLVING THE ISSUE OF COMPLETENESS FOR THE COUNTYWIDE
19 SEPARATE AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR VENTURA COUNTY

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 38.

21 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD
22 MEMBERS, THERE IS JUST ONE THING I NEED TO COMMENT ON --

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

24 MS. FRIEDMAN: -- ON ITEM 37. IS THAT WE HAD

1 INDICATION THAT A MR. JERRY JAMGOTCHIAN (PHON) WOULD BE HERE
2 TO PROVIDE COMMENT ON ITEM 37, AND APPARENTLY HE'S STILL
3 PLANNING TO BE HERE, AS FAR AS OUR INFORMATION, BUT HE'S NOT
4 PLANNING TO BE HERE TILL LATER THIS AFTERNOON. SO, I JUST
5 WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT. HE ISN'T HERE RIGHT NOW, BUT HE
6 SAID HE WAS PLANNING TO BE.

7 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

8 ITEM No. 38.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: ITEM 38 IS CONSIDERATION OF A
10 PROCESS FOR RESOLVING THE ISSUE OF COMPLETENESS FOR THE
11 COUNTYWIDE SEPARATE AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR VENTURA COUNTY.
12 AND, MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, WITH OUR LEGAL OFFICE, WILL BE MAKING
13 THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

14 MR. BLOCK: THANK YOU, AND GOOD AFTERNOON,
15 CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS, I'M ELLIOT BLOCK FROM THE LEGAL
16 OFFICE. I HAVE A VERY BRIEF PRESENTATION FOR YOU THIS
17 MORNING -- THIS AFTERNOON, AND I'M JUST HAVING A LITTLE
18 TROUBLE BECAUSE I CAN'T ACTUALLY READ THE SCREEN HERE, SO
19 FORGIVE ME IF I LOOK AT THE SCREEN BEHIND ME.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: WHAT IF WE TOOK ALL YOUR NOTES
21 AWAY?

22 MR. BLOCK: WELL, THEN I'D REALLY HAVE TROUBLE.
23 ACTUALLY, NOTES MAKE ME GO FASTER, SO YOU DON'T WANT TO TAKE
24 THOSE AWAY FROM ME.

1 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

2 MR. BLOCK: JUST VERY BRIEFLY, THE ITEM --

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: AS A LAWYER, I WOULD NEVER HAVE
4 THOUGHT YOU WOULD MAKE A COMMENT LIKE THAT. ANYWAY --

5 MR. BLOCK: MY REPUTATION PRECEDES ME.

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

7 MR. BLOCK: THE ITEM BEFORE YOU TODAY IS,
8 ESSENTIALLY, TO ASK FOR APPROVAL OF A REVISION TO THE
9 SCHEDULE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE REVISED SITING ELEMENT
10 AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA.

11 THE BRIEF CHRONOLOGY, WHICH IS UP ON YOUR
12 SCREEN, INDICATES BACK IN 1995 THE COUNTY SUBMITTED THE
13 SEPARATE AND SUMMARY PLAN, HOWEVER, THEY DID SO WITH A
14 NOTICE OF EXEMPTION.

15 OVER THE PERIOD OF A COUPLE YEARS THERE WERE
16 NUMEROUS DISCUSSIONS AND NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE COUNTY, IN
17 1997, CULMINATING IN IT COMING BEFORE THE BOARD -- ACTUALLY,
18 THE BOARD'S PLANNING COMMITTEE AT THAT TIME -- TO APPEAL THE
19 STAFF'S DETERMINATION THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS
20 NECESSARY, AND THE COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE STAFF'S REVIEW OF
21 THAT.

22 SO, IN JANUARY, 1998, THE COUNTY CAME UP WITH
23 A SCHEDULE FOR REVISING THAT DOCUMENT, AND ALSO DOING AT
24 LEAST A NEGATIVE DECLARATION. THAT SCHEDULE ANTICIPATED A

1 REVISION TO THE DOCUMENT FOR AN UPCOMING LANDFILL EXPANSION,
2 AND THE IDEA WAS, THAT WAY THE COUNTY WOULD NOT HAVE TO GO
3 THROUGH THE REVISION PROCESS TWICE, AND SO WE ALLOWED THEM
4 SOME ADDITIONAL TIME TO DO THOSE TWO ACTIVITIES AT THE SAME
5 TIME.

6 UNFORTUNATELY, DURING THE COURSE OF 1998, IT
7 TURNED OUT THERE WERE SOME DELAYS IN THAT EXPANSION THAT
8 WERE NOT UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE COUNTY. SOME OTHER
9 DISCUSSIONS, AS WELL, SOME CONSULTATIONS WITH THE ATTORNEY
10 GENERAL'S OFFICE, WHICH I WAS NOT PLANNING ON GOING INTO
11 DETAIL WITH, UNLESS YOU HAVE SOME QUESTIONS.

12 WE ARE NOW HERE IN MID 1999, AND THAT
13 LANDFILL EXPANSION IS BACK ON TRACK. I'M NOT SURE OF THE
14 DATE THAT THE PERMIT IS ACTUALLY COMING FORWARD, BUT THE
15 PROJECT DESCRIPTION IS SUFFICIENTLY DETERMINED THAT THE
16 COUNTY IS READY TO START THE REVISION PROCESS. THEY'RE
17 COMFORTABLE THAT THE NUMBERS WON'T CHANGE.

18 IN YOUR PACKAGES THIS MORNING YOU RECEIVED A
19 REVISED LETTER, THAT'S ATTACHMENT 1-B, AND I BELIEVE IT'S
20 PAGE 38-5 OF YOUR PACKAGE. THE ORIGINAL SCHEDULE THAT CAME
21 FROM THE COUNTY INDICATED THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO
22 RESUBMIT THE REVISED DOCUMENTS, ALONG WITH AT LEAST A
23 NEGATIVE DECLARATION BY DECEMBER 1ST.

24 THEY ACTUALLY, SUBSEQUENTLY, SAT DOWN AND

1 TOOK A LOOK AT OUR REGULATIONS IN A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL,
2 FIGURED OUT THAT JUST BASED ON THE MINIMAL TIME REQUIREMENTS
3 IN THOSE REGULATIONS, THE DATE WAS GOING TO BE A LITTLE BIT
4 PAST THAT.

5 THE SCHEDULE THAT YOU'VE GOT BEFORE YOU, THEY
6 ACTUALLY HAVE A DRAFT SCHEDULE, WOULD RESULT IN THEM
7 SUBMITTING THAT DOCUMENT BY AROUND DECEMBER 24TH. AND SO,
8 OF COURSE, THEY WERE UNCOMFORTABLE ASKING THE BOARD TO
9 ACCEPT SOMETHING THAT SHOWED THEM SUBMITTING DECEMBER 1ST.
10 SO, THEY'VE SENT ANOTHER LETTER, WHICH IS IN YOUR PACKAGE,
11 SHOWING THE DECEMBER 31ST DATE. AND THAT, AS YOU CAN SEE
12 FROM THE SCHEDULE, THE VAST MAJORITY OF THAT SCHEDULE IS
13 RELATED TO THE BOARD'S REGULATIONS AND SOME MINIMUM TIME
14 FRAMES.

15 IT IS VERY POSSIBLE THE DOCUMENT CAN COME IN
16 SOONER, BECAUSE ONE OF THOSE TIME FRAMES IS THE 90 DAYS FOR
17 A MAJORITY -- MAJORITY REVIEW. IF ALL THE CITIES REVIEW THE
18 -- AND PROVIDE APPROVAL WITHIN 30 DAYS, YOU KNOW, THAT CUTS
19 THE TIME FRAME DOWN. BUT, UNFORTUNATELY, THE COUNTY CANNOT
20 FORCE THE OTHER CITIES TO APPROVE IT SOONER THAN THAT.

21 AND SO, WITH THAT, UNLESS YOU HAVE ANY
22 QUESTIONS, WE WOULD REQUEST THAT THE BOARD ACCEPT THIS
23 REVISION TO THE SCHEDULE FOR SUBMISSION OF A REVISED
24 SEPARATE AND SUMMARY PLAN FROM THE COUNTY.

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS FOR
2 MR. BLOCK?

3 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN.

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

5 MEMBER JONES: I'D LIKE TO MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-
6 284, TO INCLUDE THE REVISIONS THAT WERE DISCUSSED, IF IT
7 ISN'T -- IF IT ISN'T IN THIS RESOLUTION.

8 MEMBER PENNINGTON: SECOND.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MR. JONES MOVES AND MR.
10 PENNINGTON SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-284.

11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
12 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
13 ORDER.

14 AND, MR. BLOCK, IF YOU WOULD JUST DO ME A
15 FAVOR, BECAUSE THE COUNTY WAS KIND ENOUGH TO COME UP AND TRY
16 TO WORK THIS OUT, IF YOU CAN LET THE APPROPRIATE -- MR.
17 MICHAELS, AND I THINK MS. MARTIN, IF SHE'S THERE, KNOW THAT
18 WE'VE TAKEN THIS ACTION TODAY. JUST BY PHONE, NOTHING --
19 YOU KNOW --

20 MR. BLOCK: RIGHT.

21 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- IT WOULD BE GREATLY
22 APPRECIATED.

23 AGENDA ITEM 39: CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF SCORING
24 CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE 1999/00 USED OIL

1 OPPORTUNITY GRANTS

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM No. 39.

3 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD
4 MEMBERS, ITEM 39 IS CONSIDERATION OF SCORING CRITERIA AND
5 EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE 1990 (SIC) YEAR 2000 USED OIL
6 OPPORTUNITY GRANTS. SHIRLEY WILLD-WAGNER, WITH THE USED OIL
7 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE BRANCH WILL BE MAKING THE
8 PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

9 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN EATON
10 AND BOARD MEMBERS. IT LOOKS LIKE THE POWER POINT HASN'T
11 BEEN USED FOR A WHILE HERE, SO I MAY JUST GO ON WITHOUT IT.

12 THIS MORNING'S ITEM IS THE PRESENTATION OF
13 THE SCORING CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE
14 1999/2000 USED OIL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS. AS YOU'RE AWARE, THE
15 USED OIL AND RECYCLING ENHANCEMENT ACT ALLOCATES FUNDS TO
16 THE USED OIL FUND, AND SPECIFIES CERTAIN ACTIVITIES TO BE
17 PERFORMED BY THE BOARD.

18 THE USED OIL OPPORTUNITY GRANT IS ONE OF
19 THOSE ACTIVITIES, AND THIS YEAR WE ANTICIPATE APPROXIMATELY
20 \$5 MILLION THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR THE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS
21 FOR LOCAL GMS IN FISCAL YEAR '99-2000.

22 ATTACHMENT DOES EXPLAIN THE BREAKDOWN OF THE
23 FUND, IN CASE YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION.

24 STAFF IS PROPOSING A MAXIMUM AWARD OF 300,000

1 FOR INDIVIDUAL APPLICANTS AND 700,000 FOR REGIONAL
2 APPLICANTS.

3 BACK IN SEPTEMBER OF 1996 THE BOARD APPROVED
4 GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA FOR ALL GRANTS THAT ARE AWARDED BY
5 THE BOARD. AND WHAT WE ARE DOING TODAY IS BRINGING FORTH
6 THE POINTS THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING FOR THOSE VARIOUS GRANT -
7 - GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA, AND FOR THE PREFERENCE CRITERIA.

8 YOU'LL SEE THAT ATTACHMENT 2 -- SINCE THE POWER POINT ISN'T
9 WORKING, IF YOU CAN ALL REFER TO ATTACHMENT 2 IN YOUR AGENDA
10 ITEM?

11 WE HAVE ALLOCATED THE GREATEST AMOUNT OF
12 POINTS FOR THE NEED FOR THE PROJECT, AS THE APPLICANT
13 DESCRIBES THE NEED IN THE -- IN THEIR APPLICATION, THAT
14 THERE IS A CLEAR AND DEMONSTRABLE NEED FOR THE ACTUAL
15 PROGRAM IN THE COMMUNITY.

16 WE'VE ALLOCATED -- WE'RE PROPOSING 40 POINTS
17 FOR THE NEED.

18 WE'RE ALSO PROPOSING 10 POINTS FOR OBJECTIVES
19 THAT ARE CLEAR AND MEASURABLE, AND SUPPORT THE NEED OR THE
20 PROBLEM STATEMENT.

21 FIFTEEN POINTS FOR METHODOLOGY, WHERE THE
22 APPLICANT DESCRIBES HOW THE PROPOSAL WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

23 TEN POINTS FOR EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSAL,
24 AND THE SUCCESS OF THE ACTUAL -- OF THIS -- OF THE PROJECT

1 THAT'S ACCOMPLISHED.

2 FIFTEEN POINTS FOR BUDGET -- AND, HERE WE'RE
3 LOOKING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BUDGET IS DOCUMENTED WITH
4 QUOTES AND ATTACHMENTS THAT SUPPORT ALL OF THE COSTS THAT
5 ARE REQUESTED.

6 AND, 10 POINTS FOR COMPLETENESS. WE'RE
7 LOOKING HERE FOR LETTERS OF SUPPORT SHOWING THAT THE
8 JURISDICTION CAN, INDEED, CARRY OUT THE PROJECT
9 SUCCESSFULLY, AND WHAT THEIR -- PAST GRANTS HAVE BEEN
10 RECEIVED FROM OUR AGENCY AND FROM OTHER AGENCIES.

11 THIS YEAR WE'RE PROPOSING ALSO PREFERENCE
12 CRITERIA, AND WE ARE PROPOSING THREE POINTS FOR EACH OF FIVE
13 PREFERENCE CRITERIA.

14 THE FIRST TWO CRITERIA ARE BASED ON DIRECT
15 INPUT FROM THE BOARD THAT REQUESTED, ACTUALLY, THAT WE GIVE
16 SOME PREFERENCE TO JURISDICTIONS THAT -- AS YOU'LL SEE THERE
17 ON NUMBER SEVEN -- THAT THE APPLICANTS' JURISDICTION USES
18 RE-REFINED OIL IN THEIR VEHICLES FLEETS.

19 NUMBER EIGHT IS THAT THE APPLICANT PROVIDE
20 SOME EVIDENCE OF A GREEN PROCUREMENT POLICY. AND, THIS IS
21 BASED ON THE POLICY THAT YOU PASSED LAST MONTH. SO, WE
22 WOULD ACTUALLY REQUEST A COPY OF THE POLICY FROM THE
23 JURISDICTION THAT REQUIRES THE USE OF RECYCLED-CONTENT
24 PRODUCTS, RECYCLABLE OR REUSABLE PRODUCTS, OR OTHER WASTE

1 REDUCTION MEASURES WHERE APPROPRIATE AND FEASIBLE.

2 THE THIRD PREFERENCE CRITERIA, NUMBER NINE
3 HERE ON YOUR ATTACHMENT, IS THAT THE APPLICANT DEMONSTRATES
4 THAT A COST SAVINGS WILL OCCUR FROM THEIR PROPOSED PROJECT.

5 AND, SO THAT WE CAN LEVERAGE SOME OF THE BOARD'S MONEY.

6 NUMBER 10 IS THAT AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR USED
7 OIL COLLECTION IS ESTABLISHED, EITHER THROUGH CERTIFIED
8 COLLECTION CENTERS, PERMANENT FACILITIES, AIRPORTS, MARINAS,
9 THESE TYPE OF ACTIVITIES THAT ARE REPLICABLE STATEWIDE.

10 AND, NUMBER 11 IS THAT THE PROPOSAL SUPPORTS
11 A PARTNERSHIP WITH OTHER NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENVIRONMENTAL
12 SERVICE PROVIDERS, SIMILAR TO WHAT YOU HEARD YESTERDAY FROM
13 THE CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS.

14 OKAY. SO, THOSE ARE ALL OUR PROPOSED
15 CRITERIA. I'LL QUICKLY REVIEW THE APPLICATION PROCESS, I
16 THINK YOU'RE ALL PRETTY FAMILIAR WITH THESE.

17 THERE'S THREE MEMBER REVIEW PANELS THAT WILL
18 BE CONVENED, CONSISTING OF REPRESENTATION FROM THE USED OIL
19 HHW BRANCH AND THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BRANCH.

20 AN ORIENTATION MEETING WAS HELD -- IS HELD TO
21 DISCUSS -- AND IT DISCUSSED THE INTERPRETATION OF THE
22 CRITERIA AND PROVIDE THE SCORING STRUCTURE FOR THE CRITERIA.

23 A MINIMUM OF 70 POINTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON
24 THOSE GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA POINTS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR

1 FUNDING, AND TO BE CONSIDERED ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE THE
2 ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE CRITERIA.

3 APPLICATIONS ARE DISTRIBUTED TO THE ASSIGNED
4 PANELS, AND EACH MEMBER INDIVIDUALLY SCORES THE APPLICATION,
5 THEN THE GROUP COMES TOGETHER, THEY REVIEW THE GRANT
6 TOGETHER, DISCUSS EACH APPLICATION, AND REACH A CONSENSUS ON
7 A SCORE FOR EACH CRITERION. AND THEN THE CHAIRPERSONS OF
8 EACH PANEL MEET TO ENSURE THAT THEY'VE BEEN SCORED EQUITABLY
9 AMONGST THE DIFFERENT PANELS.

10 IN OBSERVANCE OF THE DECEMBER BOARD POLICY
11 THAT ADDRESSED GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW, WE WILL AGAIN
12 INSTITUTE THE DOUBLE-REVIEW. TEN PERCENT OF THE
13 APPLICATIONS WILL BE CHOSEN BY THE EXCEL RANDOM-NUMBER
14 GENERATOR, AND THEY'LL BE REVIEWED BY ALL OF THE PANELS.
15 AND IF THE SCORES OF THOSE PANELS DIFFER BY MORE THAN FIVE
16 POINTS, ACCORDING TO THE POLICY, THE MANAGEMENT FROM THE
17 PROGRAM STAFF AND THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE STAFF WILL MEET
18 TO DETERMINE THE NEXT COURSE OF ACTION.

19 FINALLY, ALL PROPOSALS ARE RANKED ACCORDING
20 TO THE POINTS RECEIVED. AND, IF THERE IS INSUFFICIENT
21 FUNDING TO AWARD ALL OF THE PASSING PROPOSALS, THOSE, OF
22 COURSE, WITH THE HIGHEST RANKING WOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR
23 APPROVAL.

24 THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVING THE

1 SCORING CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE '99-2000
2 USED OIL OPPORTUNITY GRANT, RESOLUTION 99-279.

3 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS?

5 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE. AND I KNOW WE WANT TO
6 GET OUT OF HERE, BUT --

7 CHAIRMAN EATON: NO, THAT'S FINE.

8 MEMBER JONES: THE INFRASTRUCTURE --

9 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

10 MEMBER JONES: THE INFRASTRUCTURE CRITERIA --
11 WHICH I KNOW WE'RE TRYING TO BUILD INFRASTRUCTURE, AND I'VE
12 TALKED TO STAFF ABOUT THIS BEFORE -- BUT, WHERE WE'VE GOT
13 EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE -- YOU KNOW, WE HAVE BUILT IT, WILL
14 THEY COME -- WE -- THEY'RE NOT -- THOSE JURISDICTIONS
15 (INDISCERNIBLE) THE INFRASTRUCTURE BUT NEED OPPORTUNITY
16 GRANTS TO HELP CONTINUE TO MAKE THAT PROGRAM MORE VIABLE.

17 THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GET GRADED DOWN, ARE
18 THEY? I MEAN, THEY HAVE AS MUCH OPPORTUNITY IN THIS RANKING
19 AS ANYBODY ELSE, OR DO THEY?

20 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: I BELIEVE THAT THEY -- WHAT
21 THAT CRITERIA DOES SAY IS INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IS REPLICABLE.
22 SO, IF THERE'S ANOTHER PART OF THAT -- THE PART THAT WE'RE
23 REALLY TRYING TO EMPHASIZE THERE IS SOMETHING THAT'S
24 REPLICABLE, IT CAN BE TAKEN FROM ONE JURISDICTION AND SPREAD

1 AND SHARED WITH OTHERS. WHICH WOULD BE THE DEVELOPMENT OF
2 THE INFRASTRUCTURE, OR IT COULD BE A SUPPORTIVE PROGRAM TO
3 SUPPORT THE INFRASTRUCTURE.

4 MEMBER JONES: BUT YOU KNOW WHAT I'M GETTING AT.
5 YOU BUILD A -- YOU BUILD FACILITIES, AND YOU'RE WORKING ON
6 TRYING TO GET THAT MATERIAL IN, AND YOU'VE GOTTEN FUNDING,
7 AND YOU'VE SPENT YOUR OWN MONEY TO TRY TO DO THIS.

8 ARE YOU PRECLUDED FROM GETTING OPPORTUNITY
9 GRANTS ONCE YOU'VE DONE THAT, OR DO YOU STILL HAVE AN
10 OPPORTUNITY TO GET THE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS TO ENHANCE THAT
11 PROGRAM?

12 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: YES.

13 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. AND THEY WON'T BE SCORED --
14 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

15 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- YOU ARE NOT PRECLUDED FROM
16 THAT -- NO.

17 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

18 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: THERE'S THREE POINTS SPECIFIC -
19 - YOU KNOW, THIS IS ALL IN PREFERENCE CRITERION --

20 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

21 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- SO AS LONG AS IT'S DESCRIBED
22 IN THE NEED, YES, YOU ARE NOT PRECLUDED.

23 MEMBER JONES: BEAUTIFUL.

24 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YEAH, I WAS GOING TO SAY --

1 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: I HAVE ONE SPEAKER SLIP, MIKE
3 MOHAJER, FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS.

4 MR. MOHAJER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIR, MEMBERS
5 OF THE BOARD.

6 BEFORE I START TALKING ABOUT THIS ITEM I DO
7 WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THAT ITEM A
8 YESTERDAY, FOR THE GRANT FOR THE L.A. COUNTY.

9 BUT, MOVING TO THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, MR.
10 JONES, YOU REALLY ADDRESSED THE ISSUE THAT I WAS GOING TO
11 DISCUSS ABOUT THIS OPPORTUNITY GRANT.

12 AND, AS YOU KNOW, WE HAD THE PROBLEM WITH THE
13 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT, WHERE WHEN WE DO HAVE THE
14 INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE WE GOT PENALIZED THAT WE DIDN'T
15 QUALIFY, MATTER OF FACT, FOR THAT APPLICATION.

16 SO, LOOKING AT THE ITEM ONE UNDER THE NEEDS,
17 I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING IN THERE -- WHEN THEY MAKE A REFERENCE
18 TO THE -- TO A GRANT PROPOSAL TO CLEARLY DESCRIBES AND
19 DEMONSTRATES THAT LOCAL -- AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE
20 THAT -- THAT, FOR THE MATTER OF THE RECORD, IT GOES IN THERE
21 THAT IF WE DO HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURES, AND WE WERE GOING TO
22 BE USING THE MONEY, FOR EXAMPLE, TO INCREASE PARTICIPANTS IN
23 THE USE OF THE INFRASTRUCTURES, WE SHOULD NOT BE PENALIZED
24 AND ALL APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AT THE SAME LEVEL.

1 SO, THAT IS ONE OF MY COMMENTS.

2 ALSO, LOOKING AT THE PREFERENCE CRITERIA
3 NUMBER 11, IT SAYS THAT APPLICANT PROMOTES A PARTNERSHIP
4 WITH ANOTHER NONPROFIT ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE PROVIDER. I
5 WOULD LIKE THAT BE EXPANDED AND INCLUDES NONPROFIT
6 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OR OTHER GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTIONS.

7 BECAUSE, IN OUR AREA, REALLY, WITH HAVING ALL
8 THOSE JURISDICTION, IT -- SOMETIME IT WORKS BETTER FOR US TO
9 HAVE THE PROGRAMS TOGETHER WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTAL
10 JURISDICTIONS. SO, I WOULD LIKE THAT ONE TO BE EXPANDED TO
11 INCLUDE THAT CLAUSE.

12 AND, FINALLY, WE BELIEVE THAT EVERY PERSON
13 GOT TO ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE WASTE THAT THEY
14 GENERATE. AND ON THAT BASIS, THE L.A. RESIDENTS -- I'M
15 TALKING ABOUT THE WHOLE 89 JURISDICTIONS -- THEY DO PAY THE
16 \$0.16 A GALLON FOR THE OIL, AND -- AND I JUST DIDN'T SEE
17 ANYTHING IN THIS PROCESS THAT SORT OF DISCUSS ANYTHING ABOUT
18 THAT APPROPRIATE FUNDING FOR THE JURISDICTIONS BASED NO SOME
19 KIND OF FORMULA -- I'M NOT GOING TO GO EXACTLY SAY PER
20 POPULATIONS -- BUT IF THIS -- THERE HAS TO BE SOME WAY AS TO
21 THE QUANTITY OF WASTE THAT IS BEING GENERATED VERSUS THE
22 QUANTITY OF FUNDING THAT IS BEING PROVIDED TO -- TO SOLVE
23 THE PROBLEM.

24 SO, WITH THAT IN MIND, I REQUEST THAT YOU DO

1 CONSIDER THIS CONDITION IN APPROVING THE RESOLUTIONS, AND I
2 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IF I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTION I'LL BE
3 MORE THAN HAPPY TO.

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
5 MR. MOHAJER?

6 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE.

7 SO YOU'RE SAYING 11 ON THE PREFERENCE, WHICH
8 SAYS WORK IN A PARTNERSHIP
9 NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE PROVIDER.

10 MR. MOHAJER: AND/OR OTHER JURISDICTIONS.

11 MEMBER JONES: BUT MAYBE THIS -- MAYBE THIS
12 DESCRIPTION COVERS YOU.

13 HOLD ON.

14 WOULD IT --

15 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: AND I -- THAT IS EXACTLY WHY WE
16 CHOSE THE WORD "NOT FOR PROFIT" AS OPPOSED TO "NONPROFIT,"
17 SO IT WOULD INCLUDE NONPROFIT SERVICE PROVIDERS,
18 GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, RATHER THAN PRIVATE INDUSTRY.

19 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

20 MR. MOHAJER: THEN I STAND CORRECTED IF THAT'S
21 WHAT IT MEANS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. ALL RIGHTY. WELL, I'LL
23 MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-279, APPROVAL OF THE SCORING CRITERIA
24 FOR THE --

1 MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, ON THE --

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'M SORRY?

3 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- MR. MOHAJER --

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'M SORRY?

5 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- MOHAJER'S SECOND POINT, THAT
6 THE WASTE GENERATED SOMEHOW SHOULD BE REFLECTED IN THE
7 ALLOCATIONS OF THE PROGRAM, HOW DO WE TAKE CARE OF THAT
8 ASPECT.

9 (THE PARTIES SPEAK SIMULTANEOUSLY)

10 MR. MOHAJER: -- BEFORE IT IS ALLOCATED FOR THE
11 BLOCK GRANTS. AND THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY ABOUT 3.3 MILLION
12 THAT IS FOR THAT ADMINISTRATION OF THE BLOCK GRANT, AND THE
13 REMAINDER, WHICH IS STILL PART OF THAT 16 CENTS THAT COMES
14 TO THE WASTE BOARD. AND THAT IS THAT PORTION THAT I'M
15 SAYING.

16 SO, SO IF YOU'RE GOING TO BE USING THE PER-
17 CAPITAL FOR THE BLOCK GRANT, THE SAME WOULD BE APPLICABLE TO
18 THE OPPORTUNITY GRANT. THEY ALL -- WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE?
19 THEY ALL GENERATE WASTE. AND EVERYONE IN L.A. COUNTY DOES
20 PAY THE 16 CENTS.

21 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN?

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: YES, MS. FRIEDMAN?

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: JUST A POINT OF NOTE, STATUTE SETS
24 FORWARD THE ALLOCATION OF THE BLOCK GRANT AS A PER CAPITA

1 FORMULA. AND THEN THE OTHER GRANTS ARE TO BE COMPETITIVE,
2 AND THEY'RE NOT IDENTIFIED IN STATUTE AS BEING ALLOCATED ON
3 THE BASIS OF PER CAPITA OR WASTE GENERATION. IT'S JUST A
4 POINT THAT YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN.

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

6 MEMBER ROBERTI: THOSE ARE THE GRANTS THAT MR.
7 MOHAJER PUT UNDER THE RUBRIC OPPORTUNITY GRANTS?

8 MS. FRIEDMAN: THESE ARE THE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS
9 THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING TODAY, CORRECT. AND, THAT IS A
10 COMPETITIVE GRANT PROGRAM.

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND WHAT ARE THE CRITERIA THAT WE
12 TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ON WHAT WAS COMPETITIVE?

13 CHAIRMAN EATON: THE OPPORTUNITY IS WHAT WE'RE --

14 MEMBER ROBERTI: NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT.

15 BUT, WHAT CRITERIA GO..... DO WE HAVE ANY
16 STATUTORY CRITERIA THAT GO INTO, QUOTE, "COMPETITIVE,"
17 UNQUOTE?

18 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: THE STATUTE SIMPLY SAYS IN
19 ADDITION TO THE BLOCK GRANTS, THAT THE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS
20 ARE PROVIDED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN -- FOR ADDITIONAL
21 OPPORTUNITIES IN ADDITION TO THE BLOCK GRANTS.

22 AND, THEN WE BRING THOSE STANDARD CRITERIA
23 TODAY TO YOU TO -- SO THAT THE BOARD HAS THE DISCRETION --

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: GIVE ME THIS AGAIN? THAT THE --

1 TO PROVIDE THIS FOR TWO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS --

2 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: CORRECT. TWO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
3 FOR PROGRAMS -- USED OIL COLLECTION PROGRAMS, IN ADDITION TO
4 THOSE CREATED UNDER THE BLOCK GRANTS.

5 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND HAVE WE HAD ANY COMPLAINTS
6 THAT THESE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS WERE NOT --

7 CHAIRMAN EATON: DISTRIBUTED?

8 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- DISTRIBUTED PROPERLY, OR --

9 MEMBER JONES: ONLY EVERY --

10 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- BY WHOMEVER THE COMPLAINER
12 MIGHT BE?

13 MEMBER JONES: ONLY EVERY TIME WE GIVE THE MONEY
14 OUT.

15 MEMBER ROBERTI: ONLY EVERY TIME --

16 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: AND IT VARIES AS TO WHO THOSE
18 INDIVIDUALS MIGHT BE, DEPENDING UPON --

19 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU KNOW, THE NATURE
21 OF GRANTS, BEING COMPETITIVE, I THINK YOU'VE JUST -- YOU
22 KNOW, YOU'RE RIGHT, WHOEVER DOESN'T GET A GRANT ON ANY GIVEN
23 CYCLE MAY HAVE --

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, I -- I GUESS WHAT I'M

1 SAYING --

2 MS. FRIEDMAN: -- CONCERNS. WHAT WE --

3 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- IS I -- I WOULD ANTICIPATE
4 THAT GEOGRAPHY SHOULDN'T BE THE DETERMINANT, BUT I THINK IT
5 IS AN ASPECT OF COMPETITION.

6 IF A CERTAIN PROGRAM HAS BEEN FUNDED IN ONE
7 PART OF THE STATE, SAY, THREE YEARS IN A ROW, THEN PART OF
8 OUR CONCEPT OF COMPETITION IS MAYBE THAT SAME PROGRAM OUGHT
9 TO BE FUNDED IN ANOTHER PART OF THE STATE.

10 I'M NOT SAYING THAT HASN'T HAPPENED, BUT I --
11 IT'S JUST SOMETHING THAT COMES TO MY MIND. AND AS -- THE
12 GEOGRAPHY IS AN ASPECT OF COMPETITION, AND -- AS WITH
13 POPULATION --

14 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: WE HAVE --

15 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- FACTORS.

16 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: OH, EXCUSE ME, SENATOR.

17 WE HAVE AT TIMES -- THERE ARE -- MANY OF THE
18 CYCLES HAVE INCLUDED PREFERENCE POINTS FOR THOSE WHO HAVE
19 NOT RECEIVED A GRANT IN THE LAST CYCLE OR TWO. THAT IS NOT
20 -- YOU KNOW, UNDER THIS --

21 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- BUT THAT'S PART OF IT --

22 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- CURRENT PROPOSAL IS ONE --

23 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- I DON'T THINK THAT'S -- THAT'S
24 NOT THE WHOLE --

1 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- OF THE CRITERIA --

2 BUT THESE CRITERIA ARE WHAT, YOU KNOW,
3 WHATEVER YOU WOULD LIKE TO DETERMINE ON THAT.

4 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH. WELL, I TELL YOU WHAT, I'M
5 GOING TO VOTE FOR THIS.

6 BUT I WOULD -- I THINK AT THE NEXT MEETING I
7 WOULD LIKE TO SEE SORT OF A GEOGRAPHIC APPORTIONMENT OVER,
8 SAY, THE LAST FIVE YEARS OF THIS PROGRAM.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: I THINK WE CAN --

10 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- I MAY FIND OUT WHAT I DON'T
12 WANT TO FIND OUT. I MEAN, BUT I'M JUST --

13 MS. FRIEDMAN: STAFF HAVE DONE -- LOOKED AT THE
14 STATE AND SHOWN, OVER TIME FRAMES, WHERE THE VARIOUS GRANTS
15 GO. AND I'M SURE THAT THEY CAN PULL TOGETHER INFORMATION
16 WHICH SHOWS THE SPREAD OF THE GRANTS OVER THE -- YOU KNOW,
17 LET'S SAY THE LAST FIVE YEARS, OR HOWEVER LONG THE CYCLE'S
18 BEEN RUNNING -- TO SHOW WHERE THE MONIES HAVE BEEN GOING,
19 OVER TIME.

20 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: CORRECT. WE CAN PROVIDE THAT
21 FOR YOU.

22 WE HAVE LOOKED AT IT INDIVIDUALLY, AND IT'S -
23 -

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: THANK YOU.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

1 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- PRETTY WELL SPREAD, BUT --

2 MEMBER ROBERTI: THANK YOU.

3 MS. WILLD-WAGNER: -- WE WILL PROVIDE YOU THAT.

4 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANYTHING ELSE? ALL RIGHTY.

5 ALL RIGHTY. I'LL MOVE WE ADOPT RESOLUTION
6 1999-279, THE CRITERIA AND EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE USED
7 OIL OPPORTUNITY GRANTS.

8 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. EATON MOVES AND
10 MR. PENNINGTON SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-279.

11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, IF WE COULD SUBSTITUTE THE
12 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL? WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE
13 ORDER.

14 AGENDA ITEM 40: CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE
15 SCOPE OF WORK FOR AN AGREEMENT WITH CALIFORNIA STATE
16 UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO FOUNDATION TO SUPPORT MARKETING AND
17 DISTRIBUTION OF EARTH RESOURCES CURRICULUM

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: THE LAST ITEM OF THE DAY IS ITEM
19 No. 40.

20 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF
21 THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR AN AGREEMENT WITH CALIFORNIA STATE
22 UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO FOUNDATION TO SUPPORT MARKETING AND
23 DISTRIBUTION OF EARTH RESOURCES CURRICULUM. BOB BOUGHTON,
24 WITH THE USED OIL HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE BRANCH, WILL BE

1 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

2 MR. BOUGHTON: GOOD AFTERNOON,

3 MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS. IT'S SORT OF DEJA VU HERE,
4 THE LAST ITEM AGAIN TWO DAYS IN A ROW.

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU MUST BE DOING SOMETHING
6 RIGHT.

7 MR. BOUGHTON: I GUESS, YEAH.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: OR WRONG.

9 MR. BOUGHTON: OR WRONG, RIGHT.

10 I BELIEVE YOUR OFFICES RECEIVED THIS SEVERAL
11 MONTHS AGO. THIS IS THE CURRICULUM THAT WAS DEVELOPED FOR
12 HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE STUDENTS, AND IT WAS DEVELOPED THROUGH
13 SAC STATE FOUNDATION, THROUGH A GROUP THERE THAT'S CALLED
14 THE SCOPE SEQUENCING COORDINATION PROJECT, WHICH IS A
15 NETWORK OF SCIENCE TEACHERS. AND, IT WAS DEVELOPED IN
16 CONJUNCTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, INDUSTRY
17 REPRESENTATIVES, AND SOME ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES, AS WELL.

18 IN LATE 1998 THIS WAS COMPLETED AND THE SS&C
19 HAS ADMINISTERED THE MARKETING AND THE TEACHER TRAININGS AND
20 LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRAININGS FOR IT. THEIR CONTRACT IS UP
21 THIS JUNE. AND WE HAD A CONTRACT CONCEPT FOR THIS CURRENT
22 YEAR FOR A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, WHICH WAS TO CONTINUE
23 FUNDING FOR THEM TO CONTINUE THE TRAINING AND CONTINUE
24 MARKETING.

1 BUT, IT WAS DECIDED TO BRING
2 THE MARKETING AND THE TRAINING EFFORT IN-HOUSE, INTO THE
3 SCHOOL SECTION, TO COMPLEMENT THE NEW CLOSING-THE-LOOP
4 CURRICULUM, WHICH IS BEING REWRITTEN TO A K-THROUGH-SIX
5 GRADE LEVEL.

6 SO, THIS PROVIDES THE HIGH SCHOOL COMPONENT,
7 AND THE SCHOOL'S UNIT WILL HAVE A COMPLETE GRADE-LEVEL TOOLS
8 AND MARKETING FOR ALL GRADE LEVELS.

9 SO, WITH THAT TAKING PLACE, WHAT WE SAW
10 REALLY WAS THE NEED TO MAINTAIN THIS GROUP, THE SS&C GROUP,
11 AND THE CONTACT THROUGH THE NETWORKS THAT THEY HAVE WITH
12 TEACHERS, AND THE SUPPORT THAT THEY CAN PROVIDE FOR THE
13 TRANSITION PERIOD, AS WELL AS SOME SUPPORT SERVICES THAT
14 THEY CAN PROVIDE -- THE TEACHER TRAININGS. THEY HAVE A LOT
15 OF MENTOR-TEACHERS TRAINED, WE DON'T WANT TO LOSE THEM, OR
16 LOSE THE CONNECTION WITH THEM, SO THAT WE CAN PROVIDE FOR
17 THE TRANSITION AND CONTINUE TEACHER TRAININGS FOR THIS
18 ADDITIONAL SCHOOL YEAR.

19 SO, WE'RE ASKING THAT \$50,000 BE APPROVED FOR
20 THIS CONTRACT. AND, WITH THAT, I WILL ASK YOU TO APPROVE
21 THE ATTACHED SCOPE OF WORK AND ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-281.

22 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THERE'S NO
23 QUESTIONS, I'LL --

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THERE ANY -- I'M SORRY -- IS

1 THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF MR. BOUGHTON?

2 MEMBER PENNINGTON: IF NOT, I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
3 THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR THE AGREEMENT WITH THE CALIFORNIA
4 STATE UNIVERSITY SACRAMENTO FOUNDATION TO SUPPORT THE
5 MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTION OF EARTH RESOURCES CURRICULUM,
6 AND RESOLUTION 1999-281, AS REVISED.

7 MEMBER ROBERTI: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MR. PENNINGTON MOVES AND
9 SENATOR ROBERTI SECONDS THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-281,
10 AS REVISED.

11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WELL SUBSTITUTE THE
12 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE
13 ORDERED.

14 AND IN THE FUTURE, IF WE CAN -- WITH THE
15 SCOPES OF WORK, WHAT -- WE'VE BEEN TRYING TO BE CONSISTENT
16 IN SEPARATING THE SCOPES OF WORK FROM THE MONEY. I KNOW
17 THAT WE HAD A PROBLEM WITH THIS LAST ONE, BUT IF WE CAN DO
18 THAT -- WE'VE DONE IT -- CONSISTENT, I THINK EVERYONE SHOULD
19 JUST -- OUGHT TO BE TREATED THE SAME WAY. AND I KNOW THIS
20 ONE HAD TO COME IN AT THE END.

21 ALL RIGHT. THIS --

22 MEMBER ROBERTI: MR. CHAIRMAN? I'VE GOT AN
23 OBSERVATION -- A REQUEST.

24 VIII. PUBLIC COMMENT

1 [NONE.]

2 IX. ADJOURNMENT

3 CHAIRMAN EATON: THAT COMPLETES ALL OF THE AGENDA
4 ITEMS.

5 I KNOW THAT SENATOR ROBERTI HAS -- WE'RE
6 HERE, I DON'T SEE THE GENTLEMAN WHO HAD REQUESTED TO SPEAK -
7 - SO, I KNOW SENATOR ROBERTI WANTED TO MAKE A COMMENT OR AN
8 OBSERVATION OR --

9 MEMBER ROBERTI: A COMMENT --

10 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

11 MEMBER ROBERTI: A REQUEST. I WOULD LIKE IT
12 PLACED ON OUR AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING A STAFF REVIEW OF
13 TIRE MONOFILL REGULATIONS STATUS.

14 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. CHANDLER?

15 MR. CHANDLER: YEAH, I CERTAINLY CAN COMPLY WITH
16 THAT REQUEST, AND --

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THERE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR -
18 -

19 MR. CHANDLER: -- INTEND TO DO SO.

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- THAT YOU KNOW OF THAT YOU
21 PARTICULARLY WANT -- NOT TO, YOU KNOW --

22 MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, BUT --

23 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

24 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- IT JUST CAME TO MY ATTENTION

1 THAT TIRE MONOFILLS ARE NOT PERMITTED.

2 CHAIRMAN EATON: NO. I WAS JUST TRYING TO GET --
3 SEE IF THERE WAS SOMETHING SPECIFIC IN ADDITION --

4 MEMBER ROBERTI: NO --

5 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

6 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- DIDN'T MISS WHAT YOU HAD IN
7 YOUR REPORT --

8 MEMBER ROBERTI: NO.

9 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- I WANT TO MAKE SURE.

10 MR. CHANDLER: LET ME ASK FOR SOME --

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: PERHAPS MAYBE YOU CAN WORK WITH -
12 -

13 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

14 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- WITH THEIR OFFICE AND TRY TO
15 COME UP WITH IT.

16 I DIDN'T MEAN TO DO THAT, BUT I THOUGHT IF
17 THERE WAS SOMETHING IN PARTICULAR I DIDN'T WANT TO HAVE LEFT
18 OUT....

19 MR. CHANDLER: MAYBE I SHOULD ASK THE BOARD AT
20 THIS TIME, GIVEN THAT REQUEST. BACK IN SEPTEMBER OF 1998
21 THIS BOARD GRANTED US, THE STAFF, PERMISSION TO BEGIN MOVING
22 THROUGH THE REGULATORY PROCESS, THE DRAFT TIRE MONOFILL
23 REGULATIONS.

24 I UNDERSTAND THOSE ARE IN THE LEGAL OFFICE

1 NOW, GOING THROUGH THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REVIEW THAT
2 ACCOMPANIES THOSE. BUT, THEY TELL ME THAT THEY'RE COMING TO
3 MY DESK PROBABLY AS EARLY AS NEXT WEEK, WHICH WOULD THEN GO
4 ON TO CAL EPA.

5 NOW, I GUESS THE QUESTION I HAVE IS, WOULD
6 YOU ALL LIKE TO HAVE THAT PROCESS BE DELAYED UNTIL YOU HAVE
7 A CHANCE TO UNDERSTAND, OR PERHAPS HAVE YOUR MEMORIES
8 REFRESHED -- FOR THOSE MEMBERS WHO WEREN'T HERE IN THE FALL
9 OF LAST YEAR -- UNDERSTAND WHAT THOSE REGULATIONS INCLUDE --

10 CHAIRMAN EATON: BOTH PHYSICALLY AS WELL AS
11 MENTALLY, I'M SURE --

12 MEMBER ROBERTI: RIGHT, YEAH --

13 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

14 MR. CHANDLER: I WOULD NOT WANT TO PUT OUT ON THE
15 INTERNET --

16 MEMBER ROBERTI: RIGHT.

17 MR. CHANDLER: -- THE DRAFT REGULATIONS AND --

18 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

19 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- PROBABLY SO, SINCE --

20 MR. CHANDLER: -- GET AHEAD OF YOU.

21 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH --

22 MR. CHANDLER: SO I THINK I WILL SLOW THAT DOWN
23 AND WE WILL SCHEDULE A RE-REVIEW OF JUST WHERE THOSE
24 REGULATIONS STAND, AND WHAT THEY INCLUDE.

1 CHAIRMAN EATON: AND PERHAPS GET SOME ADDITIONAL
2 COMMENT AND INPUT, AND WHATEVER, BEFORE THEY DO MOVE
3 FORWARD.

4 MR. CHANDLER: VERY GOOD.

5 CHAIRMAN EATON: I THINK IT'S A GREAT IDEA.

6 MR. CHANDLER: THANK YOU.

7 CHAIRMAN EATON: ANYONE HAVE AN OBJECTION TO THAT?
8 OKAY.

9 THANK YOU ALL FOR A LONG DAY, AND WE'LL STAND
10 ADJOURNED UNTIL JULY.

11 ONE OTHER COMMENT -- I JUST WOULD LIKE TO
12 THANK NICOLE JOHNSON, WHO IS OUR REPORTER HERE. I
13 UNDERSTAND TODAY'S GOING TO BE HER LAST DAY. SHE'S SORT OF
14 FILLED IN OVER -- THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH FOR ALL YOUR HELP.
15 AND YOU CAN TURN AND SMILE, AND WE'LL --

16 (THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

17 CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU. WE STAND ADJOURNED --

18 MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

19 CHAIRMAN EATON: YES? I'M SORRY,
20 MR. PENNINGTON.

21 MEMBER PENNINGTON: DO WE HAVE A --

22 CHAIRMAN EATON: WE HAVE A CLOSED SESSION THAT
23 WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO, YES, SIR.

24 (WHEREUPON, THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

1

- - - -

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING